AGENDA FOR THE REGULAR MEETING OF COUNCIL HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER AND ELECTRONICALLY (HYBRID) FROM CITY HALL, 141 WEST 14TH STREET, NORTH VANCOUVER, BC, ON MONDAY, MAY 6, 2024 AT 6:00 PM

Watch Livestream at cnv.org/LiveStreaming
View complete Agenda Package at cnv.org/CouncilMeetings

The City of North Vancouver respectfully acknowledges that this Council meeting is held on the traditional and unceded territories of the Skwxwú7mesh (Squamish) and Səl̓ílwətaɬ (Tsleil-Waututh) Nations.

CALL TO ORDER

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

1. Regular Council Meeting Agenda, May 6, 2024

ADOPTION OF MINUTES

2. Regular Council Meeting Minutes, April 22, 2024
3. Special Council Meeting Minutes, April 29, 2024

PUBLIC INPUT PERIOD

CONSENT AGENDA

Items *4, *5, *6 and *7 are listed in the Consent Agenda and may be considered separately or in one motion.

BYLAWS – ADOPTION

*4. “Zoning Bylaw, 1995, No. 6700, Amendment Bylaw, 2023, No. 8959” (David Iaquinta / Cobblestone Homes Ltd., 259 East 23rd Street, CD-750)

*5. “Development Cost Charge (Parks) Reserve Fund Bylaw, 2024, No. 9015” (2024 Capital Plan Funding)


*7. “Business Licence Bylaw, 2018, No. 8640, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 9023” (Short-Term Rentals and Other Residential Accommodation Businesses)

REPORTS

8. 2023 Audited Consolidated Financial Statements

9. Rezoning Application: 120-128 East 14th Street (Three Shores Management, CD-760) and Text Amendment: Centennial Theatre, 2300 Lonsdale Avenue, 116 East 23rd Street and Norseman Park (HJNL, CD-165)
BYLAW – FIRST, SECOND AND THIRD READINGS

10. “Zoning Bylaw, 1995, No. 6700, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 8960” (Three Shores Management, 120-128 East 14th Street, CD-760 (the Density Receiver Site)) and Text Amendment to Centennial Theatre, 2300 Lonsdale Avenue, 116 East 23rd Street, and Norseman Park (HJNL, CD-165)

REPORT

11. Alternative Approval Process Regarding North Shore Neighbourhood House and City Parks Loan Authorization Bylaw, 2024, No. 9006 – Petition Results

BYLAW – ADOPTION


PUBLIC CLARIFICATION PERIOD

COUNCIL INQUIRIES / REPORTS

NEW ITEMS OF BUSINESS

NOTICES OF MOTION

ADJOURN
CALL TO ORDER

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

1. Regular Council Meeting Agenda, May 6, 2024

ADOPTION OF MINUTES

2. Regular Council Meeting Minutes, April 22, 2024
3. Special Council Meeting Minutes, April 29, 2024

PUBLIC INPUT PERIOD

The Public Input Period is addressed in sections 12.20 to 12.28 of “Council Procedure Bylaw, 2015, No. 8500.” The time allotted for each speaker addressing Council during the Public Input Period is 2 minutes, with the number of speakers set at 5 persons. Speakers’ comments will be audio recorded, as well as live-streamed on the City’s website, and will form part of the public record.

Speakers may only speak on the same matter once in a 3-month period.

Speakers during the Public Input Period are permitted to join the meeting in person in the Council Chamber or electronically via Webex. There are 2 ways to sign up to speak during the Public Input Period.

1) IN PERSON: Speakers who choose to participate in person must sign the speaker list located outside the Council Chamber between 5:30 and 5:50pm on the day of the Council meeting.

2) ELECTRONICALLY VIA WEBEX: Speakers who choose to participate electronically must pre-register by 12:00 noon on the day of the Council meeting by completing the online form at cnv.org/PublicInputPeriod, or by phoning 604-990-4234. These pre-registrants will receive instructions by email or phone on the afternoon before the Council meeting.

If a speaker has written material to accompany their comments, the material must be sent to the Corporate Officer at clerks@cnv.org no later than 12:00 noon on the day of the Council Meeting.

The Public Input Period provides an opportunity for comment only and places the speaker’s concern on record, without the expectation of a response from Council. Speakers must comply with the General Rules of Conduct set out in section 5.1 of “Council Procedure Bylaw, 2015, No. 8500” and may not speak with respect to items as listed in section 12.25(2), including Zoning Bylaws for which a Public Hearing will not be held or is prohibited under section 464 of the Local Government Act.

Speakers are requested not to address matters that refer to items from a concluded Public Hearing/Public Meeting or to Public Hearings, Public Meetings and Committee meetings when those matters are scheduled on the same evening’s agenda, as an opportunity for public input is provided when the particular item comes forward for discussion.

Please address the Mayor as “Your Worship” or “Mayor, followed by their surname”. Councillors should be addressed as “Councillor, followed by their surname”.
CONSENT AGENDA

Items *4, *5, *6 and *7 are listed in the Consent Agenda and may be considered separately or in one motion.

RECOMMENDATION:

THAT the recommendations listed within the “Consent Agenda” be approved.

START OF CONSENT AGENDA

BYLAWS – ADOPTION

*4. “Zoning Bylaw, 1995, No. 6700, Amendment Bylaw, 2023, No. 8959” (David Iaquinta / Cobblestone Homes Ltd., 259 East 23rd Street, CD-750)

RECOMMENDATION:

THAT “Zoning Bylaw, 1995, No. 6700, Amendment Bylaw, 2023, No. 8959” (David Iaquinta / Cobblestone Homes Ltd., 259 East 23rd Street, CD-750) be adopted, signed by the Mayor and Corporate Officer and affixed with the corporate seal.

*5. “Development Cost Charge (Parks) Reserve Fund Bylaw, 2024, No. 9015” (2024 Capital Plan Funding)

RECOMMENDATION:

THAT “Development Cost Charge (Parks) Reserve Fund Bylaw, 2024, No. 9015” (2024 Capital Plan Funding) be adopted, signed by the Mayor and Corporate Officer and affixed with the corporate seal.


RECOMMENDATION:

THAT “Tax Rates Bylaw, 2024, No. 9017” be adopted, signed by the Mayor and Corporate Officer and affixed with the corporate seal.

*7. “Business Licence Bylaw, 2018, No. 8640, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 9023” (Short-Term Rentals and Other Residential Accommodation Businesses)

RECOMMENDATION:

THAT “Business Licence Bylaw, 2018, No. 8640, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 9023” (Short-Term Rentals and Other Residential Accommodation Businesses) be adopted, signed by the Mayor and Corporate Officer and affixed with the corporate seal.

END OF CONSENT AGENDA
REPORTS

8. 2023 Audited Consolidated Financial Statements – File: 05-1680-04-0001/2023

Report: Chief Financial Officer, April 24, 2024

RECOMMENDATION:

PURSUANT to the report of the Chief Financial Officer, dated April 24, 2024, entitled “2023 Audited Consolidated Financial Statements”:

THAT, in accordance with Section 167 of the Community Charter, Council accept the City of North Vancouver Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2023.

9. Rezoning Application: 120-128 East 14th Street (Three Shores Management, CD-760) and Text Amendment: Centennial Theatre, 2300 Lonsdale Avenue, 116 East 23rd Street and Norseman Park (HJNL, CD-165) – File: 08-3400-20-0075/1

Report: Planner 3, April 24, 2024

RECOMMENDATION:

PURSUANT to the report of the Planner 3, dated April 24, 2024, entitled “Rezoning Application: 120-128 East 14th Street (Three Shores Management, CD-760) and Text Amendment: Centennial Theatre, 2300 Lonsdale Avenue, 116 East 23rd Street and Norseman Park (HJNL, CD-165)”:

THAT the application submitted by Three Shores Management, to rezone the property located at 120-128 East 14th Street from a C-1B Zone to a Comprehensive Development Zone and to amend the CD-165 Zone to facilitate a density transfer, be considered with no Public Hearing held, in accordance with the Local Government Act, section 464(3) [public hearing prohibited];

THAT “Zoning Bylaw, 1995, No. 6700, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 8960” (Three Shores Management, 120-128 East 14th Street, CD-760 (the Density Receiver Site)) and Text Amendment to Centennial Theatre, 2300 Lonsdale Avenue, 116 East 23rd Street, and Norseman Park (HJNL, CD-165) be considered for first, second and third readings with no Public Hearing held, in accordance with the Local Government Act, section 464(3) [public hearing prohibited];

THAT the community benefits listed in the report section “Density Bonus and Community Benefits” be secured through agreements at the applicant’s expense and to the satisfaction of staff;

AND THAT the Mayor and Corporate Officer be authorized to sign the required legal agreements including an encroachment agreement to permit solar shades, which are permanently affixed to the proposed building and encroach over City property, and any other necessary documentation to give effect to the motion.

Item 10 refers.
10. “Zoning Bylaw, 1995, No. 6700, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 8960” (Three Shores Management, 120-128 East 14th Street, CD-760 (the Density Receiver Site)) and Text Amendment to Centennial Theatre, 2300 Lonsdale Avenue, 116 East 23rd Street, and Norseman Park (HJNL, CD-165)

RECOMMENDATION:

THAT “Zoning Bylaw, 1995, No. 6700, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 8960” (Three Shores Management, 120-128 East 14th Street, CD-760 (the Density Receiver Site)) and Text Amendment to Centennial Theatre, 2300 Lonsdale Avenue, 116 East 23rd Street, and Norseman Park (HJNL, CD-165) be given first and second readings;

AND THAT “Zoning Bylaw, 1995, No. 6700, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 8960” (Three Shores Management, 120-128 East 14th Street, CD-760 (the Density Receiver Site)) and Text Amendment to Centennial Theatre, 2300 Lonsdale Avenue, 116 East 23rd Street, and Norseman Park (HJNL, CD-165) be given third reading.


Report: Manager, Legislative and Election Services, and Senior Manager, Engagement and Communications, April 24, 2024

RECOMMENDATION:

PURSUANT to the report of the Manager, Legislative and Election Services, and the Senior Manager, Engagement and Communications, dated April 24, 2024, entitled “Alternative Approval Process Regarding North Shore Neighbourhood House and City Parks Loan Authorization Bylaw, 2024, No. 9006 – Petition Results”:

THAT the Acting Corporate Officer’s Certification for the Alternative Approval Process Opportunity regarding “North Shore Neighbourhood House and City Parks Loan Authorization Bylaw, 2024, No. 9006” be received and filed with the Inspector of Municipalities;

AND THAT “North Shore Neighbourhood House and City Parks Loan Authorization Bylaw, 2024, No. 9006” be considered for final adoption.

*Item 12 refers.*
BYLAW – ADOPTION


RECOMMENDATION:

THAT “North Shore Neighbourhood House and City Parks Loan Authorization Bylaw, 2024, No. 9006” be adopted, signed by the Mayor and Corporate Officer and affixed with the corporate seal.

PUBLIC CLARIFICATION PERIOD

The Public Clarification Period is limited to 10 minutes in total and is an opportunity for the public to ask a question regarding process or clarification on an item on the Regular Council Agenda. The Public Clarification Period concludes after 10 minutes and the Regular Council Meeting reconvenes.

COUNCIL INQUIRIES / REPORTS

NEW ITEMS OF BUSINESS

NOTICES OF MOTION

ADJOURN
The meeting was called to order at 6:00 pm.

**APPROVAL OF AGENDA**

Moved by Councillor Back, seconded by Councillor Shahriari

1. Regular Council Meeting Agenda, April 22, 2024

   THAT the Regular Agenda of April 22, 2024 be amended by moving Item 12 – “Public Hearing Prohibition for Rezonings for Residential Developments” for consideration after Proclamations;

   AND THAT the Agenda, as amended, be approved.

   CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

   R2024-04-22/1
ADOPTION OF MINUTES

Moved by Councillor Back, seconded by Councillor Girard

2. Regular Council Meeting Minutes, April 15, 2024

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY
R2024-04-22/2

PROCLAMATION

Mayor Buchanan declared the following proclamation:

Day of Mourning – April 28, 2024

REPORT

12. Public Hearing Prohibition for Rezonings for Residential Developments
– File: 06-2210-01-0001/2024

Moved by Councillor Girard, seconded by Councillor Back

PURSUANT to the report of the City Solicitor, dated April 16, 2024, entitled “Public Hearing Prohibition for Rezonings for Residential Developments”:

THAT the report of the City Solicitor, dated April 16, 2024, entitled “Public Hearing Prohibition for Rezonings for Residential Developments”, be received for information.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY
R2024-04-22/12

PUBLIC INPUT PERIOD

• Donald Piercy, HUB Cycling, North Vancouver, spoke in support of Item 5 – Upper Levels Greenway Update and Lonsdale Highway Overpass Mobility Improvements Project Initiation, and requested separate bike paths through Tempe Heights Park.
• Garry Nishimura, North Vancouver, spoke regarding his disappointment in not being able to speak to a particular development application due to recent changes in Provincial legislation.
• Richard Short, North Vancouver, spoke regarding improved communication and transparency from Council members regarding development applications.
• Sue Knaap, North Vancouver, spoke in opposition to increased density, traffic congestion and a decline in the environment from increased pollution in Central Lonsdale.

CONSENT AGENDA

Moved by Councillor Valente, seconded by Councillor Back

THAT the recommendation listed within the “Consent Agenda” be approved.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY
START OF CONSENT AGENDA

3. “Financial Plan for the Years 2024 to 2028 Bylaw, 2024, No. 9016”

Moved by Councillor Valente, seconded by Councillor Back

THAT “Financial Plan for the Years 2024 to 2028 Bylaw, 2024, No. 9016” be adopted, signed by the Mayor and Corporate Officer and affixed with the corporate seal.

(CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY BY CONSENT)

R2024-04-22/3

END OF CONSENT AGENDA

PRESENTATION

Economic Strategy – Manager, Economic Development

The Manager, Economic Development, provided a PowerPoint presentation regarding the “Economic Strategy” and responded to questions from Council.

Councillor Girard left the meeting at 7:21 pm and returned at 7:23 pm.

REPORT


Report: Manager, Economic Development, April 10, 2024

Moved by Councillor Shahriari, seconded by Councillor Girard

PURSUANT to the report of the Manager, Economic Development, dated April 10, 2024, entitled “Economic Strategy”:

THAT the City of North Vancouver Economic Strategy be endorsed;

AND THAT staff report back to Council with annual updates regarding progress through the Annual Municipal Report process.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

R2024-04-22/4

PRESENTATION

Upper Levels Greenway Update and Incorporation of the Lonsdale Highway Crossing: Project Initiation – Manager, Public Realm Infrastructure

The Manager, Public Realm Infrastructure, and Director, Engineering, Parks and Environment, provided a PowerPoint presentation regarding the “Upper Levels Greenway Update and Incorporation of the Lonsdale Highway Crossing: Project Initiation” and responded to questions from Council.
REPORT

5. Upper Levels Greenway Update and Lonsdale Highway Overpass Mobility Improvements Project Initiation – File: 16-8350-20-0046/1

Report: Manager, Public Realm Infrastructure, April 10, 2024

Moved by Councillor Valente, seconded by Councillor Girard

PURSUANT to the report of the Manager, Public Realm Infrastructure, dated April 10, 2024, entitled “Upper Levels Greenway Update and Lonsdale Highway Overpass Mobility Improvements Project Initiation”:

THAT the community’s preferred alignment for the Upper Levels Greenway project be endorsed;

THAT staff be directed to complete options analysis, concept design and public engagement for the Lonsdale Highway Overpass Mobility Improvements;

AND THAT staff be directed to integrate analysis and concept design work of the Lonsdale Highway Overpass Mobility Improvements with the Phase 1 concept of the Upper Levels Greenway to develop a coordinated mobility solution.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

R2024-04-22/5

Mayor Buchanan declared a recess at 8:34 pm and reconvened the meeting at 8:41 pm.

PRESENTATION

Business Licensing of Short-Term Rentals – Manager, Economic Development

The Manager, Economic Development, and Deputy Director, Real Estate and Economic Development, provided a PowerPoint presentation regarding “Business Licensing of Short-Term Rentals” and responded to questions from Council.

REPORT

6. Business Licensing of Short-Term Rentals – File: 13-6750-10-0001/1

Report: Manager, Economic Development, April 10, 2024

Moved by Councillor Valente, seconded by Councillor Bell

PURSUANT to the report of the Manager, Economic Development, dated April 10, 2024, entitled “Business Licensing of Short-Term Rentals”:

THAT “Business Licence Bylaw, 2018, No. 8640, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 9023” (Short-Term Rentals and Other Residential Accommodation Businesses) be considered;

Continued…
REPORT – Continued

6. Business Licensing of Short-Term Rentals – File: 13-6750-10-0001/1 – Continued

AND THAT staff seek redirection of the portion of the Municipal and Regional District Tax collected on Short-Term Rentals to the City’s Affordable Housing Reserve Fund for the purpose of supporting affordable housing initiatives.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

BYLAW – FIRST, SECOND AND THIRD READINGS

7. "Business Licence Bylaw, 2018, No. 8640, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 9023" (Short-Term Rentals and Other Residential Accommodation Businesses)

Moved by Councillor Girard, seconded by Councillor Valente

THAT “Business Licence Bylaw, 2018, No. 8640, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 9023” (Short-Term Rentals and Other Residential Accommodation Businesses) be given first and second readings;

AND THAT “Business Licence Bylaw, 2018, No. 8640, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 9023” (Short-Term Rentals and Other Residential Accommodation Businesses) be given third reading.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

REPORT

8. 2024 Appropriations #1 – File: 05-1705-30-0019/2024

Report: Chief Financial Officer, April 10, 2024

Moved by Councillor Girard, seconded by Councillor Back

PURSUANT to the report of the Chief Financial Officer, dated April 10, 2024, entitled “2024 Appropriations #1”:

THAT (Funding Appropriation #2405) an amount of $6,635,184 be appropriated from the General Capital Reserve for the purpose of funding the 2024-2028 Capital Plan;

THAT (Funding Appropriation #2406) an amount of $42,936 be appropriated from the Computer Equipment Reserve for the purpose of funding the 2024-2028 Capital Plan;

THAT (Funding Appropriation #2407) an amount of $23,568,121 be appropriated from the Civic Amenity Harry Jerome Reserve for the purpose of funding the 2024-2028 Capital Plan;

Continued…
REPORT – Continued

8. 2024 Appropriations #1 – File: 05-1705-30-0019/2024 – Continued

THAT (Funding Appropriation #2408) an amount of $2,746,550 be appropriated from the Civic Amenity Reserve for the purpose of funding the 2024-2028 Capital Plan;

THAT (Funding Appropriation #2409) an amount of $185,000 be appropriated from the Carbon Fund Reserve for the purpose of funding the 2024-2028 Capital Plan;

THAT (Funding Appropriation #2410) an amount of $320,000 be appropriated from the Tax Sale Land Interest Reserve for the purpose of funding the 2024-2028 Capital Plan;

THAT (Funding Appropriation #2411) an amount of $640,000 be appropriated from the Growing Community Reserve for the purpose of funding the 2024-2028 Capital Plan;

THAT “Development Cost Charge (Parks) Reserve Fund Bylaw, 2024, No. 9015” (2024 Capital Plan Funding), a Bylaw to appropriate an amount of $49,500 from DCC (Parks) Reserve Fund to fund 2024-2028 Capital Plan, be considered;

AND THAT should any of the amounts remain unexpended as at December 31, 2027, the unexpended balances shall be returned to the credit of the respective reserves.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY
R2024-04-22/8

BYLAW – FIRST, SECOND AND THIRD READINGS

9. “Development Cost Charge (Parks) Reserve Fund Bylaw, 2024, No. 9015” (2024 Capital Plan Funding)

Moved by Councillor Bell, seconded by Councillor Shahriari

THAT “Development Cost Charge (Parks) Reserve Fund Bylaw, 2024, No. 9015” (2024 Capital Plan Funding) be given first and second readings;

AND THAT “Development Cost Charge (Parks) Reserve Fund Bylaw, 2024, No. 9015” (2024 Capital Plan Funding) be given third reading.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY
R2024-04-22/9
COUNCIL INQUIRIES / REPORTS

13. Tennis Feasibility Study – File: 01-0220-01-0001/2023

Inquiry by Councillor Valente

Councillor Valente inquired of Mayor Buchanan regarding the staff approach and scope of work for the Tennis Feasibility Study. Mayor Buchanan advised that this matter has been forwarded to staff for a report back to Council.


Inquiry by Councillor Valente

Councillor Valente inquired of Mayor Buchanan regarding the number of Leading Pedestrian Interval (LPI) intersections in the City. Mayor Buchanan advised that this matter has been forwarded to staff for a report back to Council.
NEW ITEMS OF BUSINESS
Nil.

NOTICES OF MOTION
Nil.

ADJOURN
Moved by Councillor Shahriari, seconded by Councillor Girard

    THAT the meeting adjourn.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The meeting adjourned at 9:48 pm.

“Certified Correct by the Acting Corporate Officer”

ACTING CORPORATE OFFICER
PRESENT

COUNCIL MEMBERS          STAFF MEMBERS
Mayor L. Buchanan          L. McCarthy, CAO
Councillor H. Back         P. DeJong, Acting Corporate Officer
Councillor D. Bell
Councillor A. Girard
Councillor J. McIlroy
Councillor S. Shahriari
Councillor T. Valente

The meeting was called to order at 5:33 pm.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Moved by Councillor Valente, seconded by Councillor Shahriari

1. Special Council Meeting Agenda, April 29, 2024  
   
   CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY  
   R2024-04-29/1

RECESS TO CLOSED SESSION

Moved by Councillor McIlroy, seconded by Councillor Bell

   THAT Council recess to the Special Committee of the Whole, Closed Session, 
   pursuant to the Community Charter, Section 90(1)(a) [personal information] and 
   90(1)(c) [employee relations], and where required, Council considers that the matters 
   could reasonably be expected to harm the interests of the City if they were held in 
   public.  

   CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The meeting recessed to the Special Committee of the Whole, Closed Session, at 5:34 pm 
and reconvened at 7:07 pm.
REPORT OF THE SPECIAL COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE (CLOSED SESSION)

Moved by Councillor Shahriari, seconded by Councillor Valente

THAT the following item from the Special Committee of the Whole (Closed Session), of April 29, 2024, be ratified:

2. Personnel Matter

Report: Mayor Buchanan, April 29, 2024

PURSUANT to the report of Mayor Buchanan, dated April 29, 2024, regarding a personnel matter:

THAT the wording of the resolution and the report of Mayor Buchanan, dated April 29, 2024, remain in the Closed session.

R2024-04-29/2

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ADJOURN

Moved by Councillor McIlroy, seconded by Councillor Bell

THAT the meeting adjourn.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The meeting adjourned at 7:08 pm.

“Certified Correct by the Acting Corporate Officer”

__________________________
ACTING CORPORATE OFFICER
The Corporation of the City of North Vancouver

BYLAW NO. 8959

A Bylaw to amend “Zoning Bylaw, 1995, No. 6700”

The Council of The Corporation of the City of North Vancouver, in open meeting assembled, enacts as follows:

1. This Bylaw shall be known and cited for all purposes as “Zoning Bylaw, 1995, No. 6700, Amendment Bylaw, 2023, No. 8959” (David Iaquinta / Cobblestone Homes Ltd., 259 East 23rd Street, CD-750).

2. Division VI: Zoning Map of Document “A” of “Zoning Bylaw, 1995, No. 6700” is hereby amended by reclassifying the following lands currently having a civic address of 259 East 23rd Street and legally described below as henceforth being transferred, added to and forming part of CD-750 (Comprehensive Development 750 Zone):

| PID: 011-144-815 | LOT 12 BLOCK 208 DISTRICT LOT 546 PLAN 5481 |

from zone RS-1.

3. Part 11 of Division V: Comprehensive Development Regulations of Document “A” of “Zoning Bylaw, 1995, No. 6700” is hereby amended by:

A. Adding the following Comprehensive Development Zone to Section 1101 in numerical order:

CD-750 Comprehensive Development 750 Zone (259 East 23rd Street)

In the CD-750 Zone, permitted Uses, regulations for permitted Uses, regulations for the size, shape and siting of Buildings and Structures and required Off-Street Parking shall be as in the RT-1 Zone, except that:

(1) One Principal Building containing a maximum of three dwelling units shall be permitted on one Lot;

(2) The permitted Uses on the Lot shall be limited to:

   (a) One-Unit Residential Use, subject to Section 506(2) of this Bylaw;
   (b) Two-Unit Residential Use, subject to Section 506(2) of this Bylaw;
   (c) Townhouse Residential Use, subject to Section 506(2) of this Bylaw;
   (d) Accessory Home Occupation Use, subject to Sections 507(6), (7), and (8) of this Bylaw;
   (e) Accessory Home Office Use; and
   (f) Accessory Off-Street Parking Use;

(3) Gross Floor Area combined and in total, shall not exceed 0.5 times the Lot Area, except that:

   (a) Basement floor area may be excluded from Gross Floor Area, up to a maximum of 167 square metres;
(4) Lot Coverage of the Principal Building shall not exceed 35%.

READ a first time on the 24th day of July, 2023.

READ a second time on the 24th day of July, 2023.

READ a third time on the 24th day of July, 2023.

APPROVED pursuant to section 52(3)(a) of the Transportation Act on the 3rd day of November, 2023.

ADOPTED on the <> day of <>, 2023.

MAYOR

CORPORATE OFFICER
THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF NORTH VANCOUVER

BYLAW NO. 9015

A Bylaw to authorize the expenditure of monies from the Development Cost Charge (Parks) Reserve Fund for the 2024 Capital Plan Appropriations.

WHEREAS the entire City is listed in “Development Cost Charges Bylaw, 2016, No. 8471” as an area where development cost charges for parks will be levied;

AND WHEREAS the development of park land is a capital cost permitted to be paid using Development Cost Charge funds under Section 566 of the Local Government Act;

NOW THEREFORE the Council of The Corporation of the City of North Vancouver, in open meeting assembled, enacts as follows:

1. This Bylaw shall be known and cited for all purposes as “Development Cost Charge (Parks) Reserve Fund Bylaw, 2024, No. 9015” (2024 Capital Plan Funding).

2. The following amount is hereby appropriated from the Development Cost Charge (Parks) Reserve Fund for the purpose of funding:

   A. $49,500 for the “1600 Eastern Avenue Park Construction” project.

READ a first time on the 22nd day of April, 2024.

READ a second time on the 22nd day of April, 2024.

READ a third time on the 22nd day of April, 2024.

ADOPTED on the <> day of <>, 2024.

MAYOR

____________________________

ACTING CORPORATE OFFICER

____________________________
THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF NORTH VANCOUVER

BYLAW NO. 9017

A Bylaw to impose property value taxes on land and improvements in the
City of North Vancouver for the year 2024.

WHEREAS it is provided under Section 197(1) of the Community Charter being, that the Council must, each year after adoption of the financial plan but before the 15th day of May, subject to the provisions and restrictions of the Community Charter contained, pass a bylaw to impose property value taxes on all land and improvements according to the assessed value thereof, for the purpose enumerated and set forth in Section 197(1) of the Community Charter;

WHEREAS the Council of The Corporation of the City of North Vancouver deems it necessary and expedient to pass a bylaw for imposing a property value tax on all taxable land and improvements, according to the assessed value thereof, on the last Revised Assessment roll for The Corporation of the City of North Vancouver, the rates thereinafter imposed and levied for purpose hereinafter stated;

WHEREAS for General and Debt purposes, according to the last Revised Assessment Roll of the City, the amount of the assessed value of the taxable land and taxable improvements is $33,577,876,823;

AND WHEREAS for the Regional Hospital purposes, according to the last Revised Assessment roll of the City, the amount of the assessed value of the taxable land and taxable improvements is $33,601,226,323;

NOW THEREFORE the Council of The Corporation of the City of North Vancouver, in open meeting assembled, enacts as follows:

1. This Bylaw shall be known and cited for all purposes as “Tax Rates Bylaw, 2024, No. 9017”.

2. The following rates are hereby imposed and levied for the year 2024:

   A. For all lawful general purposes of the municipality on the assessed value of land and improvements taxable for general municipal purposes, rates appearing on Schedule “A” attached hereto and forming a part hereof.

   B. For the storm drainage purposes on the assessed value of land and improvements taxable for general municipal purposes, rates appearing on Schedule “B” attached hereto and forming a part hereof.

   C. For the eco levy purposes on the assessed value of land and improvements taxable for general municipal purposes, rates appearing on Schedule “C” attached hereto and forming a part hereof.

   D. For the City’s appropriate share of the monies required for the operating fund of the Metro Vancouver Regional District for the year 2024, on the assessed value of land and improvements taxable for Regional Hospital District purposes, rates appearing on Schedule “D” attached hereto and forming a part hereof.
3. The minimum amount of taxation upon a parcel of real property shall be One Hundred Dollars ($100.00) for property owners 65 years of age and over and Three Hundred and Fifty Dollars ($350.00) for property owners under 65 years of age.

READ a first time on the 22nd day of April, 2024.

READ a second time on the 22nd day of April, 2024.

READ a third time on the 22nd day of April, 2024.

ADOPTED on the <> day of <>, 2024.

__________________________________________
MAYOR

__________________________________________
ACTING CORPORATE OFFICER
## SCHEDULE “A”

**2024 Tax Rates ($’s of tax per $1,000 taxable value)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Class</th>
<th>Municipal General</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Residential</td>
<td>1.65756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Utilities</td>
<td>37.47356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Major Industry</td>
<td>25.76307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Major Industry (With Provincial Cap)</td>
<td>25.76307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Major Industry (With Provincial Cap for new investment)</td>
<td>21.07888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Light Industry</td>
<td>5.31567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Business</td>
<td>5.31567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Recreation/Non Profit</td>
<td>1.89010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### SCHEDULE “B”

#### 2024 Tax Rates ($’s of tax per $1,000 taxable value)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Class</th>
<th>Storm Drainage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Residential</td>
<td>0.08415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Utilities</td>
<td>1.90238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Major Industry</td>
<td>1.30789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Major Industry (With Provincial Cap)</td>
<td>1.30789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Major Industry (With Provincial Cap for new investment)</td>
<td>1.07009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Light Industrial</td>
<td>0.26985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Business</td>
<td>0.26985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Recreation/Non Profit</td>
<td>0.09595</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## SCHEDULE “C”

2024 Tax Rates ($’s of tax per $1,000 taxable value)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Class</th>
<th>Eco Levy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Residential</td>
<td>0.02760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Utilities</td>
<td>0.62406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Major Industry</td>
<td>0.42904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Major Industry (With Provincial Cap)</td>
<td>0.42904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Major Industry (With Provincial Cap for new investment)</td>
<td>0.35103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Light Industry</td>
<td>0.08852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Business</td>
<td>0.08852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Recreation/Non Profit</td>
<td>0.03148</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# SCHEDULE “D”

2024 Tax Rates ($’s of tax per $1,000 taxable value)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Class</th>
<th>Metro Vancouver Regional District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Residential</td>
<td>0.05718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Utilities</td>
<td>0.20011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Major Industry</td>
<td>0.19439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Light Industry</td>
<td>0.19439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Business</td>
<td>0.14008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Recreation/Non Profit</td>
<td>0.05717</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A Bylaw to amend “Business Licence Bylaw, 2018, No. 8640”

The Council of The Corporation of the City of North Vancouver, in open meeting assembled, enacts as follows:

1. This Bylaw shall be known and cited for all purposes as “Business Licence Bylaw, 2018, No. 8640, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 9023” (Short-Term Rentals and Other Residential Accommodation Businesses).

2. “Business Licence Bylaw, 2018, No. 8640” is amended as follows:

   A. In Part 3 – Definitions:

      (1) By deleting the definitions of “Apartment House” and “Building Rentals”.

      (2) By replacing “Bed and Breakfast” with “Accessory Bed and Breakfast” wherever it appears in the Bylaw.

      (3) By deleting the definition of “Rooming House” and replacing it with the following definition, in alphabetical order:

             “Accessory Dormitory Use” means a building that is used for renting or leasing rooms without cooking facilities to students attending an educational institution located on the same parcel.

      (4) By replacing “Rooming House” with “Accessory Dormitory Use” wherever it appears in the Bylaw.

      (5) By adding the following definitions in alphabetical order:

             “Accessory Boarding – Long Term” means a portion of a Dwelling Unit that is used for the purpose of gain or profit by renting or leasing rooms, without cooking facilities, for 90 or more consecutive days, in accordance with the limitations set out in Zoning Bylaw, 1995, No. 6700 as amended or replaced from time to time.

             “Accessory Boarding – Short Term” means a portion of a Dwelling Unit that is used for the purpose of gain or profit by renting or leasing rooms, without cooking facilities, for less than 90 consecutive days, in accordance with the limitations set out in Zoning Bylaw, 1995, No. 6700 as amended or replaced from time to time.

             “Commercial Rental” means leasing, letting or renting offices, suites or rooms for non residential accommodation purposes. No Person shall be required to pay a Commercial Rental Licence fee for premises occupied for the purpose of their business provided they are licensed for a business other than Commercial Rental.
“Dwelling Unit” means one or more habitable rooms designed, occupied or intended to be occupied for independent residential accommodation of one or more persons, containing: toilet, bathroom, sleeping and living areas, and cooking facilities.

“Principal Residence” means the residence in which an individual resides for at least 183 days per year.

“Residential Accommodation Business” means Accessory Bed and Breakfast; Accessory Boarding – Long Term; Accessory Boarding – Short Term; Residential Rental – Long Term; and Residential Rental - Short Term.

“Residential Rental – Long Term” means the rental of a Dwelling Unit for accommodation for 90 or more consecutive days.

“Residential Rental – Short Term” means the rental of a Dwelling Unit for accommodation for less than 90 consecutive days.

B. By deleting section 505 and replacing it with the following:

505 Residential Accommodation Business

Every person carrying on the Business of or operating a Residential Accommodation Business shall:

(1) Install and maintain in good working order a fire extinguisher of not less than a five pound ABC class. Such fire extinguisher is to be mounted in a visible area of the kitchen;

(2) Post an evacuation plan in a visible area at the main entry/ exit, and clearly indicate the contact information (accessible 24/7) for the operator responsible for the rental premises;

(3) Comply with life safety requirements to the satisfaction of the Municipality’s Chief Building Official for use of a Primary Dwelling Unit, an Accessory Secondary Suite, an Accessory Dwelling Unit, and/or an Accessory Lock-Off Unit, for rental purposes. The requirements for compliance may include but may not be limited to:

(a) Install and maintain in good working order interconnected smoke alarms, including where applicable, carbon monoxide detectors;
(b) Comply with requirements for bedroom window egress; and
(c) Comply with requirements for access to the accessory unit by the Municipality’s Fire Department;

(4) Comply with the British Columbia Building Code to the satisfaction of the Municipality’s Chief Building Official for any changes, upgrades or improvements made to a building;

(5) Carry on no more than one Residential Accommodation Business at a time, per Dwelling Unit.
505A  Accessory Bed and Breakfast

Every Person carrying on the Business of or operating an Accessory Bed and Breakfast shall:

(1) Only carry on the Business in their Principal Residence;

(2) Obtain the written approval of the property owner and Strata Corporation, if applicable and provide evidence of written approval to the City upon request;

(3) Provide to the City the contact information for a person who must be able to respond to an inquiry or complaint while paying guests are hosted at the premises;

(4) Maintain and provide to the City on request a list of the dates, duration, and group size of all bookings for the Bed and Breakfast.

505B  Accessory Boarding – Long Term

Every Person carrying on the Business of or operating Accessory Boarding – Long Term shall:

(1) Only carry on the Business in their Principal Residence;

(2) Obtain the written approval of the property owner and Strata Corporation, if applicable and provide evidence of written approval to the City upon request.

505C  Accessory Boarding – Short Term

Every Person carrying on the Business of or operating Accessory Boarding – Short Term shall:

(1) Only carry on the Business in their Principal Residence;

(2) Obtain the written approval of the property owner and Strata Corporation, if applicable and provide evidence of written approval to the City upon request;

(3) Provide to the City the contact information for a person who must be able to respond to an inquiry or complaint while boarders are hosted at the premises;

(4) Maintain and provide to the City on request a list of the dates, duration, and number of boarders accommodated at the premises.

505D  Residential Rentals – Long Term

(1) Every Person carrying on the Business of or operating Residential Rentals- Long Term shall obtain the written approval of the property owner and
Strata Corporation, if applicable and provide evidence of written approval to the City upon request;

(2) Any Person who carries on the Business of or operates Non Market Rental Housing, or a Residential Rental – Long Term business that is limited to one Dwelling Unit, shall be exempt from the requirement to obtain a business licence.

505E Residential Rentals – Short Term

Every Person carrying on the Business of or operating Residential Rentals – Short Term shall:

(1) Only carry on the Business in their Principal Residence or Dwelling Unit on the same legal parcel as their Principal Residence;

(2) Require a separate Licence for the Principal Residence and Dwelling Unit on the same legal parcel, if applicable;

(3) Obtain the written approval of the property owner and Strata Corporation, if applicable and provide evidence of written approval to the City upon request;

(4) Provide to the City the contact information for a person who must be able to respond to an inquiry or complaint while paying guests are hosted at the premises;

(5) Maintain and provide to the City on request a list of the dates, duration, and group size of all bookings for the premises.

C. By deleting the following Licence Types and City Codes from Schedule “A”, NAICS Code 53:

Building Rental – Commercial 5310
Building Rental – Residential 5311

D. By replacing the words “Rooming and Boarding House” with “Accessory Dormitory Use” in Schedule “A”, Category Accommodation and Food Service, NAICS Code 72.

E. By adding the following licence types to Schedule “A”:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Licence Type</th>
<th>NAICS Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate, Rental and Leasing</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Commercial Rental</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate, Rental and Leasing</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Residential Rental – Long Term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate, Rental and Leasing</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Residential Rental – Short Term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accommodation and Food Service</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Accessory Boarding – Long Term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accommodation and Food Service</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Accessory Boarding – Short Term</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
F. By adding the following to Schedule “B” Fees:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Code</th>
<th>Business Classification</th>
<th>Fee or Fee Table (Schedule “C”)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>531113</td>
<td>Residential Rental – Long Term</td>
<td>$75 per dwelling unit (up to 5); $25 for each subsequent unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>531111</td>
<td>Residential Rental – Short Term</td>
<td>$550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>721198</td>
<td>Accessory Boarding – Long Term</td>
<td>$122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72131</td>
<td>Accessory Boarding – Short Term</td>
<td>$250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G. By deleting the following from Schedule “C” Fees:

C. Building Rentals

and replacing it with the following:

C. Commercial Rental

H. By deleting the following from Schedule “C”, Table III - Units:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building Type</th>
<th>Fee or Fee Table</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apartment House</td>
<td>$19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boarding House</td>
<td>$10.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

READ a first time on the 22nd day of April, 2024.

READ a second time on the 22nd day of April, 2024.

READ a third time on the 22nd day of April, 2024.

ADOPTED on the <> day of <>, 2024.

MAYOR

ACTING CORPORATE OFFICER
The Corporation of THE CITY OF NORTH VANCOUVER
FINANCE DEPARTMENT
REPORT

To: Mayor Linda Buchanan and Members of Council
From: Larry Sawrenko, Chief Financial Officer
Subject: 2023 AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
Date: April 24, 2024

The following is a suggested recommendation only. Refer to Council Minutes for adopted resolution.

RECOMMENDATION:

PURSUANT to the report of the Chief Financial Officer, dated April 24, 2024, entitled “2023 Audited Consolidated Financial Statements”:

THAT in accordance with Section 167 of the Community Charter, Council accept the City of North Vancouver Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2023.

ATTACHMENTS:

(1) The Corporation of the City of North Vancouver Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2023 – DRAFT (CityDoc#2484613).

PURPOSE:

Under the provisions of the Community Charter, CNV’s annual financial statements must be presented to and accepted by Council by May 15 of the year following. The attached statements have been audited by CNV’s appointed auditors, BDO Canada LLP (“BDO”). In accordance with the latest recommendations of the Accounting Standards Board, signatures by the auditors on the audit report and by the Chief Financial Officer on the statements will be added after acceptance of the statements by Council.

Document Number: 2490980
BACKGROUND:

CNV’s audited consolidated financial statements reflect:

- All CNV’s funds, including the water, sewer & drainage, and the solid waste utilities, as well as cemetery operations
- The North Vancouver City Library
- CNV’s ownership of Lonsdale Energy Corporation (“LEC”)
- CNV’s 50% share of the North Vancouver Museum & Archives Commission (“NVMA”)
- CNV’s 33.9% share of the North Vancouver Recreation & Culture Commission (“NVCRC”)

The Cemetery Trust, which is administered by CNV, is not included in the consolidated statements, as these funds are only managed by CNV on behalf of other beneficiaries.

DISCUSSION:

BDO has recently completed the audit of CNV’s financial statements. Its conclusion is as follows:

“The accompanying consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of CNV as at December 31, 2023, and its consolidated results of operations, its changes in consolidated net financial assets, its consolidated cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with Canadian public sector accounting standards.”

Local governments in B.C. are not permitted to budget for deficits. Should a deficit occur, the resulting deficit must be carried forward to the current year’s Financial Plan as an expenditure, restricting a government’s ability to deliver on its plans. CNV prepares its Financial Plans to achieve balanced budgets and manages its financial performance to avoid deficits consistent with the Community Charter.

In 2023, CNV had a surplus of $35.4 million compared to a surplus of $51.1 million in 2022, representing 19.0% and 27.1% of revenues respectively. A summary of these surpluses is shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category ($ millions)</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenues</td>
<td>186.1</td>
<td>188.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses</td>
<td>150.7</td>
<td>137.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consolidated Annual Surplus</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>51.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surplus as a percentage of revenues</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated Surplus, beginning of year</td>
<td>681.7</td>
<td>630.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated Surplus, end of year</td>
<td>717.1</td>
<td>681.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The deficit for Solid Waste was driven mainly by retro pay for the wage contract settlement. Annual surpluses are kept within the individual utility/cemetery operating funds.

**Statutory and Capital Reserves**

The Statutory and Capital Reserve surplus of $20.6M was behind the majority of CNV’s total 2023 surplus. This $20.6M surplus primarily resulted from the Growing Communities Fund (“GCF”) Provincial grant received of $11.0M and interest earned on statutory funds of $9.1M.

The GCF was part of the Province of BC’s unanticipated one-time $1 billion investment in BC’s 188 municipalities and regional districts. The objective of the GCF is to support communities that are increasing local housing supply make new investments in community infrastructure and amenities. CNV received an amount of $10,986,000 and fully recognized this amount as revenue in 2023.

In 2023, the Bank of Canada continued to increase monetary policy interest rates to 5 percent, which held steady to the end of 2023, whereas consensus forecasts at the beginning of 2023 were expecting rates to drop in the 2nd half of the year. As CNV's investment assets matured, they were allocated to high interest bearing savings accounts. This resulted in increased interest revenues in 2023.

**Major Agencies**

CNV funds major agencies including the North Vancouver City Library, the North Vancouver Museum & Archives Commission and North Vancouver Recreation & Culture Commission through grants. The audited results of each agency are consolidated with CNV’s financial statements at their proportionate share and are detailed for 2023 as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency ($ millions)</th>
<th>2023 Surplus Drivers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North Vancouver City Library</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Vancouver Museum &amp; Archives Commission 1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Vancouver Recreation &amp; Culture Commission 2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.5</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1, 2 NVMA and NVRC are included at CNV’s proportionate share of 50% and 33.94% respectively.

These agencies’ 2023 financial performance is generally consistent with approved budgets. The Library’s surplus is due to a one-time Enhancement Grant of $0.4M received from the Province of British Columbia to supplement local government funding, support library service enhancement, respond to local service priorities and extend library services over three years, that was unanticipated. The full amount of the grant was recognized as revenue in 2023.

**Assessment of Financial Condition:**

Assessing the financial health of a regional or local government includes consideration of several financial and non-financial elements. The primary financial elements assessed
by credit rating agencies when analyzing the financial health of a municipality are debt burden and liquidity.

- **Debt Burden** refers to amount of debt relative to the size of an organization.
- **Liquidity** refers to the adequacy of assets readily convertible to cash compared to key expenses.

**Debt Burden**

1. Debt Service Payments / Adjusted Operating Revenues

This metric compares the amount of an organization’s interest and principal costs (which are correlated with debt levels) to the organization’s size, as indicated by general revenues (primarily taxes, fees, rents, and from sale of services). The measure used by the Provincial Government to evaluate a municipality’s leverage as specified in the Municipal Liabilities Regulation is a comparison of debt service obligations to revenues, with the maximum permitted ratio being equal to 25%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$ thousands, unless otherwise indicated</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual Debt Service Costs (A)</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted Operating Revenues (B)</td>
<td>$155,564</td>
<td>$139,760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio (A/B)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Given CNV had no drawn debt in 2022, its current debt service ratio is at the top of this category.

**Liquidity**

2. Unencumbered Liquid Assets / Annual Debt Service Costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$ thousands, unless otherwise indicated</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unencumbered Liquid Assets (A)</td>
<td>$11,688</td>
<td>$9,168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Debt Service Costs (B)</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio (A/B)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>39:1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S&P’s top performers in this category have ratios greater than 1.2:1, so CNV again would achieve a top rating in this category.

**Future Loans**

CNV also has a committed Municipal Finance Authority loan facility in the amount of $109 million to support the Harry Jerome Community Recreation Centre (“HJCRC”) capital program. This loan is currently undrawn and there were no actual interest charges for 2023. The HJCRC financial strategy endorsed by Council on January 31, 2022 plans for the HJCRC loan to be paid after the completion of the project with the proceeds generated from the disposition of the Harry Jerome Neighborhood Lands, so is not anticipated to generate future ongoing debt service obligations for CNV.
CNV has also included new investments in a new North Shore Neighborhood House, the Kings Mill Walk Park, and the 1600 Eastern Avenue Park in its 2024-2028 Financial Plan that, if eventually approved as provided for in this Financial Plan, would be funded by a new $55.7 million debt facility. Should this new debt facility be fully drawn and repaid over the long term, staff estimate that CNV’s debt service payments to adjusted operating revenues ratio would be 2.3%, well below the maximum ratio permitted by the Municipal Finance Regulations of 25%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$ thousands, unless otherwise indicated</th>
<th>2023 (Adj.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interest Payments (A)</td>
<td>$3,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted Operating Revenues (B)</td>
<td>$155,564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio (A/B)</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For further comparison, this leverage ratio for municipalities in BC ranged from 0% to 22% in 2022.

The liquidity ratio of unencumbered liquid assets to annual debt service costs would have been 3:1, within the S&P’s top rating.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$ thousands, unless otherwise indicated</th>
<th>2023 (Adj.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unencumbered Liquid Assets (A)</td>
<td>$11,688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Debt Service Costs (B)</td>
<td>$3,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio (A/B)</td>
<td>3:1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 2023 Adj assumes 2023 actual results, adjusted to assume CNV’s $55.7M MFA loan was fully drawn

Overall, even under the assumption that this debt was fully drawn in 2023, CNV’s financial position would remain strong.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:

Financial implications have been addressed throughout the report. Overall, CNV’s financial position is strong.

INTERDEPARTMENTAL IMPLICATIONS:

This report and draft statements summarize the financial position of the whole organization, including its related entities. CNV’s favourable financial position reflects CNV departments’ and related entities’ cooperation and participation in sound financial management.

STRATEGIC PLAN, OCP OR POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

The financial results for 2023 reflect CNV’s Corporate Business Plan Outcome of being “A Fiscally Responsible Organization”. These results also reflect Community Charter requirements to manage within a balanced budget framework.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:  
Larry Sawrenko  
Chief Financial Officer
The Corporation of the City of North Vancouver
Consolidated Financial Statements
For the year ended December 31, 2023
Statement of Management Responsibility

The Council of the Corporation of the City of North Vancouver (“CNV”) has delegated the responsibility for the integrity and objectivity of the financial information contained in the consolidated financial statements to the management of CNV. The consolidated financial statements which, in part, are based on informed judgments and estimates, have been prepared by management in accordance with Canadian public sector accounting standards, which have been applied on a basis consistent with that of the preceding year.

To assist in carrying out their responsibility, management maintains an accounting system and internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that transactions are executed and recorded in accordance with authorization, and that financial records are reliable for preparation of financial statements.

The Mayor and Council oversee management's responsibilities for the financial reporting and internal control systems. Council annually reviews and accepts the consolidated financial statements.

CNV’s independent auditors, BDO Canada LLP, are engaged to express an opinion as to whether CNV’s consolidated financial statements present fairly in all material respects the financial position of CNV as at December 31, 2023, and the results of operations, changes in net financial assets and cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards.

The consolidated financial statements have, in management’s opinion, been properly prepared within reasonable limits of materiality and in accordance with Canadian public sector accounting standards.

Larry Sawrenko
Chief Financial Officer
Date, 2024
Independent Auditor’s Report

To the Mayor and Council of The Corporation of the City of North Vancouver

Opinion

We have audited the consolidated financial statements of the Corporation of the City of North Vancouver and its controlled entities (the “City”), which comprise the consolidated statement of financial position as at December 31, 2023 and the consolidated statement of operations, the consolidated statement of changes in net financial assets and the consolidated statement of cash flows for the year then ended, and notes to the consolidated financial statements, including a summary of significant accounting policies.

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of the City as at December 31, 2023, and its consolidated results of operations, its changes in consolidated net financial assets, its consolidated cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with Canadian public sector accounting standards.

Basis for Opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor’s Responsibilities for the Audit of the Consolidated Financial Statements section of our report. We are independent of the City in accordance with the ethical requirements that are relevant to our audit of the consolidated financial statements in Canada, and we have fulfilled our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with these requirements. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

Unaudited Information

We have not audited, reviewed, or otherwise attempted to verify the accuracy or completeness of the Schedules A and B of the City’s consolidated financial statements.

Other Information

Management is responsible for the other information. The other information comprises the information included in the Annual Report but does not include the consolidated financial statements and our auditor’s report thereon.

Our opinion on the consolidated financial statements does not cover the other information and we do not express any assurance conclusion thereon.

In connection with our audit of the consolidated financial statements, our responsibility is to read the other information and, in doing so, consider whether the other information is materially inconsistent with the consolidated financial statements or our knowledge obtained in the audit or otherwise appears to be materially misstated. If, based on the work we have performed, we conclude that there is a material misstatement of this other information, we are required to report that fact. We have nothing to report in this regard.
Responsibilities of Management and Those Charged with Governance for the Consolidated Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements in accordance with Canadian public sector accounting standards, and for such internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of consolidated financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the consolidated financial statements, management is responsible for assessing the City’s ability to continue as a going concern, disclosing, as applicable, matters related to going concern and using the going concern basis of accounting unless management either intends to liquidate the City or to cease operations, or has no realistic alternative but to do so.

Those charged with governance are responsible for overseeing the City’s financial reporting process.

Auditor’s Responsibilities for the Audit of the Consolidated Financial Statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor’s report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these consolidated financial statements.

As part of an audit in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards, we exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit. We also:

- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks, and obtain audit evidence that is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control.

- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City’s internal control.

- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates and related disclosures made by management.

- Conclude on the appropriateness of management’s use of the going concern basis of accounting and, based on the audit evidence obtained, whether a material uncertainty exists related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the City’s ability to continue as a going concern. If we conclude that a material uncertainty exists, we are required to draw attention in our auditor’s report to the related disclosures in the consolidated financial statements or, if such disclosures are inadequate, to modify our opinion. Our conclusions are based on the audit evidence obtained up to the date of our auditor’s report. However, future events or conditions may cause the City to cease to continue as a going concern.

- Evaluate the overall presentation, structure and content of the consolidated financial statements, including the disclosures, and whether the consolidated financial statements represent the underlying transactions and events in a manner that achieves fair presentation.

- Obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding the financial information of the entities or business activities within the City to express an opinion on the consolidated financial statements. We are responsible for the direction, supervision and performance of the City audit. We remain solely responsible for our audit opinion.
We communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and significant audit findings, including any significant deficiencies in internal control that we identify during our audit.

Chartered Professional Accountants

Vancouver, British Columbia
COUNCIL ACCEPTANCE DATE
The Corporation of the City of North Vancouver
Consolidated Statement of Financial Position
As at December 31, 2023 with comparative figures for 2022
(in thousands of dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>Restated (note 16)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>FINANCIAL ASSETS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>$237,385</td>
<td>$201,296</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investments (note 3)</td>
<td>62,054</td>
<td>96,217</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment in Lonsdale Energy Corp. (note 4)</td>
<td>4,829</td>
<td>4,826</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due from other governments</td>
<td>7,731</td>
<td>5,087</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable</td>
<td>8,773</td>
<td>10,242</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loan to Lonsdale Energy Corp. (note 5)</td>
<td>26,623</td>
<td>26,853</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest receivable</td>
<td>7,182</td>
<td>6,990</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>354,577</strong></td>
<td><strong>351,311</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LIABILITIES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable and accrued liabilities</td>
<td>30,356</td>
<td>22,199</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred revenue</td>
<td>38,961</td>
<td>37,607</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred lease revenue (note 13)</td>
<td>48,911</td>
<td>49,421</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred development cost charges</td>
<td>27,815</td>
<td>21,824</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee future benefits (note 6)</td>
<td>8,334</td>
<td>8,496</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advances and other liabilities</td>
<td>12,650</td>
<td>10,188</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asset retirement obligations (note 8)</td>
<td>2,742</td>
<td>2,649</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>169,769</strong></td>
<td><strong>152,384</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NET FINANCIAL ASSETS</strong></td>
<td>184,808</td>
<td>198,927</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NON-FINANCIAL ASSETS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tangible capital assets (note 7)</td>
<td>528,021</td>
<td>479,130</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories</td>
<td>978</td>
<td>948</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepaid expenses</td>
<td>3,316</td>
<td>2,754</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>532,315</strong></td>
<td><strong>482,832</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ACCUMULATED SURPLUS</strong> (note 9)</td>
<td>$717,123</td>
<td>$681,759</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Commitments and contingencies (note 10)
See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements

Chief Financial Officer
Larry Sawrenko
The Corporation of the City of North Vancouver  
Consolidated Statement of Operations  
Year ended December 31, 2023 with comparative figures for 2022  
(in thousands of dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2023 Budget</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REVENUE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property value tax (note 10 (a))</td>
<td>$75,705</td>
<td>$76,274</td>
<td>$72,159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other levies</td>
<td>4,143</td>
<td>4,188</td>
<td>4,002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licences and permits</td>
<td>5,436</td>
<td>7,898</td>
<td>7,165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fines and fees</td>
<td>586/6</td>
<td>6,854</td>
<td>6,236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rent</td>
<td>2,696</td>
<td>3,873</td>
<td>3,689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest, dividends and penalties</td>
<td>4,759</td>
<td>15,156</td>
<td>8,075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sale of services</td>
<td>36,079</td>
<td>36,819</td>
<td>33,908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rebate and recoveries</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants and other</td>
<td>8,280</td>
<td>28,099</td>
<td>13,199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developer contributions and other transfers</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>5,948</td>
<td>40,907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain (Loss) on disposition of assets</td>
<td>746</td>
<td>(1,143)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lonsdale Energy Corp. income (note 4)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>143,367</td>
<td>186,061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXPENSES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General government</td>
<td>25,523</td>
<td>28,197</td>
<td>26,326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation and transit</td>
<td>7,774</td>
<td>10,749</td>
<td>10,771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health, social services and housing</td>
<td>3,069</td>
<td>2,984</td>
<td>2,796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development services</td>
<td>8,760</td>
<td>9,604</td>
<td>7,716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protective services</td>
<td>31,676</td>
<td>32,844</td>
<td>28,248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks, recreation and culture</td>
<td>35,811</td>
<td>37,143</td>
<td>34,396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water utilities</td>
<td>12,053</td>
<td>12,378</td>
<td>11,724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewer utilities</td>
<td>12,514</td>
<td>13,054</td>
<td>12,201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid waste</td>
<td>4,098</td>
<td>3,744</td>
<td>3,254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>141,278</td>
<td>150,697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ttrial expenses (note 12)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual surplus</td>
<td>2,089</td>
<td>35,364</td>
<td>51,143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated surplus beginning of year</td>
<td>681,759</td>
<td>681,759</td>
<td>630,616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated surplus end of year</td>
<td>$683,848</td>
<td>$717,123</td>
<td>$681,759</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements
### The Corporation of the City of North Vancouver

#### Consolidated Statement of Changes in Net Financial Assets

Year ended December 31, 2023 with comparative figures for 2022  
(in thousands of dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2023 Budget</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2022 Restated (note 16)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual surplus</td>
<td>$ 2,089</td>
<td>$ 35,364</td>
<td>$ 51,143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition of tangible capital assets</td>
<td>(76,443)</td>
<td>(63,940)</td>
<td>(35,674)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-cash developer contributed assets</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(2,094)</td>
<td>(1,540)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds on sale of tangible capital assets</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,029</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation of tangible capital assets</td>
<td>17,700</td>
<td>16,860</td>
<td>17,975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss on disposition of tangible capital assets</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(746)</td>
<td>1,143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(58,743)</td>
<td>(48,891)</td>
<td>(17,976)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition of inventories</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(1,336)</td>
<td>(1,173)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition of prepaid expenses</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(3,356)</td>
<td>(3,741)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of inventories</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,306</td>
<td>971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of prepaid expenses</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,794</td>
<td>2,531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(592)</td>
<td>(1,412)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase (decrease) in net financial assets</td>
<td>(56,654)</td>
<td>(14,119)</td>
<td>31,755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net financial assets, beginning of year</td>
<td>198,927</td>
<td>198,927</td>
<td>167,172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net financial assets, end of year</td>
<td>$ 142,273</td>
<td>$ 184,808</td>
<td>$ 198,927</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements
The Corporation of the City of North Vancouver
Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows
Year ended December 31, 2023 with comparative figures for 2022
(in thousands of dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>Restated (note 16)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash provided by (used for):</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating Transactions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual surplus</td>
<td>$ 35,364</td>
<td>$ 51,143</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Items not involving cash:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation expense</td>
<td>16,860</td>
<td>17,975</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accretion expense</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain (Loss) on disposal of tangible capital assets</td>
<td>(746)</td>
<td>1,143</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-cash developer contributed assets</td>
<td>(2,094)</td>
<td>(1,540)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lonsdale Energy Corp. income</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(213)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes in non-cash operating items:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in due from other governments</td>
<td>(2,644)</td>
<td>(1,125)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease in accounts receivable</td>
<td>1,469</td>
<td>1,229</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in loan to Lonsdale Energy Corp.</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>390</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease (increase) in interest receivable</td>
<td>(192)</td>
<td>1,730</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and accrued liabilities</td>
<td>8,157</td>
<td>(481)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in deferred revenue</td>
<td>1,354</td>
<td>3,349</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease in deferred lease revenue</td>
<td>(510)</td>
<td>(509)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase (decrease) in deferred development cost charges</td>
<td>5,991</td>
<td>(248)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase (decrease) in accrued employee future benefits</td>
<td>(162)</td>
<td>110</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in advances and other liabilities</td>
<td>2,462</td>
<td>111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in inventories</td>
<td>(30)</td>
<td>(202)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in prepaid expenses</td>
<td>(562)</td>
<td>(1,210)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>64,837</td>
<td>71,741</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capital Transactions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash used to acquire tangible capital assets</td>
<td>(63,940)</td>
<td>(35,674)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from sale of tangible capital assets</td>
<td>1,029</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(62,911)</td>
<td>(35,554)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Investing Transactions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease in investments</td>
<td>34,163</td>
<td>40,423</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>34,163</td>
<td>40,423</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Financing Transactions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repayment of long-term debt</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(237)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>36,089</td>
<td>76,373</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year</td>
<td>201,296</td>
<td>124,923</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents, end of year</td>
<td>$ 237,385</td>
<td>$ 201,296</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements
The Corporation of the City of North Vancouver
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
Year ended December 31, 2023
(Tabular amounts in thousands of dollars)

1. OPERATIONS

The City of North Vancouver (“CNV”) was incorporated in 1907 and operates under the provisions of the Community Charter and the Local Government Act of British Columbia. The City’s principal activity is the provision of local government services to residents of the incorporated area. These services include administrative, protective, transportation, environmental, recreational, water and sanitary services.

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The consolidated financial statements of CNV have been prepared in accordance with Canadian public sector accounting standards, as prescribed by the Public Sector Accounting Board (“PSAB”). The significant accounting policies are summarized below:

(a) Basis of Presentation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of all the funds of CNV, the accounts of the North Vancouver City Library, which is controlled by CNV, CNV’s 33.94% proportionate share of the operations of the North Vancouver Recreation Commission, and CNV’s 50% proportionate share of the operations of the North Vancouver Museum and Archives Commission. CNV’s investment in Lonsdale Energy Corporation (“LEC”), a wholly owned government business enterprise, is accounted for using the modified equity method.

CNV has an agreement with the District of North Vancouver in the operation and management of the North Vancouver Recreation Commission, and CNV includes its proportionate share in CNV’s consolidated financial statements. The current agreement specifies that the operating costs shall be paid 33.94% (2022 – 33.94%) by CNV and 66.06% (2022 – 66.06%) by the District of North Vancouver. Each municipality is responsible for its own facilities and pays 100% of all capital costs relating to improvement, expansion and replacement of buildings or facility equipment.

CNV also has an agreement with the District of North Vancouver in the operation and management of the North Vancouver Museum and Archives Commission, and CNV includes its proportionate share in CNV’s consolidated financial statements. The current agreement specifies that the operating costs shall be paid 50% (2022 – 50%) by CNV and 50% (2022 – 50%) by the District of North Vancouver. Each municipality is responsible for its own facilities and pays 100% of all capital costs relating to improvement, expansion and replacement of buildings or facility equipment.

(b) Basis of Accounting

Revenue is recorded on an accrual basis and recognized when earned. Expenses are recognized as they are incurred and measurable as a result of the receipt of goods and services.
2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

(c) Revenue Recognition

Taxes are recognized as revenue in the year that the taxes are authorized, the taxable event occurs and they are considered collectible. Through the British Columbia Assessments appeal process, taxes may be adjusted by way of supplementary roll adjustments. The effects of these adjustments on taxes are recognized at the time they are awarded. Levies imposed for Regional District services and other taxing authorities are not included.

Lease revenue is recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease.

Charges for sewer, water and solid waste usage are recorded as user fees. Connection fees revenues are recognized when the connection has been established.

Sale of service and other revenue are recognized on an accrual basis.

(d) Deferred Revenue

Deferred revenue consists of prepaid property taxes, prepaid business licenses, and fees paid in advance for services yet to be provided.

(e) Deferred Lease Revenue

Deferred lease revenue consists of funds collected in advance of rental periods for long-term prepaid leases, which will be recognized as revenue on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease.

(f) Deferred Development Cost Charges

Development cost charges collected to pay for future capital projects are deferred upon receipt and recognized as revenue when the capital costs for which they were collected are incurred.

(g) Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents consist of cash, highly liquid pooled high interest savings accounts with the Municipal Finance Authority.

(h) Investments

Investments include bank issued notes and bonds and Provincial bonds and debentures maturing after December 31, 2023 and are valued at the lower of cost or market value. Securities are recorded at their cost and written down to reflect losses in value that are other than temporary.

(i) Budget Figures

The budget figures are based on the five year financial plan adopted on April 24th, 2023.
2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

(j) Government Transfers

Unrestricted government transfers are recognized as revenue in the year that the transfer is authorized by the issuing government and any eligibility criteria have been met. Restricted government transfers, in the way of grants or other transfers, are recognized as revenue in the year in which any stipulations that create liabilities are met.

(k) Employee Future Benefits

CNV and its employees make contributions to the Municipal Pension Plan. As this plan is a multi-employer plan, contributions are expensed as incurred.

Sick leave and post-employment benefits also accrue to CNV’s employees. The liabilities related to these benefits are actuarially determined based on services and best estimates of retirement ages and expected future salary and wage increases. The liabilities under these benefits plans are accrued based on projected benefits as the employees render services necessary to earn the future benefits.

(l) Long-term Debt

Long-term debt is recorded in the consolidated financial statements net of sinking fund payments and adjustments.

(m) Non-Financial Assets

Non-financial assets are not available to discharge existing liabilities and are held for use in the provision of services. They may have useful lives extending beyond the current year and are not intended for sale in the ordinary course of business.

(i) Tangible Capital Assets

Tangible capital assets are recorded at cost, which includes amounts that are directly attributable to acquisition, construction, development or betterment of the asset. The cost less residual value of the tangible capital assets, excluding land, is amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset Type</th>
<th>Amortization Method</th>
<th>Useful Life Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Land improvements</td>
<td>Straight-line over useful life of each asset unit</td>
<td>10 – 100 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>Straight-line over useful life of each asset unit</td>
<td>10 – 75 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buildings</td>
<td>Straight-line over useful life of each asset unit</td>
<td>10 – 100 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machinery &amp; equipment</td>
<td>Straight-line over useful life of each asset unit</td>
<td>3 – 25 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicles</td>
<td>Straight-line over useful life of each asset unit</td>
<td>6 – 25 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>Straight-line over useful life of each asset unit</td>
<td>7 – 100 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library materials</td>
<td>Straight-line over useful life of each asset unit</td>
<td>2 – 5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work in progress</td>
<td>Not amortized until put into use</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

(m) Non-Financial Assets (continued)

(ii) Contributions of Tangible Capital Assets

Tangible capital assets received as contributions or transfers from developers are recorded at their estimated fair value at the date of receipt and also are recorded as revenue.

(iii) Works of Art and Historic Assets

CNV and the Museum and Archives Commission manages and controls various works of art and non-operational historic assets, including buildings, artifacts, paintings and sculptures located at City sites and public display areas.

Works of art and historic assets are not recorded as assets in these consolidated financial statements.

(iv) Natural Resources

Horticultural assets such as treeed areas, grassy areas and gardens are not recognized as assets in the consolidated financial statements.

(v) Interest Capitalization

CNV does not capitalize interest costs associated with the construction of a tangible capital asset.

(vi) Leased Tangible Capital Assets

Leases that transfer substantially all of the benefits and risks incidental to ownership of property are accounted for as leased tangible capital assets. All other leases are accounted for as operating leases and the payments are charged to expenses as incurred.

(vii) Inventories

Inventories held for consumption are recorded at the lower of weighted average cost and replacement cost.

(n) Estimates

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts in the consolidated financial statements and the disclosure of contingent liabilities. Areas requiring significant estimation are post-employment benefits, compensated absences and termination benefits, estimated useful life of tangible capital assets, asset retirement obligations and measurement of contingent liabilities. Actual results could differ from these estimates.
2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

(o) Segment Disclosure

A segment is defined as a distinguishable activity or group of activities of a government for which it is appropriate to separately report financial information related to expenses. See note 12.

(p) Contaminated Sites

A liability for contaminated sites is recognized when a site is not in productive use or an unexpected event has occurred and the following criteria are met:
   i) an environmental standard exists;
   ii) contamination exceeds the environmental standard;
   iii) CNV is directly responsible or accepts responsibility;
   iv) it is expected that future economic benefits will be given up; and
   v) a reasonable estimate of the amount can be made

The liability is recognized as management’s estimate of the cost of post-remediation including operation, maintenance and monitoring that are an integral part of the remediation strategy for a contaminated site.

(q) Asset Retirement Obligations

On January 1, 2023, CNV adopted public sector accounting standard 3280, Asset Retirement Obligations. This new accounting standard addresses the reporting of legal obligations associated with the retirement of certain tangible capital assets. The standard was adopted on the modified retrospective basis at the date of adoption.

A liability is recognized when, as at the financial reporting date:
   i) there is a legal obligation to incur retirement costs in relation to a tangible capital asset;
   ii) the past transaction or event giving rise to the liability has occurred;
   iii) it is expected that future economic benefits will be given up; and
   iv) a reasonable estimate of the amount can be made.

The resulting costs have been capitalized into the carrying amount of the related tangible capital assets and are being amortized on the same basis as the related tangible capital asset. Assumptions used in the calculations are reviewed annually.
2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

(r) Financial Instruments

CNV adopted public sector accounting standard 3450, Financial Instruments, effective January 1, 2023, on a prospective basis. Financial instruments classification is determined upon inception and financial instruments are not reclassified into another measurement category for the duration of the period they are held. Financial assets and financial liabilities, other than hedges, equity instruments quoted in an active market, and financial instruments designated at fair value, are measured at cost or amortized cost upon inception and subsequent to initial recognition. Cash and cash equivalents are measured at cost. Accounts receivable, investments, other receivables, and accounts payable and accrued liabilities are measured at amortized cost using the effective interest rate method to determine interest revenue or expense in the Consolidated Statement of Operations for the period it is earned. Valuation allowances are made when collection is in doubt. Investments include bank issued notes and bonds and provincial bonds and debentures maturing after the current year. Investments are adjusted for any amortization of premiums or discounts. Sales and purchases of investments are recorded on the trade date. Transaction costs related to the acquisition of investments are included in the carrying value of the related investments.

All financial assets recorded at cost or amortized cost are tested annually for impairment. When financial assets are impaired, impairment losses are recorded in the Consolidated Statement of Operations. A financial liability or its part is de-recognized when it is extinguished.

3. INVESTMENTS

The fair value of investments at December 31, 2023 is $65,962,000 (2022 - $98,214,000). CNV’s investment portfolio consists of Provincial and Canadian bank bonds with a cost of $62,054,000 (2022 - $96,217,000).

Financial instruments maturing between January 2024 to December 2024 range in yield from 2.10% to 3.13% (2023 – 1.05% to 3.11%). Investments maturing from January 2025 to May 2031 range in yield from 1.30% to 7.52%.
4. INVESTMENT IN LONSDALE ENERGY CORPORATION (“LEC”)

CNV owns all the issued and outstanding shares of LEC, which was incorporated under the Business Corporations Act (British Columbia) on July 7, 2003. LEC operates a district energy system providing hydronic energy to residential, institutional and commercial buildings in the City of North Vancouver.

Summarized financial information relating to LEC is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash and accounts receivable</td>
<td>$ 4,050</td>
<td>$ 3,002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant and equipment</td>
<td>40,969</td>
<td>38,420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other assets</td>
<td>732</td>
<td>767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>$ 45,751</td>
<td>$ 42,189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable and accrued liabilities</td>
<td>$ 3,678</td>
<td>$ 2,895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred contributions</td>
<td>8,621</td>
<td>7,815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt</td>
<td>28,623</td>
<td>26,653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Liabilities</td>
<td>$ 40,922</td>
<td>$ 37,363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shareholder’s equity</td>
<td>$ 4,829</td>
<td>$ 4,826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total revenue</td>
<td>$ 9,713</td>
<td>$ 9,702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total expenses</td>
<td>9,710</td>
<td>9,489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net income</td>
<td>$ 3</td>
<td>$ 213</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Included in CNV’s Consolidated Statement of Financial Position is “Investment in Lonsdale Energy Corp.” in the amount of $4,829,000 (2022 - $4,826,000) and a loan receivable in the amount of $26,623,000 (note 5(a)) (2022 – $26,653,000). Also, included in accounts receivable in CNV’s Consolidated Statement of Financial Position are receivables from LEC in the amount of $1,067,000 (2022 - $1,122,000).
5. LOAN TO LONSDALE ENERGY CORPORATION

The loan receivable balance of $26,623,000 (2022 - $26,653,000) consists of the following interest bearing promissory notes:

On August 1, 2018, CNV converted amounts due from LEC whereby LEC issued a 5 year promissory note to CNV in the amount of $33,661,966. On July 15, 2019 and November 17, 2021, CNV’s Council authorized LEC to borrow an additional $1,681,000 and $290,000 respectively. On August 1, 2023, a five-year demand term loan was issued by CNV to borrow a maximum of $35,632,966. This promissory note replaced and nullified all previous promissory notes issued by CNV to LEC. The loan matures on August 1, 2028 and bears interest at 3.51% per annum. As at December 31, 2023 an amount of $26,622,804 remains due to CNV. During the year, LEC drew $1,050,000 (2022 - $630,000) from this promissory note. After considering the principal payments of $4,369,162 made to date, the remaining funding available to LEC under the terms of the agreement is $4,641,000, which LEC expects to draw on for future asset construction.

Interest revenue of $798,000 (2022 - $704,000) and dividend revenue of $45,900 (2022 - $53,900) has been included in the Consolidated Statement of Operations.

At the maturity date of the loan to LEC, CNV may, at its discretion, extend the terms of the loan in whole or in part or LEC may repay the loan in whole or in part using either internal or external financing.

6. EMPLOYEE FUTURE BENEFITS

(a) Sick and Severance

Employees of CNV are entitled to payments related to unused sick leave and severance upon retirement or resignation after ten years of service. The amount recorded for these benefits is based on an actuarial valuation done by an independent firm of actuaries using a projected benefit actuarial valuation method pro-rated on services. The most recent actuarial valuation of CNV’s future benefits was completed as at December 31, 2023.
6. EMPLOYEE FUTURE BENEFITS (continued)

(a) Sick and Severance (continued)

Information regarding CNV’s obligations for these benefits, including 100% of the North Vancouver City Library and its proportionate share of the North Vancouver Recreation Commission and North Vancouver Museum and Archives Commission, is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benefit obligation - beginning of the year</td>
<td>$6,944</td>
<td>$7,906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add: Current service costs</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest on accrued benefit obligation</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuarial loss (gain)</td>
<td>1,023</td>
<td>(1,115)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Benefits paid</td>
<td>(928)</td>
<td>(784)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit obligation - end of the year</td>
<td>$7,958</td>
<td>$6,944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add: Unamortized actuarial gain</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>1,552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit liability - end of the year</td>
<td>$8,334</td>
<td>$8,496</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The significant actuarial assumptions adopted in measuring CNV’s accrued benefit liability are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Discount rates</td>
<td>4.10%</td>
<td>4.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future inflation rates</td>
<td>4.5% for 2024 and 2.5% thereafter</td>
<td>2.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compensation increases, net of inflation</td>
<td>0.00% to 0.50%</td>
<td>2.58% to 4.63%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The unamortized actuarial gain is amortized over a period equal to the employees’ average remaining service lifetime of eleven years for CNV (2022 - 11 years).

(b) Council Retirement Stipend

Starting 2005, Council Members are entitled to a retirement stipend based on 9.31% of the individual’s total indemnity received subsequent to 2002. These amounts are accrued as earned and recorded in accounts payable and accrued liabilities.
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7. TANGIBLE CAPITAL ASSETS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2023</th>
<th>Land</th>
<th>Land Improvements</th>
<th>Parks</th>
<th>Buildings</th>
<th>Machinery &amp; Equipment</th>
<th>Vehicles</th>
<th>Infrastructure</th>
<th>Library Materials</th>
<th>Work in Progress</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance beginning of year</td>
<td>$46,229</td>
<td>$82,411</td>
<td>$57,695</td>
<td>$149,468</td>
<td>$26,101</td>
<td>$12,718</td>
<td>$277,033</td>
<td>$24,018</td>
<td>$12,585</td>
<td>$250,762 $942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additions and Adjustments</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5,387</td>
<td>3,352</td>
<td>753</td>
<td>3,314</td>
<td>5,724</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>47,254</td>
<td>66,033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disposals</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,528</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance end of year</td>
<td>$46,229</td>
<td>$82,423</td>
<td>$63,082</td>
<td>$152,820</td>
<td>$26,854</td>
<td>$14,504</td>
<td>$282,658</td>
<td>$244,692</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$787,996</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Accumulated Amortization |      |                   |       |           |                       |          |                |                  |                  |               |
| Balance beginning of year | $-   | 21,509           | 20,113 | 77,363    | 19,476               | 5,933    | 104,727        | 464              | -               | $269,977 |
| Amortization and Adjustments | -   | 2,201            | 2,158  | 4,496     | 1,531               | 917      | 5,343          | 214              | -               | 16,890 |
| Disposals           | -     | -               | -     | -         | -                    | 1,287    | 92            | 228              | -               | 1,975 |
| Balance end of year | $-   | 23,710           | 22,271 | 81,859    | 21,007               | 5,933    | 104,727        | 464              | -               | $269,977 |

| Net Book Value |      |                   |       |           |                       |          |                |                  |                  |               |
| $46,229        | $58,713 | $40,811 | $70,961 | $5,847    | $9,565               | $177,921 | $496           | $118,468          | $118,508         | $188,021 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2022</th>
<th>Land</th>
<th>Land Improvements</th>
<th>Parks</th>
<th>Buildings (restated)</th>
<th>Machinery &amp; Equipment</th>
<th>Vehicles</th>
<th>Infrastructure</th>
<th>Library Materials</th>
<th>Work in Progress</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance beginning of year</td>
<td>$44,909</td>
<td>$82,368</td>
<td>$55,657</td>
<td>$149,660</td>
<td>$24,018</td>
<td>$12,585</td>
<td>$256,762</td>
<td>$942</td>
<td>$71,357</td>
<td>$692,288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustment relating to recognition of Asset Retirement Obligation (note 8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance beginning of year, restated</td>
<td>$44,909</td>
<td>$82,368</td>
<td>$55,657</td>
<td>$150,029</td>
<td>$24,018</td>
<td>$12,585</td>
<td>$266,762</td>
<td>$942</td>
<td>$71,357</td>
<td>$692,627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additions</td>
<td>1,320</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3,160</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>3,243</td>
<td>1,474</td>
<td>1,773</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>(145)</td>
<td>37,214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disposals</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1,122</td>
<td>682</td>
<td>1,160</td>
<td>1,341</td>
<td>1,502</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6,019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance end of year</td>
<td>$46,229</td>
<td>$82,411</td>
<td>$57,995</td>
<td>$149,468</td>
<td>$26,101</td>
<td>$12,718</td>
<td>$277,033</td>
<td>$478</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$787,996</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Accumulated Amortization |      |                   |       |                     |                       |          |                |                  |                  |               |
| Balance beginning of year | $-   | 19,083           | 19,281 | 72,475              | 18,134               | 6,511    | 95,150         | 467              | -               | $231,201 |
| Adjustment relating to recognition of Asset Retirement Obligation (note 8) | | | | | | | | | | 272 |
| Balance beginning of year, restated | $-   | 1,918            | 1,160 | 682              | 1,160              | 1,341    | 1,502          | 205              | -               | $231,473 |
| Amortization expense | -    | 2,433            | 1,918 | 4,876              | 2,502               | 760      | 5,170          | 216              | -               | 17,975 |
| Disposals           | -     | -               | -     | -                 | -                  | 1,287    | 92            | 228              | -               | 1,975 |
| Balance end of year | $-   | 21,509           | 20,113 | 81,363            | 15,476              | 5,933    | 104,727        | 464              | -               | $269,977 |

| Net Book Value |      |                   |       |                     |                       |          |                |                  |                  |               |
| $46,229        | $60,802 | $46,435 | $72,105 | $6,625    | $6,409               | $177,589 | $477           | $71,212          | $479,130         |               |

(a) Work in Progress

Work in progress having a cost of $118,468,000 (2022 - $71,212,000) has not been amortized. Amortization of these assets will commence when the asset is in service.

(b) Developer Contributed Tangible Capital Assets and Other Transfers

Developer contributed tangible capital assets and other transfers of $2,094,000 (2022 - $1,540,000) have been recognized during the year.
8. ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS

CNV owns several buildings known to have asset retirement obligations at their retirement. Estimated costs have been discounted to the present value using a discount rate of 3.5% per annum.

The transition and recognition of asset retirement obligations involved a change to the buildings tangible capital assets and the restatement of prior year figures (see note 16).

Balances of the asset retirement obligation are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Balance at December 31, 2023</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Balance beginning of year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Accretion expense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Balance end of year</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Balance at December 31, 2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Balance beginning of year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adjustment relating to recognition of Asset Retirement Obligation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Opening balance, restated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Accretion expense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Balance end of year</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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9. ACCUMULATED SURPLUS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>Restated (note 16)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General funds – general, water and sewer &amp; drainage (a)</td>
<td>$ 64,868</td>
<td>$ 55,002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve fund (b)</td>
<td>99,206</td>
<td>124,527</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital fund (c)</td>
<td>553,049</td>
<td>502,230</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated surplus, end of year</td>
<td>$ 717,123</td>
<td>$ 681,759</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) General funds – general, water and sewer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appropriated:</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General fund</td>
<td>$ 23,071</td>
<td>$ 21,867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water fund</td>
<td>7,105</td>
<td>4,112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewer &amp; Drainage fund</td>
<td>14,220</td>
<td>14,049</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unappropriated:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General fund</td>
<td>11,688</td>
<td>9,094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water fund</td>
<td>4,212</td>
<td>3,478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewer &amp; Drainage fund</td>
<td>3,743</td>
<td>690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General funds – COVID-19 Safe Restart Grant</td>
<td>829</td>
<td>1,712</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total                        | $ 64,868 | $ 55,002 |

(b) Reserve Funds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Machinery and Equipment</th>
<th>Balance December 31, 2022</th>
<th>Contributions &amp; Transfers</th>
<th>Earnings</th>
<th>Expenditures</th>
<th>Balance December 31, 2023</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>$ 3,220</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ 291</td>
<td>$ 868</td>
<td>$ 2,643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>67</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Improvements</td>
<td>802</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affordable Housing</td>
<td>10,626</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>618</td>
<td>3,605</td>
<td>8,148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Sale Lands</td>
<td>35,066</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,515</td>
<td>796</td>
<td>36,785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterworks</td>
<td>4,935</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5,271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civic Amenity</td>
<td>64,256</td>
<td>10,435</td>
<td>6,455</td>
<td>53,599</td>
<td>27,547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streets DCC</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,041</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1,044</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks DCC</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Lonsdale Amenity</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Lonsdale Legacy</td>
<td>2,672</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>2,763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>831</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>636</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1,414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Art</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable Transportation</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Fund</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growing Communities Fund</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10,986</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11,361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$ 124,527</td>
<td>$ 23,838</td>
<td>$ 11,622</td>
<td>$ 60,781</td>
<td>$ 99,206</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Corporation of the City of North Vancouver  
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements  
Year ended December 31, 2023  
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9. ACCUMULATED SURPLUS (continued)

(c) Capital Fund

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2022 Restated (note 16)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Invested in tangible capital assets</td>
<td>$ 525,280</td>
<td>$ 476,481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriated capital funds</td>
<td>27,769</td>
<td>25,749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 553,049</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 502,230</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

(a) Property Taxes

CNV is obliged to levy, collect and remit property taxes on behalf of, and to finance the arrears of property taxes of, other bodies as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tax collected</td>
<td>$ 133,575</td>
<td>$ 127,265</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Less collections for and remittances to other bodies:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provincial Government - Schools</td>
<td>$ 42,797</td>
<td>$ 41,153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Vancouver Regional District</td>
<td>2,187</td>
<td>2,029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Vancouver Transportation Authority</td>
<td>10,214</td>
<td>9,878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Columbia Assessment Authority</td>
<td>1,595</td>
<td>1,538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Finance Authority</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIA Lower Lonsdale Society</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 57,301</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 55,106</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Property Value Tax

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 76,274</td>
<td>$ 72,159</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) Pension Liability

CNV and its employees contribute to the Municipal Pension Plan (a jointly trustee pension plan). The board of trustees, representing plan members and employers, is responsible for administering the plan, including investment of assets and administration of benefits. The plan is a multi-employer defined benefit pension plan. Basic pension benefits are based on a formula. As at December 31, 2022, the plan has about 240,000 active members and approximately 124,000 retired members. Active members include approximately 43,000 contributors from local governments.

Every three years, an actuarial valuation is performed to assess the financial position of the plan and adequacy of plan funding. The actuary determines an appropriate combined employer and member contribution rate to fund the plan. The actuary’s calculated contribution rate is based on the entry age normal cost method, which produces the long-term rate of member and employer contributions sufficient to provide benefits for average future entrants to the plan. This rate may be adjusted for the amortization of any actuarial funding surplus and will be adjusted for the amortization of any unfunded actuarial liability.
10. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (continued)

(b) Pension Liability (continued)

The most recent actuarial valuation for the Municipal Pension Plan as at December 31, 2021, indicated a $3,761 million funding surplus for basic pension benefits on a going concern basis. CNV paid $5,143,000 (2022 - $4,851,000) for employer contributions to the plan in fiscal 2023. The next valuation will be as at December 31, 2024.

Employers participating in the plan record their pension expense as the amount of employer contributions made during the fiscal year (defined contribution pension plan accounting). This is because the plan records accrued liabilities and accrued assets for the plan in aggregate, resulting in no consistent and reliable basis for allocating the obligation, assets and cost to individual employers participating in the plan.

(c) Contingent Liabilities

CNV is currently engaged in or party to certain legal actions, assessment appeals and other existing conditions involving uncertainty, which may result in material loss. A reasonable estimate of these future liabilities has been made where possible and is recorded in the financial statements as a liability. Where the outcomes or amounts or losses are uncertain, management has determined that there are no potential material amounts involved.

(d) E-Comm

CNV is a member of Emergency Communications for British Columbia, Incorporated (“E-Comm”), an organization comprised predominately of member municipalities, for the purpose of providing emergency dispatch services. CNV is represented on the board and as a class “A” shareholder has voting rights should the organization want to incur additional debt.

The E-Comm facility was constructed using debt as a financing mechanism and members are liable for a proportionate share of that debt. This debt is repaid by members through annual fees charged by E-Comm. Should E-Comm dissolve, the members would be liable for a proportionate share of any residual debt. Alternatively, should members choose to opt out of E-Comm, they would be liable for a proportionate share of debt at the time of withdrawal.

CNV holds 2 class "A" shares and one class "B" share.

(e) Contractual Obligations

As at December 31, 2023 CNV had entered into various construction contracts for a total outstanding value of $140,300,000.

11. TRUST FUNDS

Certain assets have been conveyed or assigned to CNV to be administered as directed by an agreement or statute. CNV holds the assets for the benefit of, and stands in a fiduciary relationship to, the beneficiary. The Cemetery Trust Fund, totaling $3,810,000 (2022 - $3,648,000), which is administered by CNV, has not been included with CNV’s accounts.
12. SEGMENTED INFORMATION

CNV is a diversified municipal government entity in the Province of British Columbia that provides a wide range of services to its citizens. Certain functional areas have been combined and separately disclosed in the segmented information. The segments and the services they provide are as follows:

General Government
General Government provides the administrative and legislative services that support the various sectors of CNV. Functions include financial planning and reporting, economic development and legislative services.

Transportation and Transit
The Transportation and Transit division aims to provide enhanced access to public transit, safe pedestrian and cyclist routes, accessible transportation for people with limited mobility and maintain existing infrastructure. These goals are achieved through street design, traffic signals and signs, street lighting and road maintenance activities.

Health, Social Services and Housing
Health, Social Services and Housing encompasses a wide variety of City funded initiatives aimed at supporting the social structure and sustainability of the community. Included are cemetery operations, youth and family support services, seniors programs and homeless prevention initiatives.

Development Services
Development Services' focus is community planning, which includes land use guidelines, the management of City owned lands, heritage planning and development of CNV’s official community plan.

Protective Services
Protective Services is comprised of the North Vancouver RCMP detachment, the North Vancouver City Fire Department and bylaw enforcement. The North Vancouver RCMP detachment plays an integral role in the protection of North Vancouver residents and their property through crime prevention and detection, emergency response and victim services. The North Vancouver City Fire Department is responsible for providing fire suppression service, fire prevention services and fire safety education. Bylaw Services is responsible for the enforcement and education of the City’s bylaws and conflict resolution.

Parks, Recreation and Culture
Parks, Recreation and Culture provides access to recreation facilities, the operation and maintenance of CNV’s many parks and trails, the North Vancouver City Library and CNV’s participation in the North Vancouver Museum and Archives and the North Vancouver Recreation and Culture Commission.

Water Utilities
The Water Utility, in conjunction with Metro Vancouver, provides safe, clean, reliable water to the residents and businesses of the City of North Vancouver.

Sewer & Drainage Utilities
The Sewer & Drainage Utility collects waste water and transports it to trunk water mains and wastewater treatment plants operated by Metro Vancouver. In addition to the collection of wastewater, the Sewer Utility also manages CNV’s 150km storm drainage system which diverts rainfall runoff with an emphasis on flood prevention.
12. SEGMENTED INFORMATION (continued)

Solid Waste
The Solid Waste Utility provides curbside garbage, recycling and yard trimmings collection to the residents of the City of North Vancouver.

The Consolidated Statement of Operations by segment and services is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment</th>
<th>Revenues</th>
<th>Wages &amp; Benefits</th>
<th>Goods &amp; Supplies</th>
<th>Services</th>
<th>Amortization</th>
<th>Capitalized</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Annual Surplus (Deficit)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General government</td>
<td>$113,398</td>
<td>$20,268</td>
<td>$5,298</td>
<td>$4,058</td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,929</td>
<td>$28,197</td>
<td>$85,201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation and transit</td>
<td>$6,863</td>
<td>$3,494</td>
<td>$838</td>
<td>$3,784</td>
<td></td>
<td>(7,754)</td>
<td>10,749</td>
<td>(4,886)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health, social services and housing</td>
<td>$469</td>
<td>$473</td>
<td>$90</td>
<td>$2,419</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,984               (2,515)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development services</td>
<td>$10,654</td>
<td>$7,115</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>$453</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9,604</td>
<td>1,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protective services</td>
<td>$2,272</td>
<td>$21,081</td>
<td>$918</td>
<td>$10,194</td>
<td>$21,081</td>
<td>(175)</td>
<td>32,844</td>
<td>(30,572)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks, recreation and culture</td>
<td>$13,054</td>
<td>$18,763</td>
<td>$1,806</td>
<td>$5,558</td>
<td>$18,763</td>
<td>(46,453)</td>
<td>37,143</td>
<td>(24,389)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water utilities</td>
<td>$16,663</td>
<td>$2,047</td>
<td>$8,887</td>
<td>$1,864</td>
<td>$2,047</td>
<td>827</td>
<td>32,844</td>
<td>4,285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewer utilities</td>
<td>$19,163</td>
<td>$2,087</td>
<td>$454</td>
<td>$11,682</td>
<td>$2,087</td>
<td>979</td>
<td>32,844</td>
<td>6,109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid waste</td>
<td>$3,525</td>
<td>$1,900</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>$1,723</td>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3,744</td>
<td>(219)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>$186,061</td>
<td>$77,248</td>
<td>$18,407</td>
<td>$101,788</td>
<td>$5,929</td>
<td>28,197</td>
<td>$35,364</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022 (Restated)</td>
<td>$188,575</td>
<td>$68,358</td>
<td>$17,660</td>
<td>$68,797</td>
<td>$18,062</td>
<td>(35,445)</td>
<td>51,143</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13. DEFERRED LEASE REVENUE

In 2020, CNV entered into an agreement for the Harry Jerome Neighborhood Lands for a lease term of 99 years. Included in deferred revenue is $48,911,000 (2022 - $49,421,000) in relation to this lease.

14. FINANCIAL RISK MANAGEMENT

CNV has exposure to the following risks from its use of financial instruments: credit risk, market risk and liquidity risk. CNV has identified its major risks and ensures that management monitors and controls them.

(a) Credit risk

Credit risk is the risk of financial loss to CNV if a customer or counterparty to a financial instrument fails to meet its contractual obligations. Such risks arise principally from accounts receivable made up of property tax and utilities and other non-property tax related balances.

For property tax and utility balances, outstanding amounts are transferred to the property tax account associated with the invoiced real property at the end of each fiscal year and form all or part of the tax arrears for the property. CNV is required by the Local Government Act to conduct an annual tax sale by offering for sale by public auction each parcel of real property on which taxes are delinquent. Registered charge holders have the full right to redeem the property. To redeem the property, the charge holder must remit the full upset price, plus interest on the purchase price at a rate set by the Province, within one year of the tax sale.

For other account receivable balances, CNV maintains allowances for potential credit losses, with results to date within CNV’s expectations. In making estimates in respect of the allowance for doubtful accounts, current economic conditions, historical information, reasons for the accounts being past due, and operational nature of invoices are all considered in the determination...
14. FINANCIAL RISK MANAGEMENT (continued)

of when to record allowances for past due accounts. The same factors are considered when determining whether to write off amounts charged to the allowance account against amounts receivable.

(b) Market risk

Market risk is the risk that the value of a financial instrument will fluctuate as a result of changes in market prices. The objective of market risk management is to control market risk exposures within acceptable parameters while optimizing the return on risk. The market risks to which CNV is exposed are foreign exchange risks and interest rate risks.

(i) Foreign exchange risk

Foreign exchange risk refers to the risk that the fair value of financial instruments, or future cash flows associated with the instruments, will fluctuate in Canadian dollar value due to changes in foreign exchange rates.

The functional currency of CNV is the Canadian dollar. CNV receives some US dollar payments and incurs some US dollar operating and capital costs. These US dollar transactions represent an insignificant volume and value of total overall transactions, resulting in minimal risk.

(ii) Interest rate risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that the fair value or future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate because of changes in the market interest rates. CNV manages such risk by adoption of an investment policy and adherence to this policy and the Community Charter. Investments are in pooled funds with the Municipal Finance Authority in a diversified portfolio in accordance with CNV’s investment policy, which include preservation of capital, minimization of default and interest rate risk, sufficient liquidity to meet operating and capital requirements, and generation of a stable return on investments. Investments include GICs and High Interest Savings Accounts not subject to market fluctuations. It is management’s opinion that CNV is not exposed to significant market risk arising from its financial instruments.

(c) Liquidity risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that CNV will not be able to meet its financial obligations as they become due. For its accounts payables, CNV manages liquidity risk by holding assets that can be readily converted into cash and by continually monitoring actual and forecasted cash flows from operations and anticipated investing and financing activities to ensure, as far as possible, that it will always have sufficient liquidity to meet its liabilities when due, under both normal and stressed conditions, without incurring unacceptable losses or risking damage to CNV’s reputation.
The Corporation of the City of North Vancouver
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
Year ended December 31, 2023
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15. BUDGET FIGURES

The budget figures presented in these consolidated financial statements include 100% of the North Vancouver City Library and CNV’s proportionate share of the North Vancouver Recreation Commission and North Vancouver Museum and Archives Commission. The financial plan was approved by Council as the Financial Plan for the Years 2023 to 2027 Bylaw, 2023, No. 8962 April 24th, 2023. The table below reconciles the approved budget to the budget figures reported.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financial Plan Bylaw</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenue per Statement of Operations</td>
<td>$ 143,367</td>
<td>Less:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget adjustments for consolidation</td>
<td>(137)</td>
<td>Transfers from reserve</td>
<td>(2,894)</td>
<td>Interagency funds</td>
<td>(6,573)</td>
<td>(9,604)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue per Financial Plan Bylaw</td>
<td>$ 133,763</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses per Statement of Operations</td>
<td>141,278</td>
<td>Adjustments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease for capital expenditures</td>
<td>(7,918)</td>
<td>Increase for non-capital projects</td>
<td>2,748</td>
<td>Budget adjustments for consolidation</td>
<td>5,123</td>
<td>(6,620)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses per Financial Plan Bylaw</td>
<td>$ 134,658</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deficit for the year</td>
<td>(895)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserves and capital:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital expenditures</td>
<td>(76,443)</td>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>17,700</td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>(19,525)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfers from reserves</td>
<td>50,444</td>
<td>External contributions</td>
<td>28,719</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual budgeted surplus per Financial Plan Bylaw</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Corporation of the City of North Vancouver
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
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16. PRIOR PERIOD ADJUSTMENT

On January 1, 2023, CNV adopted public sector accounting standard 3280, Asset Retirement Obligations. This new accounting standard addresses the reporting of legal obligations associated with the retirement of certain tangible capital assets. The standard was adopted on the modified retrospective basis at the date of adoption.

The impact of the prior period adjustment on the December 31, 2022 comparative amounts is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consolidated Statement of Financial Position</th>
<th>As Previously Reported</th>
<th>Increase / (Decrease)</th>
<th>As Restated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asset retirement obligation liability</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$ 2,649</td>
<td>$ 2,649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tangible capital assets - cost</td>
<td>723,483</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>723,822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tangible capital assets - accumulated amortization</td>
<td>244,414</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>244,692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated surplus</td>
<td>684,347</td>
<td>(2,588)</td>
<td>681,759</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consolidated Statement of Operations</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General government expense</td>
<td>26,231</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>26,326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual surplus</td>
<td>51,238</td>
<td>(95)</td>
<td>51,143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated surplus, beginning of year</td>
<td>633,109</td>
<td>(2,493)</td>
<td>630,616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated surplus, end of year</td>
<td>684,347</td>
<td>(2,588)</td>
<td>681,759</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consolidated Statement of Changes in Net Financial Assets</th>
<th>As Previously Reported</th>
<th>Increase / (Decrease)</th>
<th>As Restated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual surplus</td>
<td>51,238</td>
<td>(95)</td>
<td>51,143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation of tangible capital assets</td>
<td>17,969</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17,975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase (decrease) in net financial assets</td>
<td>31,844</td>
<td>(89)</td>
<td>31,755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net financial assets, beginning of year</td>
<td>169,732</td>
<td>(2,560)</td>
<td>167,172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net financial assets, end of year</td>
<td>201,576</td>
<td>(2,649)</td>
<td>198,927</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual surplus</td>
<td>51,238</td>
<td>(95)</td>
<td>51,143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation expense</td>
<td>17,969</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17,975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accretion expense</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

17. COMPARATIVE INFORMATION

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified for consistency with the current year presentation. These reclassifications had no effect on the reported results of operations.
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Schedule A: COVID-19 Safe Restart Grant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2023 Grant Funding Balance</th>
<th>$ 1,712</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grant Expenditures:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Continuity</td>
<td>(128)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Resiliency &amp; Recovery</td>
<td>(223)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Services</td>
<td>(521)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response &amp; Emerging Issues</td>
<td>(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023 Grant Funding Balance, December 31, 2023</td>
<td>$ 829</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Schedule B: Growing Communities Fund

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2023 Grant Funding Balance</th>
<th>$ 10,986</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interest Earned</td>
<td>375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant Expenditures</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023 Grant Funding Balance, December 31, 2023</td>
<td>$ 11,361</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Corporation of THE CITY OF NORTH VANCOUVER
PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

REPORT

To: Mayor Linda Buchanan and Members of Council
From: Matthew Menzel, Planner 3
Subject: REZONING APPLICATION: 120-128 EAST 14TH STREET (THREE SHORES MANAGEMENT, CD-760) AND TEXT AMENDMENT: CENTENNIAL THEATRE, 2300 LONSDALE AVENUE, 116 EAST 23RD STREET AND NORSEMAN PARK (HJNL, CD-165)

Date: April 24, 2024
File No: 08-3400-20-0075/1

The following is a suggested recommendation only. Refer to Council Minutes for adopted resolution.

RECOMMENDATION

PURSUANT to the report of the Planner 3, dated April 24, 2024, entitled “Rezoning Application: 120-128 East 14th Street (Three Shores Management, CD-760) and Text Amendment: Centennial Theatre, 2300 Lonsdale Avenue, 116 East 23rd Street and Norseman Park (HJNL, CD-165)”:

THAT the application submitted by Three Shores Management to rezone the property located at 120-128 East 14th Street from a C-1B Zone to a Comprehensive Development Zone and to amend the CD-165 Zone to facilitate a density transfer, be considered with no Public Hearing held, in accordance with the Local Government Act, section 464(3) [public hearing prohibited];

THAT “Zoning Bylaw, 1995, No. 6700, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 8960” (Three Shores Management, 120-128 East 14th Street, CD-760 (the Density Receiver Site)) and Text Amendment to Centennial Theatre, 2300 Lonsdale Avenue, 116 East 23rd Street, and Norseman Park (HJNL, CD-165) be considered for first, second and third readings with no Public Hearing held, in accordance with the Local Government Act, section 464(3) [public hearing prohibited];

THAT the community benefits listed in the report section “Density Bonus and Community Benefits” be secured through agreements at the applicant’s expense and to the satisfaction of staff;
AND THAT the Mayor and Corporate Officer be authorized to sign the required legal agreements including an encroachment agreement to permit solar shades, which are permanently affixed to the proposed building and encroach over City property, and any other necessary documentation to give effect to the motion.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Context Map (CityDocs #2332844)
2. Architectural Plans, dated May 26, 2023 (CityDocs 2487429)
3. Landscape Plans, dated May 26, 2023 (CityDocs 2372519)
4. Advisory Design Panel Resolution, dated November 26, 2021 (CityDocs #2164065)
5. Integrated Transportation Committee Resolution, dated February 2, 2022 (CityDocs #2169119)
6. Developer Information Session Summary (CityDocs #2174121)
7. Updated Public Notification Report (CityDocs 2478328)
8. Overview of Variances to Zoning and Parking Provisioning (CityDocs #2376274)
9. "Zoning Bylaw, 1995, No. 6700, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 9860" (CityDocs #2332897)

SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to present a rezoning application for the property located at 120-128 East 14th Street (the "subject site") to amend the Zoning Bylaw to permit a 21-storey mixed-use commercial and residential development. The proposal would replace the existing commercial buildings.

Also included in the proposal is a transfer of density from the CD-165 zone in respect of the Harry Jerome Community Recreation Centre Lands to the subject site to facilitate the redevelopment.

Table 1. Project Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applicant:</th>
<th>Three Shores Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Architect:</td>
<td>Integra Architecture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Official Community Plan Designation:</td>
<td>Mixed Use Level 4B (MU4B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Zoning:</td>
<td>Central Lonsdale Mixed Use B (C-1B) Zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicable Guidelines:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

Site and Surrounding Uses

This 1,457 square metre (15,691 square foot) site is located mid-block along the 100 block of East 14th Street, with a frontage of 34 metres (111.5 feet) along East 14th Street. Surrounding uses are provided in Table 2.
Table 2. Surrounding Uses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Direction (across lane)</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Zoning</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>OCP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>1440-1460 Lonsdale Ave &amp; 121-137 E 15th St</td>
<td>Commercial (C-1A &amp; C-1B) Comprehensive Development (CD-691)</td>
<td>1 to 3-storey commercial 16 &amp; 23-storey mixed-use (rental residential)</td>
<td>Mixed Use – Level 4B (High Density) Max. 3.0 FSR with 1.0 FSR bonus density Max. Height 68m (approx. 22 storeys)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>143 E 15th St &amp; 1441 St Georges Ave</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South (across E 14th St)</td>
<td>1318-1356 Lonsdale Ave &amp; 109-111 E 14th St</td>
<td>Commercial (C-1A) Comprehensive Development (CD-631) Comprehensive Development (CD-0166)</td>
<td>1 to 3-storey commercial 24-storey mixed-use 3-storey civic</td>
<td>Mixed Use – Level 4B (High Density) Max. 3.0 FSR with 1.0 FSR bonus density Max. Height 68m (approx. 22 storeys)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>119-127 E 14th St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>147 E 14th St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>140 E 14th St</td>
<td>Commercial (C-1B) Comprehensive Development (CD-079)</td>
<td>12-storey mixed-use 11-storey mixed-use</td>
<td>Mixed Use – Level 4B (High Density) Max. 3.0 FSR with 1.0 FSR bonus density Max. Height 37m (approx. 12 storeys)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150-160 E 14th St &amp; 1401-1419 St Georges Ave</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>108-116 E 14th St &amp; 1400-1434 Lonsdale Ave</td>
<td>Commercial (C-1A)</td>
<td>10-storey mixed-use</td>
<td>Mixed Use – Level 4B (High Density) Max. 3.0 FSR with 1.0 FSR bonus density Max. Height 68m (approx. 22 storeys)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West (across lane)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Proposal

The application proposes a 21-storey mixed-use building, with two levels of commercial uses, including retail and services at ground level, office commercial uses at level 2, and 19 levels of strata residential units within the upper levels. Parking access is provided off the rear lane to the north. Table 3 provides an overview of the proposed development.

Table 3. Development Proposal Overview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Proposal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>9.98 FSR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Commercial Retail &amp; Office Space</strong></td>
<td>A total of 1,371 square metres (14,759 square feet) or 0.94 FSR.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Unit Mix | 164 residential strata units, including:  
- 18 studio units;  
- 71 one-bed units; |
REPORT: Rezoning Application: 120-128 East 14th Street (Three Shores Management, CD-760) and Text Amendment: Centennial Theatre, 2300 Lonsdale Avenue, 116 East 23rd Street and Norseman Park (HJNL, CD-165)
Date: April 24, 2024

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Proposal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• 54 two-bed units; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• 21 three-bed units (12.8%).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaptable Units</td>
<td>41, Level 2 adaptable units (25%), comprised of:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• 6 studio units;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• 18 one-bed units; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• 17 two-bed units.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>Indoor – 219.6 sq. m. or 2,364 sq. ft.; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amenity</td>
<td>Outdoor – approx. 255 sq. m. or 2,750 sq. ft.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Policy Context and Planning Analysis

The subject site is designated Mixed Use Level 4B (High Density) in the Official Community Plan (OCP). A maximum height of 68 metres (approximately 22 storeys) is permitted.

This designation allows for a mix of higher density multi-family and commercial uses with a maximum density of 4.0 FSR (including 1.0 bonus FSR). Consistent with section 2.3 of the OCP, City Council may authorize a density transfer between properties, which permits an additional density above the maximum, to facilitate a preferable form of development. The proposal will involve a density transfer, which is discussed further below.

Land Use
The proposed mix of commercial and residential uses are appropriate along the Central Lonsdale corridor, which is envisioned as a key growth corridor in the City.

The ground floor retail space, as well as second floor office commercial uses, are supported, as they would contribute to the vibrancy and economic development of Central Lonsdale.

The proposed strata residential use meets the policy objective of increasing diverse housing stock within the City. The proposed mix of unit types meets directions of the Housing Action Plan, including delivery of a minimum of 10% as three-bedroom units to support families. The proposal also meets the Zoning Bylaw minimum requirement for 25% of units designed to Level 2 Adaptable Design.

Built Form & Urban Design
The proposed built form is consistent with the character of the neighbourhood. The proposed height at 68 metres (21 storeys) complies with the OCP and ensures the building integrates with existing and future developments on nearby lots, as well as establishes an appropriate commercial podium wall along East 14th Street.

Tower positioning has been considered to ensure that the project will meet the minimum 24.4 metre (80 feet) building separation to surrounding towers within proximity to the subject site.
Extensive glazing treatments within the design of ground floor retail and commercial uses will activate the streetscape (both along East 14th Street and the north-south laneway). The development will also deliver a publicly accessible courtyard along the laneway to provide a gathering place for the community. This courtyard, along with a publicly accessible sidewalk, will be secured for public use through statutory rights of way (SRW) agreements.

The proposal will also involve an encroachment into the East 14th Street road right of way, for an architectural building feature which will function as a solar shade and weather protection for pedestrians using the City’s footpath network. The encroachment will be authorized by an encroachment agreement.

A high level of laneway activation and casual surveillance is also achieved through: incorporating glazing treatments to the western elevation of the retail corner; inclusion of the publicly accessible courtyard adjacent to the laneway; orientation of windows from the level two office tenancies to the west; positioning of the short term bicycle spaces adjacent to the laneway; and orientating the communal outdoor amenity area towards the laneway.

**View Impacts and Tower Separation**

The tower positioning and floor plate have been designed to minimize potential impacts on view corridors to the fullest extent possible. This is addressed through floorplates that have a narrow width of 19.8 metres (65 feet) to the northern and southern elevations, which is less than the 30.48 metres (100 feet) prescribed for the C-1B zone.

It is important to consider that any decrease in building height would result in a wider floorplate, causing more adverse impacts on view corridors. The slender tower format is deemed appropriate as it will lessen impacts on view corridors for surrounding residents.

While there is likely to be some impact on existing views, the OCP does not guarantee that views will be preserved through redevelopment that is anticipated in the City. This is especially true in the Regional City Centre that is envisaged to accommodate regional-scale employment, services, business and commercial activities, along with high density housing.

**Density**

The proposed density is 9.98 FSR and consists of two components:

1. The OCP permits a maximum density of 4.0 FSR (including 1.0 FSR bonus density) for the Mixed Use Level 4B land use designation; and
2. A density transfer is proposed to add an additional 8,710 square metres (93,754 square feet) of density, being 5.98 FSR.

In accordance with Section 2.3 of the OCP, City Council may authorize a density transfer between properties, which permits additional density above the maximum specified in the OCP being achieved on a site, where the building height limits are not
exceeded. This process does not require an OCP amendment, as such transfers do not involve an increase in the total development potential in the City, but rather the relocation of density allowance from one parcel to another.

The transferred density is from the CD-165 zone in respect of the lands being developed for the City’s new Harry Jerome Community Recreation Centre, and will allow for the delivery of new employment generating uses and residential strata units, which would contribute to economic growth along the Lonsdale Corridor and provide new housing stock in the City.

There are few locations in the City’s Mixed Use Level 4B (Medium Density) land use designations that can accommodate additional (i.e. transfer) density without the resultant building forms exceeding the height limits established in the OCP. The subject site is one of those few locations. The OCP allows for a maximum of 68 metres (approximately 22 storeys) on the subject site. The proposed increase in density will not result in an increase in building height beyond what is permitted by the OCP.

In summary, based on staff support of the built form and urban design of the proposal, the total proposed density on the site is also supported. The proposed density transfer is discussed in greater depth below.

**Zoning Variances**

The proposed Comprehensive Development Zone will be based off of the Central Lonsdale Mixed Use B (C-1B) Zone, and is consistent with the current zoning of the subject site and surrounding land. To allow the massing, the proposed bylaw would include the modifications to the C-1B zone base density, building height, lot coverage, flanking lane siting, rear boundary setback and building width. A table summary and overview of the proposed zoning changes is included as Attachment 8 to this report.

**Transportation, Parking and Loading**

The site is located in the Lonsdale Regional City Centre, with shops and amenities within a short walking distance. Transit access is provided on two Frequent Transit Routes providing strong connections along Lonsdale Avenue, to Lynn Valley Centre and to Downtown Vancouver.

The application proposes vehicle access to the underground parkade from the northern lane. Three loading bays are also provided adjacent to this lane. The loading design would ensure that all commercial and residential loading would be undertaken on-site and would not impact the functionality of the surrounding road network.

Table 4 provides a summary and overview of the proposed car parking provisioning associated with the project:
**Table 4. Proposed Vehicle and Bicycle Parking**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Car Parking</th>
<th>Provided</th>
<th>Required by Zoning Bylaw</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total of 184 spaces, comprised of:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• 153 resident parking spaces</td>
<td>• 172 resident spaces,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(including 8 disability spaces);</td>
<td>including 16 visitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o 0.93 spaces per unit;</td>
<td>parking spaces (156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• 13 residential visitor spaces;</td>
<td>resident spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• 15 commercial spaces (including</td>
<td>excluding visitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>one disability spaces);</td>
<td>spaces);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• 3 shared residential visitor /</td>
<td>• 18 commercial spaces.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>commercial spaces.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bicycle Parking</th>
<th>Provided</th>
<th>Required by Zoning Bylaw</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residential:</td>
<td>Secure - 246 spaces;</td>
<td>• Secure - 246 spaces;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Short Term - 16 spaces.</td>
<td>• Short Term - 16 spaces.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Note:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o 47 of the secure bicycle spaces</td>
<td>• Secure - 6 spaces;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>are provided in the P2 level.</td>
<td>• Short Term - 8 spaces.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o 31 of the 246 secure spaces</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>have been provided for larger</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cargo bikes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Commercial:     | Secure - 6 spaces; |                           |
|                 | Short Term - 8 spaces. |                           |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loading Spaces</th>
<th>3 at-grade loading spaces, comprised of:</th>
<th>Required by Zoning Bylaw</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• One full sized loading space (i.e.</td>
<td>One loading space.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.7m x 9.15m); and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• 2 smaller passenger vehicle sized</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>loading spaces (i.e. 2.7m x 5.5m).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall, the application generally meets the parking and loading requirements of the Zoning Bylaw apart from some minor variances to resident, commercial and visitor parking. These variances are supported by staff. An overview of the proposed parking variances are included as part of Attachment 8 to this report.

**Traffic Impacts**

A Transportation Study was completed for the proposed development and demonstrates that the surrounding road network and intersections can accommodate the future traffic from the development with minimal additional delay. Traffic in the lane specifically will increase, since the proposed development will have increased parking accessed from the lane. The development will generate approximately 86 and 95 vehicle trips during the AM and PM peak hours respectively, however, with this additional traffic, the lane will still function as intended.
Off-Site Works and Infrastructure Upgrades

The proposed development will provide off-site streetscape upgrades, consistent with the City’s Subdivision and Development Control Bylaw. This includes the reconstruction of the frontage works along East 14th Street with new sidewalk, street lighting, street furnishings, and a seating area. Existing street trees will be retained and additional street trees will be provided. The development will also repave East 14th Street and the adjoining laneways to the northern and western boundaries.

In addition to the bylaw required infrastructure upgrades, the applicant will complete the design and construction of a full traffic signal at the intersection of East 14th Street and St. Georges Avenue, and has agreed to make a financial contribution of $59,621.00 towards future upgrades to the sanitary sewer main on East 14th Street.

These requirements will be secured through a Servicing Agreement with the City.

Density Bonus and Community Benefits

In accordance with the Density Bonus and Community Benefits Policy, the application is eligible for density bonuses up to 4.0 FSR, in exchange for a community benefit contribution (CBC). The estimated value of the CBC is shown in Table 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Density Value Calculation</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density Bonus to 3.0 FSR / OCP Density (@ $25 / sq. ft.)</td>
<td>$156,910.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density Bonus to 4.0 FSR Max Bonus (@ $190 / sq. ft.)</td>
<td>$2,981,290.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Value of Community Benefits</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,138,200.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Density Transfer

As mentioned previously, this project includes the proposed purchase of transfer density from the new Harry Jerome Community Recreation Centre portion of the Harry Jerome Neighbourhood Lands as the density donor site (2300 Lonsdale Avenue and 116 East 23rd Street, CD-165), in accordance with Section 2.3 of the OCP. Such transfers reallocate density from one site to another and do not increase the overall density contemplated by the OCP.

The applicant is proposing to purchase 5.98 FSR (8,710 square metres or 93,754 square feet) of additional density from the City at the current market value of $195 per buildable square foot. This will result in an estimated cash contribution of $18,282,030 to be allocated towards the Civic Amenity Reserve Fund. The final contribution amount will be confirmed prior to final adoption of this rezoning based on the current market value rate.

The total monetary value that this project would generate, including CBC and density transfer purchase is $21,420,230 as outlined in Table 6 below (including allocations).
Table 6. Total Project Monetary Contribution and Allocation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Community Benefits</th>
<th>Density Transfer</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Civic Amenity Reserve Fund Contribution</td>
<td>$2,510,560</td>
<td>$18,282,030</td>
<td>$20,792,590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affordable Housing Reserve Fund Contribution</td>
<td>$627,640</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$627,640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$3,138,200.00</td>
<td>$18,282,030</td>
<td>$21,420,230</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following items would also be secured as additional benefits to the community through this application:

- Public art with a value of $395,000.00 (approximately 1% of the construction costs);
- Design and construction of a full traffic signal upgrade at the intersection of East 14th Street and St. Georges Avenue;
- Financial contribution of $59,621.00 towards upgrading the sanitary main on East 14th Street;
- Relocation of existing utility pole at E/W lane and St. Georges Avenue;
- Public access right-of-way along the western boundary to provide safe public thoroughfare along the north-south laneway; and
- Public access right-of-way over the courtyard adjacent to the western laneway, providing a public gathering place for the community.

Legal Agreements

The following agreements would be secured as conditions of this rezoning:

- Development Covenant (with Public Art provisions);
- Servicing Agreement;
- Shoring and Crane Swing Agreement;
- Flooding Covenant;
- Community Energy Agreement;
- Statutory Right of Way for Sidewalk and Pedestrian access;
- Statutory Right of Way for Courtyard;
- Community Good Neighbour Agreement; and
- Encroachment Agreement for solar shades.

ADVISORY BODY INPUT

Advisory Design Panel

The application was reviewed by the Advisory Design Panel (ADP) on March 16, 2022. The Panel recommended approval of the proposal subject to addressing the following issues to the satisfaction of staff:
• Further design development of the ground floor residential lobby relative to the public realm and mixing of commercial and residential uses with particular attention to CPTED for security and safety concerns; and
• Further design development for bike parking within the public realm for enhanced visibility, eyes on the street and accessibility.

Revised designs were subsequently submitted that address the above concerns to the satisfaction of staff.

Integrated Transportation Committee

The application was reviewed by the Integrated Transportation Committee (ITC) on April 6, 2022. The Panel unanimously supported the proposal and made the following recommendations:

• That the developer include larger bike parking facilities to accommodate cargo bikes, including bikes with trailers;
• That the developer ensure security measures are in place to reduce bicycle theft;
• That City staff investigate the north-south lane and ensure an effort is made to minimize the conflicts between cars and pedestrians;
• That the Committee expresses their concern about the potential for increased congestion at the east-west lane at the St. George’s intersection; and,
• That the Committee are concerned about the impending rise in traffic congestion along Central Lonsdale as a result of planned and future developments.

Revised designs were subsequently submitted that address the above concerns to the satisfaction of City staff. This includes the provision of 31 cargo bike spaces within the P1 Level, and ensuring appropriate security measures are in place to prevent access to bicycle rooms within the parkade levels.

Further, the 1.8 metre SRW over the western boundary has also been provided to enhance safety for pedestrians accessing the laneway.

COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

A Developer’s Information Session was held on March 30, 2022 and was attended by 46 members of the public. A total of 45 comment forms were submitted and nine emails were received; 28 indicated support for the project; 22 were opposed; two indicated conditional support; and two did not specify either support or opposition.

A subsequent Public Notification mail-out was delivered to the nearby residents on February 13, 2024 to provide an update of the project, and allow a further opportunity for comments to be submitted. A total of eight emails were received; two indicated support for the project; six were opposed.
The main reasons for support were:

- Provision of new housing supply in the City to address current undersupply;
- New office and retail opportunities;
- New housing to support local workforce;
- The building design; and
- Densification providing better use of infrastructure and more sustainable use of resources.

The main concerns were:

- Building height;
- Tower separation;
- View impacts;
- Density;
- Traffic and parking impacts; and
- Construction impacts.

A summary of the public consultation, as prepared by the applicant, is available in Attachment 6 and Attachment 7. It is the opinion of staff that the comments raised during the community consultation have satisfactorily been addressed.

**NO PUBLIC HEARING FOR OCP COMPLIANT RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT**

Pursuant to recent Provincial amendments to Section 464 of the Local Government Act, which came into force on November 30, 2023, the City must not hold a public hearing on a proposed rezoning bylaw if: an OCP is in place for the subject site; the bylaw is consistent with the OCP; the purpose of the bylaw is to permit a development that is residential; and that residential component is at least half of the gross floor area for the development. Since all of these factors apply to this development, no public hearing will be held and notices have been published prior to First Reading of the Bylaw, as required by the Local Government Act.

**FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

As discussed under the Density Bonus and Community Benefits section, this application, if approved, would generate the following monetary benefits for the City:

- Cash community benefit contribution: $3,138,200; and
- Purchase of transfer density from the City estimated at $18,282,030.

This combines to a total monetary contribution (sum of the above two items) of approximately $21,420,230.

Any deviation from the 93,754 sq. ft. of transfer density proposed would result in a change of the density transfer payment by the agreed upon $195 per buildable square foot of FSR area.
These financial benefits are in addition to other City requirements, such as development cost charges (DCCs), utility upgrades, intersection upgrades and public art.

CONCLUSION

This application has been assessed and is in alignment with the goals and objectives of the Regional Growth Strategy (Metro 2040), OCP and Council Strategic Plan to intensify employment generating uses, and to increase and diversify the residential housing stock within the Lonsdale Regional City Centre. The proposal will also contribute funds to support community amenities and enhancements through the Capital Plan process.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:

Matthew Menzel
Planner 3
East 14th Street
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### Commercial Floor Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area Type</th>
<th>Total Area</th>
<th>Vacant Space</th>
<th>Occupied Space</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ground</td>
<td>5000 sq ft</td>
<td>1000 sq ft</td>
<td>4000 sq ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mezzanine</td>
<td>2000 sq ft</td>
<td>400 sq ft</td>
<td>1600 sq ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Floor</td>
<td>3000 sq ft</td>
<td>600 sq ft</td>
<td>2400 sq ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10000 sq ft</td>
<td>2000 sq ft</td>
<td>8000 sq ft</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Building Systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System Type</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elevators</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Sprinklers</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HVAC Units</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Parking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Spaces</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surface</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underground</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Off-Street Areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area Type</th>
<th>Total Area</th>
<th>Vacant Space</th>
<th>Occupied Space</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yard</td>
<td>1000 sq ft</td>
<td>200 sq ft</td>
<td>800 sq ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking</td>
<td>2000 sq ft</td>
<td>400 sq ft</td>
<td>1600 sq ft</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Site Improvements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sidewalks</td>
<td>Concrete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drainage</td>
<td>400 ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lighting</td>
<td>LED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes

- All data is subject to change.
- Final plans and specifications will be provided upon completion of construction.
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### ADAPTABLE DESIGN GUIDELINES

**DESIGN ELEMENTS**

City of North Vancouver Zoning Bylaw, 1993, No. AT9306-06A Schedule A, No. AS05, No. 7527 - Figure 3-1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEVEL ONE</th>
<th>LEVEL TWO</th>
<th>LEVEL THREE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>BUILDING ACCESS</strong></td>
<td><strong>BUILDING ACCESS</strong></td>
<td><strong>BUILDING ACCESS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Building access should be designed to accommodate the needs of all users.</td>
<td>- Building access should be designed to accommodate the needs of all users.</td>
<td>- Building access should be designed to accommodate the needs of all users.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Building access should comply with all applicable building codes and accessibility standards.</td>
<td>- Building access should comply with all applicable building codes and accessibility standards.</td>
<td>- Building access should comply with all applicable building codes and accessibility standards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Building access should be designed to provide safe and convenient access for all users.</td>
<td>- Building access should be designed to provide safe and convenient access for all users.</td>
<td>- Building access should be designed to provide safe and convenient access for all users.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CIRCULATION</strong></td>
<td><strong>CIRCULATION</strong></td>
<td><strong>CIRCULATION</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Circulation should be designed to accommodate the needs of all users.</td>
<td>- Circulation should be designed to accommodate the needs of all users.</td>
<td>- Circulation should be designed to accommodate the needs of all users.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Circulation should comply with all applicable building codes and accessibility standards.</td>
<td>- Circulation should comply with all applicable building codes and accessibility standards.</td>
<td>- Circulation should comply with all applicable building codes and accessibility standards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Circulation should be designed to provide safe and convenient access for all users.</td>
<td>- Circulation should be designed to provide safe and convenient access for all users.</td>
<td>- Circulation should be designed to provide safe and convenient access for all users.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DOORS</strong></td>
<td><strong>DOORS</strong></td>
<td><strong>DOORS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Doors should be designed to accommodate the needs of all users.</td>
<td>- Doors should be designed to accommodate the needs of all users.</td>
<td>- Doors should be designed to accommodate the needs of all users.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Doors should comply with all applicable building codes and accessibility standards.</td>
<td>- Doors should comply with all applicable building codes and accessibility standards.</td>
<td>- Doors should comply with all applicable building codes and accessibility standards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Doors should be designed to provide safe and convenient access for all users.</td>
<td>- Doors should be designed to provide safe and convenient access for all users.</td>
<td>- Doors should be designed to provide safe and convenient access for all users.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### ELECTRICAL

**LEVEL ONE**

- Electrical systems should be designed to accommodate the needs of all users.
- Electrical systems should comply with all applicable building codes and accessibility standards.
- Electrical systems should be designed to provide safe and convenient access for all users.

**LEVEL TWO**

- Electrical systems should be designed to accommodate the needs of all users.
- Electrical systems should comply with all applicable building codes and accessibility standards.
- Electrical systems should be designed to provide safe and convenient access for all users.

**LEVEL THREE**

- Electrical systems should be designed to accommodate the needs of all users.
- Electrical systems should comply with all applicable building codes and accessibility standards.
- Electrical systems should be designed to provide safe and convenient access for all users.
SPRING EQUINOX
MARCH 21

SUMMER SOLSTICE
JUNE 21
FALL EQUINOX
SEPTEMBER 23

WINTER SOLSTICE
DECEMBER 21
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Floor Category</th>
<th>Space</th>
<th>Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Level 3 Area Overlay**

- **GFA:** 7,367.66 sq ft
## Level 21 Area Overlay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column 1</th>
<th>Column 2</th>
<th>Column 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data 1</td>
<td>Data 2</td>
<td>Data 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data 4</td>
<td>Data 5</td>
<td>Data 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GFA:** 6,339.87 sq ft

---

East 14th Street

Three Shores Development

Integra

ARCHITECTURE INC.

204-300 Granville Street
Vancouver, BC, V6C 1S4
www.integra-arch.com
Telephone: 604 689 4220

---

Level 21 Area Overlay

---

A-8.305
LEVEL 1 - PLANTING ENLARGEMENT LANE

Scale: 1/8" = 1'-0"

LEVEL 1 - PLANTING ENLARGEMENT OFFSITE

Scale: 1/8" = 1'-0"

ARBOCULTURE NOTES
- Consulting arborist shall be called to also coordinate and monitor excavation or other work within any tree protection zones or as noted otherwise within the site.
- Buffer zone for tree protection area shall be determined with and supervised by the consulting arborist.
- All root pruning and crown pruning shall be done as directed by practicing within City guidelines.
- In the responsible for the general contractor to ensure all protection measures and other structuring requirements are followed up to the requirements for trees, trees, and shrubs are to be maintained and supervised by an arborist.
- The arborist is responsible for the trees.
- Any adjustment to the transferring of any tree's location to be made by the consulting arborist.
- All tree work to be scheduled with the consulting arborist.

Three Shores
120-128 east 14th street
North Vancouver, BC
OFFSITE IRRIGATION LEGEND

Key

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Automatic valves to be Rain Bird PRO series. See City Details. Zones to be confirmed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turn Station Controller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pot number: AB-2 or AB-1. Controller to be powered from a city accessible metered block.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Meter - Main supply line size specified on Drawing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVC Jet - Station Male/Female pipe fitting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layout shown is Illustration ONLY - Confirm actual piping layout on site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bubbler: Rainbird PN50-1402. See city details</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IRRIGATION LEGEND: RAINBIRD PRODUCTS OR APPROVED EQUAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Nozzles</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
<th>Spray Coverage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1201</td>
<td>12 Series XE 80</td>
<td>120°</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1202</td>
<td>12 Series XE 80</td>
<td>120°</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1203</td>
<td>12 Series XE 80</td>
<td>120°</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Client:
Three Shores

Project:
120-128 East 14th Street

North Vancouver, BC

Sheet No: 21075

Drawing Title:
Level 1 - Irrigation Plan
SITE FURNISHING ATTACHMENT - ON SLAB
Size: 1" x 1/4"

SITE FURNISHING ATTACHMENT - ON GRADE
Size: 1" x 1/4"

SITE FURNISHING - CORA BICYCLE RACK (W-4688)
Size: 5 bicycles finish black

SITE FURNISHING - WEBER GENESIS II E-330 GAS GRILL
Finish: powder coated black

SITE FURNISHING - METAL PLANTER
Finish: powder coated black

SITE FURNISHING - MOVEABLE POTS
Size: 5 bicycles finish black

SITE FURNISHING - BOULDER SEAT WALL
Size: 4" x 4"
WOBBLE BOARD - LEAF
Intended user age: 5 - 12
PRODUCT INFORMATION ASTM F1487
The Leaf Wobble Board is a versatile take on rocking playground equipment that lets users sit or stand while rocking. The Leaf shape is often an appropriate thematic choice for a natural playground. The flat balancing surface for kinetic play is supported by three springs for multidirectional rocking.

Maximum height: 1' - 3"
Fall height: 1' - 3"
Area of Safety Surfacing: 240 ft²

The highest designated play surface and impact areas are according to ASTM F1487 & J 15. If better is required in the fall zone to account for fabricator and material variations, resilient safety surfacing is required within the fall zone of equipment.

If you have questions about the equipment or ordering shapes, please contact Earthscape at 1-877-261-2972.

JUNIOR FORM - ROUND SMALL
Intended user age: 4+
PRODUCT INFORMATION ASTM F1487
Is it a meteor? A caterpillar? A rainbow? A planet? The Round junior form is a versatile playground sculpture. The Round form is fully climbable but they can also function as a small but where children can meet and gather inside. Both the inside and outside of the Round form provides climbing with gapped cladding or climbing holds.

Maximum height: 4' 2"
Fall height: 4' 2"
Area of Safety Surfacing: 264 ft²
User Capacity: 4

The highest designated play surface and impact areas are according to ASTM F1487 & J 15. If better is required in the fall zone to account for fabricator and material variations, resilient safety surfacing is required within the fall zone of equipment.

If you have questions about the equipment or ordering shapes, please contact Earthscape at 1-877-261-2972.
March 29, 2022

VIA EMAIL: rhysl@integra-arch.com

Rhys Leitch, Integra Architecture Inc.
200 Granville Street Unit 2330
Vancouver, BC V6C 1S4

Dear Mr. Leitch:

Re: 120 East 14th Street (Rezoning Application)

This will confirm that at their meeting on March 16, 2022, the Advisory Design Panel reviewed the above application and endorsed the following resolution:

“THAT the Advisory Design Panel has reviewed the Rezoning Application for 120 East 14th Street and recommends approval subject to addressing the following issues to the satisfaction of the Development Planner:

- Further design development of the ground floor residential lobby relative to the public realm and mixing of commercial and residential uses with particular attention to CPTED for security and safety concerns; and
- Further design development for bike parking within the public realm for enhanced visibility, eyes on the street and accessibility;

AND THAT the Panel wishes to thank the applicant for their presentation.”

The recommendations of the Advisory Design Panel pertain only to site-specific design and site planning considerations and do not, in any way, represent Council and staff approval or rejection of this project.

Yours truly,

T. Huckell
Committee Clerk-Secretary

Cc: M. Menzel, Planner 2, Planning and Development
E. Chow, Planner 2, Planning and Development
B. Savage, Three Shores Development
April 13, 2022

Barry Savage
Principal
Three Shores Management

Dear Barry,

**Re: Rezoning application for 120 East 14th Street**

At their regular committee meeting on April 6, 2022, the Integrated Transportation Committee received a presentation from Three Shores Management, Integra Architecture and IBI Group regarding the above mentioned. Following review and discussion, the following motion was made:

> THAT the Integrated Transportation Committee has reviewed the Rezoning application for 120 East 14th Street and supports the project.

The Committee makes the following additional comments:

- That the developer include larger bike parking facilities to accommodate cargo bikes, including bikes with trailers;
- That the developer ensure security measures are in place to reduce bicycle theft;
- That City staff investigate the north-south lane and ensure an effort is made to minimize the conflicts between cars and pedestrians;
- That the Committee expresses their concern about the potential for increased congestion at the east-west lane at the St. George’s intersection; and,
- That the Committee are worried about the impending rise in traffic congestion in Central Lonsdale as a result of planned and future developments.

**CARRIED**

The recommendations of the Integrated Transportation Committee do not, in any way, represent Council and/or staff approval or rejection of this proposal.

Yours truly,

Hayley van Gelderen
Committee Clerk/Secretary

c. E. Chow, Planner 2, City of North Vancouver
   D. Watson, Transportation Planner, City of North Vancouver
   K. Graham, Corporate Officer, City of North Vancouver
120-128 E 14th St, North Vancouver Rezoning Application – Three Shores – Developer Information Session Summary Report

Event Date: Wednesday, March 30\textsuperscript{th}, 2022
Time: 6:00PM – 7:30PM
Location: Online Zoom Webinar

Attendance: 46 members of the public attended virtually. The Three Shores team was in attendance, as was a representative of the City of North Vancouver Planning Department.

Comments: 45 comment forms and 9 emails were submitted before and/or following the DIS.

Meeting Purpose: 1) To present development application materials to the community
2) To provide an opportunity for the community to ask questions about the development
3) To provide an opportunity for the community to comment on the proposal

Notification:
In accordance with City of North Vancouver policies:

Invitation Flyers
DIS Invitation flyers were delivered to 739 addresses within a 40m radius of the site, provided by the City of North Vancouver. Appendix A: Notification includes a copy of this material.

Newspaper Ad
A DIS newspaper ad was placed in the North Shore News on March 16\textsuperscript{th}, 23\textsuperscript{rd} and 30\textsuperscript{th}, 2022. A copy of the ad is included in Appendix A: Notification.

Notification Sign
One DIS notification sign was erected on the site on March 18\textsuperscript{th}, 2022 and was removed on April 4\textsuperscript{th}, 2022. Photos of the installed site sign is included in Appendix A: Notification.

Attendance:
46 members of the public signed in for the virtual meeting. A copy of the attendee list is included in Appendix B: Attendee List.

The following City staff and project team members were in attendance:

City of North Vancouver:
• Emma Chow, Planner 2

Project Team:
• Barry Savage, Three Shores, Developer
Overview:
In accordance with the City of North Vancouver’s COVID-19 state of emergency community consultation guidelines, the DIS meeting was held in an online Virtual Developer Information Session format. Meeting participants watched a PowerPoint presentation and a virtual 3D “fly-through” video by members of the Three Shores project team.

Participants were invited to use the Zoom Webinar Q&A function to ask questions or submit comments during the DIS, which were addressed at the end of the presentation during the Question & Answer period. The facilitator read all questions received aloud and directed questions to the appropriate project team member to respond verbally for all participants to hear. Answers were transcribed by the facilitator and posted for all to see.

The project presentation and facilitated Question & Answer period took approximately 90 minutes. The facilitators received several questions and comments about the project and recorded them for inclusion in this summary report, attached as a separate Appendix C: Public Dialogue.

Participants were invited to submit written comments (using the City’s standard comment form or via email) to the applicant and/or the municipal development planner, following the DIS. 45 comment forms and 9 emails were submitted before or after the DIS.

The key themes of the questions during the Developer Information Session related to the height of the building and distance from adjacent buildings, and how that relates to private views from the adjacent buildings. Many questions arose on the permitted height and density for the site and the increasing density due to recent development along and surrounding 14th street. The applicant noted that the height complies with the OCP for the area, and that density was purchased from the City for this site from a donor site (the Harry Jerome Lands). Planning staff further explained the concept of a “density transfer” in the City of North Vancouver, as well as the fact that the site is located in a major focal node of Lonsdale City Centre, identified as a priority location for higher density development.

Questions arose over the impact on traffic that this project would have to an already congested area, particularly along the lanes to the west and north of the property. The traffic consultant advised that the width of the lane meets City requirements and should allow two vehicles to pass one another. The traffic consultant also noted that commercial use of the lane, such as for loading, would diminish in the evenings when residential traffic typically increases.

Other questions included the size range of the homes available, the size and location of outdoor amenity spaces, whether or not the parking stalls were equipped with EV charging stations, and if rental housing or affordable housing would be provided as part of this proposal.
Comment Sheet and Email Summary:
Participants were invited to submit comments during a 7-day response period after the DIS meeting. 45 comment forms and 9 emails were submitted before or after the DIS. 31 of the responses were in support and 20 were opposed to the proposed project. These are submitted as a separate Appendix D: Public Comments.

Those in favour of the project noted support for the building design, for the variety of housing, office and retail opportunities, in particular the availability of new commercial space. The suggestion was made to earmark the commercial space for medical and dental uses.

Those opposed to the project noted concern over the building height and proximity to neighbouring buildings, blocking private views. The suggestion was made to reduce the building height or to step back upper floors in order to block less light. There is concern over the amount of density in Central Lonsdale, and the impacts of this density including increased traffic, parking challenges and noise and disruption from ongoing construction in the area.

Conclusion:
The purpose of this online Virtual Developer Information Session was to present to the community the proposed rezoning application and the development concept, and to provide attendees with an opportunity to ask clarifying questions and comment on the proposal. 739 DIS notification flyers were distributed by mail to the surrounding community, and 46 community members signed into the DIS. Three newspaper ads notified the community of the DIS, and 1 DIS notification sign was posted on the property. A total of 45 comment forms and 9 e-mails were submitted.

Members of the public could participate in this consultation process in three ways:
- Watching a virtual project presentation during the DIS, including a 3D virtual “fly-through” video
- Asking questions of the project team and/or City Planner during the DIS
- Submitting written comments after the DIS

The DIS meeting length and format was sufficient to provide all participants an opportunity to learn more about the proposed project, ask questions, and make any comments they wished to provide that evening. Participants asked the development team and City Planner a variety of specific questions, mostly related to building height, density, view impacts, parking and traffic implications and the overall pace of development in the Lower Lonsdale area.

Appendix A: Notification
Newspaper Advertisement: North Shore News, Wednesday, March 16th, 2022
A grieving mother says a report
by T.U.'s College of Physicians and
Surgeons into the care her daughter
received at Lions Gate Hospital's
emergency ward has done little to
provide accountability for why her
daughter died.

Jane Ferry, 38 year-old daughter
Natalia Ferry died in October 2020,
after a small infection went unstated
by doctors until
well after it had
developed into a widespread sep-
stimulus that was written
down for intern-
eligibility.

Forty years old,
Natalia was
sent to Lions Gate Hospital four
times between
Oct. 3 and 12 2020, her condition worsen-
ing with each visit. She was never given
antibiotics, but was sent home with
pain medication, until her final visit when
she was rushed to the intensive care unit
having difficulty breathing.

Natalia died later that day.
A report recently produced
by an inquiry committee of the college
examined the actions of eight doctors
who saw Natalia at Lions Gate Hospital,
finding fault with only one of those phys-
icians, an emergency room physician
who saw Ferry on her third visit to the
desk. The report from the college inquiry
committee found Ferry's vital signs were
not checked and documented following
removal, which might have prevented
an indication of her worsening condition.

A "significant drop" in Ferry's "norm-
allization," which can indicate a serious
infection... was also "undetected"
according to the report.

The committee noted the doctor would
be asked to take part in an interview to
discuss those concerns "and means of
enhancing the care of future patients.

But that's little comfort to Natalia's
mother Jane Ferry, who said she has no
confidence the report from the college
will result in any changes.

"COMПLЕTЕ COLLAPSE OF CARE"

"There's no justice for me," she said. "It was a complete collapse of care for
Natalia... Thirty-odd kids don't die like this.

Ferry said the concern building up in her daughter's death at Lions Gate Hospital
should be of concern to everyone on the North Shore.

"This isn't just my problem," she said.
Ferry said she heard her daughter
was in the best place possible when she
regretfully went to Lions Gate. "My mom was treating the hospital," she said. "I
sent my daughter for life."

The inquest report from the inquiry
commission concluded there was
a "serious and significant deviation" in
Natalia Ferry's death. According
to the inquest, on Oct. 2, 2020, the young
woman visited the emergency department
with an infected eye in her private area.

Continued on page 38

VIRTUAL DEVELOPER'S INFORMATION SESSION

Three Shores Management has submitted a rezoning application to the City of North Vancouver for 120 - 128 East 14th Street to
support the development of a 21 storey residential and commercial
(retail and office) mixed use building. Interested members of the
public are invited to attend a Virtual Developer's Information Session
with the applicant for an early opportunity to review the proposal, ask
questions, and submit a comment form.

How to Participate:
Please register in advance at:
www.120east14th.com
or contact the applicant.

Date & Time:
Wednesday-March 23, 2021
5:00 PM - 6:30PM
Applicant Contact
Gary Savage
Three Shores Management
E: savages@threeshores.ca
P: 778.396.2187
City of North Vancouver Contact
William Odefey
Development Planning
E: odefeyw@nvn.vc
P: 604.984.2519

Newspaper Advertisement: North Shore News, Wednesday March 23, 2022
ARE YOU BUYING OR SELLING YOUR HOME?

CALL KEN SPONG

604-315-8000 | kenspong.com

SELLING REAL ESTATE SINCE 1999

VIRTUAL DEVELOPER’S INFORMATION SESSION

Three Shores Management has submitted a rezoning application to the City of North Vancouver for 120 - 128 East 14th Street to support the development of a 21-storey residential and commercial (retail and office) mixed use building. Interested members of the public are invited to attend a Virtual Developer’s Information Session with the applicant for an opportunity to review the proposal, ask questions, and submit a comment form.

How to Participate:
Please register in advance at: www.12east146.com or contact the applicant.

Date & Time:
Wednesday March 30 2022
6:30 PM - 7:30 PM
Applicant Contact
Barry Savage
Three Shores Management
e: kenspong@telus.net
t: 778-814-0915

City of North Vancouver Contact
EFLayman
Development Planning
e: eflayman@cnv.ca

Development Proposal

PUBLIC INFO MEETING
VIRTUAL Open House: March 21 - April 11, 2022
Visit: DWG.info/public-meeting

PROPOSAL:

- 24 stacked townhouse units
- 41 parking spaces
- 50 bicycle parking spaces

WE ARE HERE

PUBLIC INFO MEETING
PUBLIC HEARING

Questions?
Matthew CHEUNG, Matthew CHEUNG Development
matthewcheung@telus.ca | 604-734-3032

Newspaper Advertisement: North Shore News, Wednesday March 30, 2022
Trail grooming machines damage Mount Seymour's trees

BRENT RICHTER

A North Vancouver trail user is calling out Mt. Seymour and BC Parks after recent contractors used heavy machinery to groom a rarely used trail, taking out or damaging a swath of trees in the process.

The north-south snowshoe trail marked E. Trail or also known as the Cougar Trail is within the resort's boundaries, but is usually roped off, said Mike Horne.

Horne was caught when he crossed onto the trail recently and saw tracks from a snow groomer.

"I didn't go very fast and I saw at least half a dozen to dozen trees that had been either uprooted or damaged on the sides. Parts of those were not lopped off by the snow machine," he said.

There was a tree in the way, he said, they went right over where they were trying to access between bigger trees, they just ran right through them and damaged bigger trees. That, to me, is just incredible."

Beyond the obvious concern about the environmental damage, Horne questions the wisdom of grooming the E. Trail at all as it starts out quite tame but becomes highly challenging with steep sections and creek crossings. By grooming it, Horne worries it will be in snowboarders who aren't prepared for the backcountry.

"It's not an area where people who aren't experienced and equipped should be venturing into," he said.

Horne wrote about his concerns to Mt. Seymour Resorts in management and to the Ministry Environment and Climate Change, which oversees BC Parks and grants Mt. Seymour Resort its license to operate in the provincial park. It's not the first time this has happened. In 2004, Horne sent the ministry to task for Towing up to 100 trees on the main Mount Seymour trail in 2004.

"Guy Moorhead, outdoor education manager for Mt. Seymour Resorts, wrote back on March 16.

"Concerning the damaged trees observed within the ski area boundaries, both the Mt. Seymour Main Trail and Cougar trail are not the standard we want to uphold when providing recreational services," he wrote. "As a result, we will be continuing our remediation work cleaning up the damaged trees and providing maintenance to the trails as an appropriate and environmentally sensitive manner to accommodate walk-in access. Furthermore, we will refrain from grooming these trails until further assessment shows there isn't further damage in the future."

Horne, however, did not receive a response from the province. The Ministry of Environment did release a statement in the North Shore News.

"BC Parks works closely with Mt. Seymour Resort to ensure the grooming of the designated routes on the Seymour Main Trail to avoid damage to vegetation," the statement read. "Park rangers regularly patrol the route and are required to report any deviation from the approved grooming program. Any damage observed, without sufficient investigative justification, may result in enforcement action taken under the Park Act."

Horne said the province takes far too much of a hands-off approach to the park.

"I think it's just a standard boiler plate. The ministry just puts out a response and hopes it goes away and that's typically how the ministry deals with just about everything, as far as I've seen," he said.

"So far, I haven't seen any accountability."

VIRTUAL DEVELOPER'S INFORMATION SESSION

Three Shores Management has submitted a rezoning application to the City of North Vancouver for 120 - 128 East 14th Street to support the development of a 42-storey residential and commercial (retail and office) mixed-use building. Interested members of the public are invited to attend a Virtual Developer's Information Session with the applicant for an early opportunity to review the proposal, ask questions, and submit a comment form.

How to Participate:
Please register in advance at:
showcase@3shorestv.com
or contact the applicant.

Date & Time:
Wednesday, March 31, 2021
6:00 PM - 6:15 PM: Presentation
6:15 PM - 7:00 PM: Q & A

Applicant Contact
Barry Sage
Three Shores Management
3shorestv@3shorestv.com
E: 778-366-2167

City of North Vancouver Contact
Deena Chow
Development Planning
3shorestv@3shorestv.com
E: 604-982-2919
Notification Sign

VIRTUAL DEVELOPER’S INFORMATION SESSION

Early Public Input Opportunity
Rezoning Application for 120-128 East 14th Street, North Vancouver

How to Participate:
Register in advance at: www.120east14th.com or contact the applicant.

Date & Time:  Wednesday March 30, 2022
6:00 PM - 6:15 PM - Presentation
6:15 PM - 7:30 PM - Q & A
Three Shores Management has submitted a rezoning application to the City of North Vancouver for 120-128 East 14th Street to support the development of a 21-storey residential and commercial (retail and office) mixed-use building. Interested members of the public are invited to attend a Virtual Developer's Information Session with the applicant for an early opportunity to review the proposal, ask questions, and submit a comment form.

Applicant Contact
Barry Savage
Three Shores Management
E: bsavage@threeshores.ca
T: 778-366-2367

City of North Vancouver Contact
Emma Chow
Development Planning
E: echow@lcnv.org
T: 604-982-3919
## Appendix B: Attendee List (redacted to preserve privacy)
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**Appendix C: Public Dialogue (see attached)**

**Appendix D: Public Comments – Written and Emailed Submissions (see attached)**
120-128 East 14th St, North Vancouver - Rezoning Application

Updated Notification Report

Delivery Date: Tuesday February 13, 2024

Comments: 8 emails were submitted following the delivery of the Information flyers.

Notification Purpose: 1) To update the community on the status of the development application
2) To provide an opportunity for the community to comment on the proposal

Notification:
In accordance with City of North Vancouver policies:

Information Flyers
Information flyers were delivered to 894 addresses within a 40m radius of the site, provided by the City of North Vancouver. The flyers were mailed on February 13, 2024. The purpose of the information flyer was to inform the neighbouring residents that Three Shores Management has responded to all of the outstanding items and that this project is ready to move to the next step of the development process. Appendix A: Notification includes a copy of this material.

Background:
The first Developer Information Session was held on Wednesday March 30, 2022 as part of the development process. 46 members of the public attended the virtual meeting. Following the meeting, 45 comment forms and 9 emails were submitted. 31 of the responses were in support and 20 were opposed to the proposed project.

Overview:
The key themes of the responses to the Information Flyer were the height and density of the project along with concern over traffic.

Regarding the height and density for the site and the increasing density in Central Lonsdale, it should be noted that the height complies with the OCP for the area, and that density is being purchased from the City for this site from a donor site (the Harry Jerome Lands). The site is located in a major focal node of Lonsdale City Centre, identified as a priority location for higher density development.

Comments regarding the impact on traffic that this project would have to an already congested area, particularly along the lanes to the west and north of the property. As previously noted by our traffic consultant, the width of the lane meets City requirements and should allow two vehicles to pass one another. The traffic consultant also noted that commercial use of the lane, such as for loading, would diminish in the evenings when residential traffic typically increases. The introduction of intersection improvements at 14th Street and St. Georges and along the back lanes has help alleviate some of the traffic and congestion concerns.
**Comment Sheet and Email Summary:**
Residents were invited to submit comments on the application. 8 emails were submitted following the delivery of the Information flyers. 2 of the responses were in support and 6 were opposed to the proposed project. These are submitted as a separate Appendix B: Public Comments.

Those in favour of the project noted support for the building design, the need for new housing, and for the need for new commercial space.

Those opposed to the project noted concern over the amount of density in Central Lonsdale, and the impacts of this density including increased traffic, parking challenges and noise and disruption from ongoing construction in the area.

**Conclusion:**
The purpose of this Updated Notification was to make the community aware that this rezoning application was progressing through the rezoning process and that it would be coming before City Council in the next few months. It provided the community with an opportunity to provide comments on the application.
Appendix A: Notification
Notification Flyer

PLANNING APPLICATION

120 -128 East 14th Street

Three Shores Management has applied for a Zoning Bylaw Amendment application to rezone the property from existing C-1B Zone to new Comprehensive Development Zone to allow for a 21-storey residential and commercial building with 177 strata units. The proposal includes 184 vehicle parking stalls and 252 secure bicycle parking stalls.

PROPOSED BUILDING

LOCATION

PROJECT UPDATE & NEXT STEPS

Three Shores Management has submitted a revised application based on the comments received by the City and the public. Three Shores Management has responded to all of the outstanding items and that this project is ready to move to the next step of the development process. It is anticipated that this Zoning Bylaw Amendment will be advanced to City Council in the next month or so.

TO LEARN MORE & PROVIDE FEEDBACK

COMMENTS TO APPLICANT:

Please submit your comments to Three Shores Management for review and response. Your comments will be shared with City staff for consideration.

Three Shores Management
38 Fell Ave #400,
North Vancouver, BC V7P 3S2
info@threeshores.ca

cnv.org/Applications
Search by property address

APPLICANT

CITY OF NORTH VANCOUVER

Barry Savage
Three Shores Management
38 Fell Ave #400,
North Vancouver, BC V7P 3S2
info@threeshores.ca
778-366-2367

Matthew Menzel
Planning & Development
141 West 14th Street, North Vancouver
planning@cnv.org
604-982-9675
Overview of Variances to Zoning and Parking Provisioning

The following provides a summary and overview of the proposed variances to zoning and car parking provisioning associated with the project at 120-128 East 14th Street:

Table 1. Summary of Proposed Zoning Changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BASE C-1B ZONE</th>
<th>PROPOSED CD-749 ZONE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Permitted Principal Uses</strong></td>
<td>Retail-Service Group 1 Accessory Residential and Parking Uses</td>
<td>Retail-Service Group 1A at ground level Retail-Service Group 1 at second level Accessory Residential Uses Accessory Parking Uses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Density Maximum</strong></td>
<td>2.6 FSR</td>
<td>9.98 FSR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lot Coverage Maximum</strong></td>
<td>90%, reduced to 35% above the second storey</td>
<td>100 percent, reduced to 47 percent above the second level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Height Maximum</strong></td>
<td>36.6 metres (120 feet)</td>
<td>68 metres (as per the OCP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Siting</strong></td>
<td>3.048 metres (10 feet) from the flanking lane</td>
<td>0 metres to podium level 3.048 metres (10 feet) to tower Up to Level 2 (podium level) 0 metres Level 3 to 21 (tower levels) 3.65 metres (12 feet) to the principal building. 2.13 metres (7 feet) to an unenclosed balcony.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Building Width &amp; Length</strong></td>
<td>Shall not exceed a horizontal width or length of 51.816 metres (170 feet) above the second storey and 30.48 metres (100 feet) above third storey</td>
<td>36 metres (approx. 118 feet) to the eastern and western elevations of the tower levels.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lot Coverage
The application seeks a variation to the Lot Coverage requirements set for the C-2 zone, specifically being 90%, reduced to 35% above the second storey.

The application proposes a Lot Coverage of 100%, reduced to 47% above the second storey.

The building has been designed with a commercial podium level, which is appropriate given the site’s Mixed Use Level 4B land use designation in the OCP. The proposed lot coverage at the ground level is required in order to meet operational functions of the building, including for example vehicle and bicycle parking, loading and garbage storage/staging, and to establish active commercial retail units along the full frontage of...
the East 14th Street. The proposed Lot Coverage is therefore determined to result in an appropriate form and intensity for the mixed use location.

The site has been designed with appropriate landscaping treatments within the streetscape and podium level to soften the built form elements and enhance amenity for residents.

**Flanking Lane Setback**
The C-1B zone requires a minimum setback of 3.048 metres (10 feet) from the flanking lane (western boundary). The application proposes a 2 metre (6.6 feet) setback at ground floor and 0 metres on the second floor.

As discussed, the development achieves excellent laneway activation through positioning of commercial uses and pedestrian amenities at grade level. The second floor office spaces that will overlook the laneway are also strongly supported.

The second floor overhangs over the ground level along the western boundary. Staff support this minor variation to the setback, as it helps to establish an appropriate commercial podium and will contribute to the overall laneway activation strategy. The overhang will create a covered area at grade, providing weather protection for pedestrians and the short-term bicycle parking spaces.

**Rear Boundary Setback**
The proposed development will result in a variation to the siting requirements for the C-1B zone, specifically from the rear lot line, being the northern boundary adjacent to the laneway. The C-1B zone requires a minimum setback 6.096 metres (20 feet) from the rear lot line.

The proposed development results in the following minimum setbacks on the existing northern boundary (rear lot line):
- Podium Level – 0 metres;
- Tower element:
  - to wall – 3.65 metres (12 feet); and
  - to balcony – 2.14 metres (7 feet).

The reduction in the rear boundary setback will have minimal impacts with respect to overshadowing of existing adjoining dwellings, and will ensure that residents achieve an adequate level of access to sunlight and prevailing breezes.

As demonstrated in the Context Site Plan prepared by the applicant’s architect, the proposed rear boundary setback will ensure that a 24.4 metre (80 foot) separation can be achieved to a potential future tower on the land to the north. On this basis, it is determined that the proposed rear boundary setback will not jeopardize the development outcomes on nearby parcels, and will be able to appropriately mitigate potential overlooking impacts to future residents.
Building Width and Length
The building is composed of a 2-storey podium and 19 storeys of tower. The proposed development will result in a variation to the building width and length requirements set for the C-1B zone, specifically being 30.48 metres (100 feet) for the tower element.

The proposed development results in a maximum building width of 35.9 metres (118 feet) above the podium level, specifically along the eastern and western elevations.

It is firstly important to acknowledge that the northern and southern elevations have been designed with slender tower width of only approximately 19.8 metres (65 feet), which is significantly less than the 30.48 metres (100 feet) prescribed by the C-1B zone. The design of the tower has mitigated view impacts of nearby residents towards the north to the full extent possible.

Further, the Context Site Plan prepared by the applicant’s architect has demonstrated that the proposed building design will ensure that a 24.4 metre (80 foot) separation can be achieved to a potential future tower on the land to the north. On this basis, it is determined that the proposed tower width will not jeopardize the development outcome on nearby parcels.

The proposed elevations have been designed with appropriate articulation along the length of the building, including steps in the building line, and inclusion of recessed balconies. The building also adopts a contemporary design that utilizes a variety of façade materials and strong roof line. These built form elements appropriately break up the form of the building and reduce the perceived building bulk, despite the variance to building length and width.

The building width and length standards are regularly varied in individual Comprehensive Development Zones to ensure a functional built form outcome is established in mixed use areas. Similar building widths and lengths have been permitting in a number of nearby projects in the Central Lonsdale area.

Resident Parking Spaces
The proposed development involves a minor variation to the proposed resident car parking. Specifically, only 153 residential visitor car parking spaces will be provided in lieu of the required 156 spaces.

The subject site is located in a centralized location, nearby to 2 frequent transit corridors with inbound and outbound bus stops located within 200 metres walking distance of the site. The site is also located within one block of the bicycle route on 13th Street which provides connections to the broader bicycle network. Finally, the proposed development has provided 246 residential secure bicycle parking spaces (which includes 31 cargo bicycle spaces), to promote use of active transportation.

Given the sites centralized location, there is expected to be a reduced demand for parking on the site, and therefore the proposed variance is supported.
Visitor and Commercial Vehicle Parking
The development involves a minor variation to the proposed visitor and commercial vehicle parking. Specifically, only 13 residential visitor car parking spaces will be provided in lieu of the required 16 spaces. Further only 15 commercial car parking spaces will be provided in lieu of the required 18 spaces.

The development has proposed to allocate 3 vehicle parking spaces as being shared between residential visitor spaces and commercial users. Given the commercial parking and residential visitor parking will have different peak use times, the shared arrangement for these 3 spaces will make better use of the overlapping parking demands generated by the commercial uses and residential visitors. Use of the visitor car parking space will be managed through the strata of the building, and the terms can be outlined in the development covenant.

Given the sites centralized location, there is expected to be a reduced demand for parking on the site, and therefore the proposed variance is supported.

Bicycle Parking within P2 Level
The development proposes 47 resident bicycle parking spaces within the P2 level.

The minor variance is supported, as requiring additional bicycle parking within the ground level floor plate will impact the functionality of the office and residential lobbies, and reduce the available floor space allocated to active commercial uses along East 14th Street. Further, providing additional bicycle parking within the P1 level will impact the functionality of the car parking layout.

The proposed bicycle parking within P2 will be easily accessible to residents via the parkade ramps and two residential elevators.
From: Gail McGuire  
Sent: May-03-22 4:12 PM  
To: City_North_Van_Info <info@cnv.org>  
Subject: 100 block East 14th St. Concern

To Mayor and council as well as relevant departments.

I'm a resident living at 108 East 14th St. and have concerns about the increased traffic, both vehicular and pedestrian, using the lane which runs N-S between 14th and 15th Streets.

A lot of the extra vehicular traffic is the result of the 'no left turn' sign on Lonsdale at 15th. Traffic now comes down to 14th and goes N to get back to 15th, OR to back out onto 14th, turn R onto Lonsdale and back to 15th. (I must say this signage makes it much easier to turn onto 15th from the lane).

This lane is also a thoroughfare for pedestrians between 13th to 15th streets. I would like to see crosswalks across 14th at the lane and 15th (at Eastern) be considered as well as a dedicated walkway along the lane.

Now with the (proposed) development of a 21 storey building at 120-128 East 14th this area and lane is going to be impacted even more severely. I would request that city hall and the traffic dep't monitor this lane with a critical eye. This lane should be widened to deal with the increased amount of commercial traffic serving this entire area south of 14th as well as the east and west sides of the 1400 block of Lonsdale and of course all the waste management trucks servicing this immediate area. Currently the retail stores on this proposed development lot have their own loading and parking areas (butchers, bakery, dry cleaners, restaurant, etc) but this will not be the case with redevelopment.

Therefore, to deal with this density and traffic increase it would be prudent to adapt this lane before another tower is approved. Please take a long look at the traffic in this area.

These pictures were taken at 10:30ish on May 3, 2022. The lane was blocked by waste management which caused a semi to block the entrance and left other traffic at a standstill on 14th. Pedestrians enter at their own risk...

Thank you,  
Gail McGuire  
East14th Street  
North Vancouver
From: Anne-Marie Lavallee
Sent: March-23-24 1:19 PM
To: Submissions; Mayor Linda Buchanan
Subject: 120 - 128 14th Street East N Van

My address is East 14th Street. Now I might to force sell my place because I won’t be able to sleep during the day and the construction hours. Can we just have a break in noise pollution?? We have been enduring it for almost 6 years.

On Saturday, March 23, 2024, 6:08 AM, Anne-Marie L wrote:

Hello,

I am a homeowner at 14th Street East.

I am super concerned about the new development. Some city officials were paid to accept the contract for a 21-story building at 120-128 14th Street East.

I am a first responder, working the night shift and sleeping during the day.

I bought it in 2016 after knowing the city only allowed a six-story building facing my apartment. My suite faces north.

When I moved here in 2017, I dealt with the noise construction from the rental highrise at the north/west corner of 13th and Lonsdale Ave. I tried to sleep during the day, but my building vibrated during the construction.

Then, the construction of the Millenium in the 100 block of 13th Street East continued, and the noise continued.

It has been so noisy here since I lived here.

I know many shift workers living at Centre View, and we are concerned about the noise caused by the construction.

Have you ever tried to sleep during the day when concrete drilling took place?

I would like to see a 2024 traffic study on my street.

There is a daycare in the 100 block of 14th Street East, and many parents drop off their kids in the morning and pick them up in the afternoon. Do you have an alternative drop and pick-up area?

Have you had a chance to talk with the daycare?

The RCMP has six parking stops on the 100 block of 14th Street.
The parking spots in the detachment are tiny, and officers have to climb through the windows of their police cars when they park in detachment P1.

Only the general duty officers can park on the 100 block of 14th Street to be ready to go immediately during an emergency.

I was one of the officers who responded to the in-progress Lynn Valley stabbing, and my police vehicle was parked in P1 of the detachment. I had to climb through the window of my police car as the parking spots in P1 were too tiny.

Officers who were parked on 100 block 14th Street East were able to respond faster than I was.

Even the city designated more parking spots on the 100 block of 14th Street for the RCMP’s police vehicles.

Will the city provide more spots on St Georges for the police vehicles?

Have you consulted with the Home Hardware store? Their 18-wheeled delivery truck is too big to park in the Centre View parking lot, located at 100 block East 13th Street.

This street is chaotic; please conduct a traffic study in the afternoon. It is already congested without additional construction trucks. Please meet with clients to get their perspective; it is not enough to post signs with a proposal. People are busy and don't have time to read signs.

I would appreciate an answer.

Anne-Marie Lavallee
East 14th Street
North Vancouver
Hello,

I am so against the 21 story tower on 14th street for so many obvious reasons. 14th street is one way with access to the hospital. People wanting to go to the emergency room will be impacted. We cannot make hospital access difficult when our hospital is the only hospital on the north shore. Also we are turning this area into a cement village where all of us already living here can only see into other apartment windows when we look out our windows. Of course there is access to the north shore being more and more difficult with the increased population to this area. I’m totally against this high rise for more reasons than those listed. Please reconsider this high rise.

Thank you

Lois Schneeberg  
East 14th Street  
North Vancouver
To Corporate Officer

I am writing to voice my objection to the rezoning application at 120-128 East 14th Street and I am very disappointed that no public hearing will be held. I don't believe that a thorough study of the impacts have been taken into account. I am concerned that the construction will close 14th street or limit its use drastically. This is street that is used by both the police and ambulances. I also have a young child and am concerned about the construction debris and dust that is inevitable for a building that size. Have there been any studies regarding the stability of a building that large with others so close by? What about water consumption and sewage? 14th street is already chaotic enough without the added construction and the congestion that a building of this size would bring once the construction is completed in 3 years. Please consider this when you are making your decision. You will affect not only the quality of life of the occupants of 14th street, but also those of the entire North Shore with the impact this will have on the police and hospital.

Sincerely,
Gillian Knox
East 14th Street
North Vancouver BC V7L 0E6
Among the concerns that I have regarding the proposed construction of a 21 story residential commercial building at 120-128 East 14th Street is the following.

During demolition and excavation there will be an on going stream of dump trucks and perhaps heavy equipment on East 14th Street and during erection of the tower there will a similar stream of transit mixers (cement trucks) and other vehicles delivering steel etc. Even with traffic control personnel, this will seriously impede garbage collection, mail and parcel delivery, and most importantly, access by emergency vehicles, when required, to the residents and commercial establishments on East 14th, as well as the police station. As you undoubtably know, that section of East 14th Street is a one way single driving lane and should the plan be to restrict, or modify the existing parking arrangements this would further impact the tax paying citizens who live, work and shop in that area.

I'll be interested to learn how you plan to mitigate the impact during this multi year Project.

Regards

James Beard
East 14th Street
North Vancouver BC V7L 2N3
Dear Mayor and Councillors,

I was very disappointed to hear that there will not be a public hearing for the development at 120-128 East 14th Street.

Isn't a public hearing required if the proposed development does not comply with the OCP? The FSR for the development is 9.98 versus the maximum 4.0 allowed by the OCP. The public deserves the right to present their objections to City Council.

Three Shores Development are certainly acting like they already have council approval for the project. They even brag on their website that they already have approval, stating "our expertise and connections to the city planning staff allowed us to gain approval for a 21 story high-rise, more than doubling the existing FSR". (https://www.threeshores.ca/portfolio/central-lonsdale)

The lack of a public hearing is not a good look with Three Shores implying that approval was the result of their "connections" in City Hall.

During the Developer Information Session held in March 2022, several concerns were raised by myself and others regarding this project. These included, but are not exclusive to:

- Density will be significantly increased in the immediate area of East 14th Street and, as Three Shores indicates, such a dramatic increase is brag worthy.
- While the concept of transfer of density was described during the session, no explanation was provided to the question of why, against the City’s guidelines for density transfers, density is
allowed to be shifted from one region of the city (Harry Jerome) into another not-in-close-proximity, already dense region (East 14<sup>th</sup>).

- East 14<sup>th</sup> is a single lane, one-way street already experiencing traffic congestion that leads to Lions Gate Hospital and the RCMP detachment.

- East 14<sup>th</sup> and the laneways between East 14<sup>th</sup> and East 15<sup>th</sup> are already heavily utilized by commercial delivery vehicles, RCMP vehicles, residents and visitors of 14<sup>th</sup>, 15<sup>th</sup> and Lonsdale, and by pedestrians.

- Parking on East 14<sup>th</sup> is already an issue with constant illegal parking by delivery vehicles and others due to insufficient parking to support current levels of traffic and local businesses.

An increase of residents, vehicles and pets will exacerbate an already taxing situation that doesn't seem to be understood by the City. It should be noted that the traffic consultant at the info session based his comments using studies conducted during COVID restrictions. No post-pandemic data has been presented.

The consultant also said there was room for two vehicles in the lanes which, in itself, he said should provide proof of sufficient adequacy for traffic flow. This is typical modelling that completely ignores real-life activity and behaviour in the laneways which includes large parked commercial trucks reducing flow, 2-way traffic completely blocked by waste disposal trucks loading from adjacent buildings, large trucks unable to turn northbound while travelling westbound in the laneway without backing up twice, etc. I have included a couple pieces of video and photo evidence of the reality of traffic in the lane and can document similar congestion on a daily basis.

![Garbage disposal blocking "2-way" traffic during pickup](image.png)
Multiple commercial vehicles (no drivers in vehicles) completely blocking laneway

Businesses, large and small, on East 14th Street and Lonsdale are struggling with loading/unloading and deliveries repeatedly rely on illegal parking and blocking of traffic flow.

The City also disregards the amount that the RCMP relies on this block for transportation of prisoners, access to the hospital, staff parking and access to their facilities.
I challenge you to monitor activity here for a day to see the congestion already present at current density levels.

Upon reviewing the revised submission by the developer and the summary report from the Information Session (which by the way, doesn't include Public Dialogue and Public Comments), it is unclear that any attempt to address these concerns has been made and now we find out that no public hearing will be held. The Conclusion of the Information Session Summary Report simply indicates that the developer met the criteria of informing the public about the project but gave no indication, or inclination, to a response to any of the concerns.

I strongly believe that the development as proposed will decrease the livability of residents and visitors to the area and is a net negative for the City.

I am not naive enough to suggest that development should not occur on this site; I only request that the proposed construction be limited to a level that can be better accommodated by the surrounding infrastructure. A 21-storey, 9.98 FSR building far exceeds that. I look forward to your response.

Regards,
Garry and Donna Nishimura
14th St E, North Vancouver, BC V7L 0E6
Hello,
The project looks pretty decent given the parking details. It’s important that the City of North Vancouver continues its development parking requirements.

One thing that is a serious concern is that 14th street is currently a 1 way street. The rear alleys are already congested with delivery vehicles and the cars from Lonsdale, 14th, and 15th, streets that exit and enter into that alley. There is also a 20 vehicle parking area only accessible through those alleys.

I suggest this needs to be remedied by making 14th a 2 way street again, and parking access for the new development to be on 14th street.

Gord Stevens,
St Georges Avenue
North Vancouver
Dear City of North Vancouver Council and Planning Department,

My name is Mehdi Razaghi, and my address is 14th St E, North Vancouver, BC V7L 0E6.

I am writing to you as a concerned resident and homeowner at 14th Street East, deeply troubled by the recent approval of the development project at 120-128 14th Street East. My concerns are shared by many in our community, including fellow homeowners, shift workers, and parents, all of whom foresee significant negative impacts on our quality of life, safety, and local infrastructure from this development.

1. **Lack of RCMP Consultation**: The absence of any consultation with the RCMP is alarming, given the critical need for emergency response readiness. Our community's safety and the efficiency of our first responders should not be compromised by inadequate planning. How does the City plan to address the need for immediate emergency response capabilities?

2. **Outdated Traffic Study**: The reliance on possibly outdated traffic studies raises concerns about the development’s impact on local traffic conditions, particularly considering the construction trucks that will further congest our streets. When was the last traffic study conducted, and how does it account for the current and future increases in traffic volumes, especially with ongoing developments?

3. **Emergency Hospital Access, Childcare Drop-off, and Deliveries**: The development poses a threat to critical access routes for emergencies, as well as routine yet essential activities like childcare drop-off and deliveries for current residential & businesses. Given the strategic location of a daycare facility and the Home Hardware store on our street, what measures are in place to ensure that these daily activities are not hindered? Furthermore, what alternative arrangements have been made to accommodate the needs of these establishments and their patrons?

Additionally, I would like to address the issue of public engagement further by requesting the arrangement of a public hearing concerning this development. As per the Amendment of S464, a public hearing is not mandated if a proposed zoning bylaw is consistent with an existing official community plan and permits residential development, or if the bylaw pertains to small-scale multi-family housing development. Given the scale and impact of the development at 120-128 14th Street East, it is my understanding that this project does not fall within these two categories. This development significantly affects the community’s fabric, necessitating a platform for comprehensive public input and scrutiny.

Could you please provide clarification on the reasons for not arranging a public hearing for this project? If other considerations or interpretations of the Amendment of S464 have led to this decision,
the community must understand these rationales to ensure transparency and trust in the process. A public hearing would not only demonstrate the City's commitment to engaging with its citizens but also allow for a more detailed examination of the concerns raised by the community, including those mentioned in my previous points.

I, along with my fellow concerned residents, urge the City to reconsider the implications of this development project. We request a more comprehensive consultation process.

Mehdi Razaghi
East 14th Street
North Vancouver, BC V7L 0E6
I am a resident of E 14th Street in North Vancouver and strongly oppose the zoning Amendment proposed by the council. The proposed amendment will create an already disastrous congestion in the block between lonsdale and St. Georges even worse than it already is. I know approving this development will put more money in the coffers of the City with future property taxes as well as in the pockets of the greedy developers. It is time for the City to think about the well being of residents who already live in this part of Central Lonsdale.

Ali Eshghi
East 14th Street
North Vancouver
Published Statement:

While originally zoned mixed use allowing for a 6 story residential building, our expertise and connections to the city planning staff allowed us to gain approval for (CD760) to permit a 21 story high-rise, more than doubling the existing FSR. This site went through a highly competitive bidding process, but with swift and efficient due diligence, the potential was clear. Taking on this due diligence allowed a deal structure that rapidly accelerated our development timeline, allowing for efficient planning and execution. Our calculated risk, having invested in proper environmental evaluation using our industry network, paid off to great benefit for both investors and our future homeowners.

Based upon this public statement by Three Shores Properties, our City planning administrators, and City Councilors have finalized their decision to rezone the property and change the zoning of the OCP, and render the rezone application and the first reading on April 8, 2024 a facade to legitimize the process. Being the case it appears that the formality of the "Zoning Amendment Bylaw No. 8960, 2024" to accommodate the development at 120-128 East 14th street is duplicit, disingenuous to current city residents, electors and taxpayers. We are of the opinion that it also displays a lack of objective reasoning by all relevant decision makers. Needless to say it raises serious concerns about the integrity of the zoning process, and a waste of time for concerned residents to attend this meeting.

Francis Mc Guinness
Resident 14th Street East
City of North Vancouver BC  V7L 2N3
From: Brad and Connie Doerksen
Sent: March-26-24 7:57 PM
To: Submissions
Subject: Development at 120-128 East 14th Street

derar mayor and councilors,

We are writing to express our deep concern for this proposed hi rise.

This is a one way single lane street that is already too busy and jammed up
With people and cars all day every day.
It is also the street that the rcmp has to use as well as emergency vehicles and it faces directly to the
ER doors of the hospital.

It is blocked up each day with no parking available, with commercial
Vehicles that are delivering goods to businesses and to residents in the already existing towers on
this street.

The transfer of density to a street blocks, miles away makes no sense.

What does the density from
Harry Jerome development on 23rd Street have anything to do with 14th street?

You are jamming density from one area and completely destroying another community.
Why wouldn’t the density from Harry Jerome
Be transferred to something a block or two away from
There at the most?

How is it okay to destroy quality of life to a street that is a mile away?

Decisions are being made that affect us negatively by people that aren’t going to be affected by it
personally.

We ask that you’re look at this and make some
Common sense decisions.
It can’t be too late.

Regards

Brad and Connie Doerksen
East 14th Street
North Vancouver B.C. V7L 0E6
Dear City of North Vancouver Mayor, Council, Planning department

This is the first of numerous emails I will be sending. I'm trusting that sending one question per email it will make it easier for the relevant folks to reply in a timely manner.

I'm writing to you again because it sounds like none of the feedback or the questions asked at the 2022 information session have been answered nor taken into consideration. Why is the City going out of its way to support this development?

Since the 2022 election this project has just steamrolled ahead. Three Shores Development are acting like they already have council approval for the project. They even brag on their website that they already have approval, stating "our expertise and connections to the city planning staff allowed us to gain approval for a 21 story high-rise, more than doubling the existing FSR". ([https://www.threeshores.ca/portfolio/central-lonsdale](https://www.threeshores.ca/portfolio/central-lonsdale))

As a reminder, according to the City Guidelines: Density Transfers are appropriate in the following two scenarios:
1. Density Transfer donor site is adjacent or in close proximity (i.e., same block) as the receiving site and transfer will result in a preferable built form; and/or
2. Significant civic or public benefit will accrue from the Density Transfer (e.g., secured protection of a valued heritage site or provision towards an important civic facility).

Please provide a response to Transfer of Density:
The concept was described at the session in 2022 but no explanation was given as to why the city, against its own guidelines for density transfers, allowed this density to be moved from one region of the city to another not close to it and which already has high density.

Thank you

Kind Regards
Gianrita Celotti
14th Street East, North Vancouver
Dear City of North Vancouver Mayor, Council, Planning department

This is the second of numerous emails I will be sending. I'm trusting that sending one question per email it will make it easier for the relevant folks to reply in a timely manner.

I'm writing to you again because it sounds like none of the feedback or the questions asked at the 2022 information session have been answered nor taken into consideration. Why is the City going out of its way to support this development?

Since the 2022 election this project has just steamrolled ahead. Three Shores Development are acting like they already have council approval for the project. They even brag on their website that they already have approval, stating "our expertise and connections to the city planning staff allowed us to gain approval for a 21 story high-rise, more than doubling the existing FSR". (https://www.threeshores.ca/portfolio/central-lonsdale)

Isn't a public hearing required if the proposed development does not comply with the OCP?

The FSR for the development is 9.98 versus the maximum 4.0 allowed by the OCP. The public deserves the right to present their case to city council.

**When can we expect a public hearing for this?**

Thank you

Kind Regards
Gianrita Celotti
14th Street East, North Vancouver
Dear City of North Vancouver Mayor, Council, Planning department

This is the third of numerous emails I will be sending. I'm trusting that sending one topic per email it will make it easier for the relevant folks to reply in a timely manner.

I'm writing to you again because it sounds like none of the feedback or the questions asked at the 2022 information session have been answered nor taken into consideration. Why is the City going out of its way to support this development? Since the 2022 election this project has just steamrolled ahead. Three Shores Development are acting like they already have council approval for the project. They even brag on their website that they already have approval, stating "our expertise and connections to the city planning staff allowed us to gain approval for a 21 story high-rise, more than doubling the existing FSR". (https://www.threeshores.ca/portfolio/central-lonsdale)

CONTEXT

- 14th street east is essentially a single lane street, a conduit to vital and essential resident services as Lions Gate Hospital and the RCMP, a route used by ambulances to avoid traffic on 13th or if St Georges is blocked and is already challenged by the multitude of delivery trucks and lack of space. Since the RCMP was not directly consulted, the City also disregards the amount that the RCMP relies on this block for transportation of prisoners, access to the hospital, staff parking and access to their facilities. What is the plan to consult the RCMP? Who in CNV has driven along this street?

- It is my understanding that when Centreview, which has a FSR of only 4.56, was being built the city disallowed the entry for the parking garage off 14th - Why would they allow this new complex to have their entrance on the lane parallel to 14th and 15th with the access from 14th? -

TRAFFIC STUDY:
The traffic study that is being used was done in the midst of COVID when there was limited traffic in circulation. Additionally, it is using software that models situations completely ignoring real-life activity. It does not take into account behaviour in the laneways which includes large parked commercial trucks reducing flow, 2-way traffic completely blocked by waste disposal trucks loading from adjacent buildings, large trucks unable to turn northbound while travelling westbound in the laneway without
backing up twice, etc. I have included a couple pieces of video and photo evidence of the reality of traffic in the lane and can document similar congestion on a daily basis. I challenge you to monitor activity here for a day to see the congestion already present at current density levels. **Will a 2024 study be requested before this project can go ahead?**

Below are a few pictures and videos that demonstrate what things really look like.....many more available on request

Look at the mess now and this is the laneway that is proposed as the access point for 350+ residents?? what will this look like?

Multiple commercial vehicles (no drivers in vehicles) completely blocking laneway

Businesses, large and small, on East 14th Street and Lonsdale are struggling with loading/unloading and deliveries repeatedly rely on illegal parking and blocking of traffic flow.
We look forward to reviewing a full response from the Mayor or CAO’s office prior to April 2, 2024.

Kind Regards
Gianrita Celotti
14th Street East, North Vancouver
Absolutely not, do not permit this development.
We do not need another concrete high rise building in this area.
Not only to block the existing view, but to benefit the foreign buyers as few Canadians will afford to live in the building.

T. Jenson
East 13th St North Vancouver.
I'm strongly against the new "Zoning Amendment Bylaw No. 8960, 2024" for 120-128 East 14th Street. Not only would it ruin the view of many of the neighbouring residents and block daylight on the ground by putting a very tall new building directly across a narrow street from another of the same, it would add a ton of traffic to a street already constantly packed with delivery vehicles for the condo buildings currently facing the street.

Thank you for your attention,

Christopher Meadowcroft
East 14th Street North
Vancouver V7L 0E6
Dear Corporate Officer,

I am the owner of Pearson’s Home Hardware located across the street from the proposed development at 120 – 128 East 14th Street.

By biggest concern regarding the above proposal is that this development will further increase the amount for car traffic on this street as well as decrease the amount of parking available for customers of retail businesses on this and the surrounding block. Two long standing businesses, North Shore Quality Meats and Wild Trails Coffee, have recently closed their doors on this street and I believe this is due to the unavailability of parking and therefore lack of customers. The alleyway between the 100 block of East 14th and 15th Street is like a highway these days with all the development that has occurred in and around this area.

This short section of East 14th street is further compromised to traffic by being a two way street up to the alleyway and a one way street thereafter. The number of drivers making “U” turns and accessing the dead end alleyway towards Wholefoods because they don’t want to pay for parking causes many bottlenecks throughout the day. I BELIEVE CITY COUCLIL SHOULD CONSIDER MAKING THIS WHOLE BLOCK A ONE WAY STREET. Also, I find it baffling that the signal on 14th Street East and St Georges, right in front of the emergency entrance to Lion’s Gate Hospital is not a full signal. Drivers going east on 14th Street E towards St. Georges must be very very cautious because there is only a pedestrian signal at this location and visibility is limited due to the parked cars on this road when turning onto St. Georges. It is also not marked clearly enough that it is a ONE WAY street from this direction as we see many many drivers going the wrong way throughout the day. However, it appears that this issue is being addresses as part of the development.

Lack of parking is one of the major concerns customers consistently express when shopping at our store.

On the corner of 13th street and Lonsdale where there was once a Safeway Store there are now two towers, the ONNI Centreview buildings, with over 340 residential units.

I am not against development, I think it is very good for the City. However, I do not think that sufficient thought goes into the impact of this type of development on the surrounding businesses and residents.

The magnitude of this development (Centreview) has massively increased the population density at this location and has significantly adversely affected the availability of parking for residents of North Vancouver that want to shop locally. Visitors, tenants, delivery drivers, and service providers to these 340+ units all use street parking leaving little to no space for customers and residents who simply want to shop locally.

Although, the Centreview complex provides parking, it is paid parking and many people do not want to pay for parking and or complain of the logistics of accessing the parkade. It is ironic to me in that the
facility that causes the most negative impact on the parking availability in this area also benefits financially from it by charging for it.

I believe that the proposed development of 164 additional units will further negatively impact local businesses as well as local residents trying to access these businesses.

Therefore:

I BELIEVE THAT CITY COUNCIL SHOULD SERIOUSLY CONSIDER A BYLAW THAT WHERE A PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT SIGNIFICANTLY INCREASES THE POPULATION DENSITY OF A LOCATION WHERE THERE ARE RETAIL BUSINESSES THEN THAT DEVELOPMENT SHOULD PROVIDE SOME EASILY ACCESSIBLE FREE PARKING TO THE PUBLIC THAT IS PROPORTIONAL TO THE SIZE OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT.

Thank you for your time,

Henry Rodriguez
Dealer/Owner
Pearson's Home Hardware
North Vancouver, BC V7M 2N4
Hello

I am Anne Marie Lavallee. I have already emailed you guys and never received anything and I see the three shore removed their line saying that they have an in *with the city in North Vancouver. This is a typical Sunday morning. The delivery truck can barely fit on the street and this delivery truck does not fit into the underground on 13.

Anne-Marie Lavallee
East 14th Street  N Van.
To Whom it may Concern at City of North Van,

I am a property owner in Tower A of Centreview at E. 13th Street. I am writing to share my grave concerns with the proposed Three Shores development that seems to be getting rubber stamped with very little input from city of North Van residents/tax payers. I am very concerned as someone living in the area so affected by this massive development that is way beyond what was first proposed in terms of density. I am concerned for residents of Tower B located on E. 14th. I just can't understand why this tower, with a proposed 164 units, can go ahead on a one-way street - a street that features an insane amount of activity from the RCMP on the same block and Lions Gate Hospital at the end of the street. A one way street that is already crowded by large delivery trucks that often block traffic on 14th with deliveries to shops and residents in Tower B, and produce and other delivery trucks driving into the lane on 14th with Whole Foods as their destination. There appears to be no plan for commercial parking, at least not shared with the public.

What good reason can there be other than profit for the increased density of this project? Clearly the City of North Van planning staff, along with Mayor Buchanan and her council have not considered the population living in the Lonsdale corridor? I'm sure none of them live near this development and they won't be personally impacted.

I will be attending the meeting on April 8th along with many other Centreview residents who are shocked and appalled. We do not want this large scale development in our neighbourhood, nor does it make any sense on an already congested one way street.

Lisa Capitanio
East 13th Street
North Vancouver
From: Brett HURST - ACIA  
Sent: Tuesday, April 2, 2024 2:10 PM  
To: gateway@cnv.org; gschalk@cnv.org; kmagnusson@cnv.org; acifarelli@cnv.org; lsawrenko@cnv.org; pdejong@cnv.org; mayor@cnv.org; hback@cnv.org; dbell@cnv.org; agirard@cnv.org; jmcllroy@cnv.org; sshahriari@cnv.org; tvalente@cnv.org  
Cc: finance@african-eagle.com  
Subject: 120-128 14th East, Lonsdale - Three Shores 21-story development approval objection, query and concerns  
Importance: High

To:
Planning and Approvals Officials & Councillors of the City of North Vancouver (CNV)  
Mayor of City of North Vancouver  
Bylaws, Police Support Services CNV  
Infrastructure Planning, Public Works CNV  
Corporate Officer/City Clerk CNV  
Chief Financial Officer CNV  
Acting Corporate Officer CNV

Dear Sirs / Madams

I would like to document and voice my extreme concern to our elected city officials, councillors and leaders regarding the proposed construction of a 21-storey mixed-use high-rise by Three Shores along 120-128 14th St East one-way road.

One understands that North Vancouver (and wider Canada) is in a clear population and property trap with a shortage of ‘affordable’ housing and hence I am not concerned with the construction of the originally approved existing official community plan attached (OCP) 6-story tower, as fair and reasonable and common sense. But the issue is, the rapid pace of construction in the heart of Central Lonsdale, it begs the question if the City of North Vancouver (CNV) has lost sight of the need to balance housing development with preserving a decent quality of life for the people of North Vancouver.

As you will know, 14th St East is a one-way single lane highly congested road and a significantly vital road leading to essential services such as the Lions Gate Hospital and the RCMP.

Please help me and other concerned residents and owners in the North Vancouver Central Lonsdale area understand how and why CNV Planning approved such a large 21-storey tower which is way in excess of the City’s Land Use Map already approved OCP Density (FSR) of 3 + maximum bonus of 1 FSR (totalling 4 FSR) coming in at around 10.04 FSR for Three Shores 120-128 14th St East development? It is beyond comprehension and common sense. The comment on Three Shores own website (attached, but has since been conveniently removed by Three Shores recently in the last 1-week) where they boast of their “expertise and connections” to the City Planning staff and their “swift and efficient” due diligence during a “highly competitive bidding process” allowing them to gain approval from a 6-story to 21-story high-rise at a nearly doubled FSR – this in itself raises many questions.
What is even more concerning and deeply worrying is the project received the approval without the need for any public consultation or hearing, as indicated in the Public Advisory in front of the subject property. As per the Amendment of S464, a public hearing is not mandated if a proposed zoning bylaw is consistent with an existing official community plan (OCP) and permits residential development, or if the bylaw pertains to small-scale multi-family housing development. Given the scale and impact of the development at 120-128 14th Street East, it is my understanding that this project does not fall within these two categories, and therefore necessitates a comprehensive public input and scrutiny and far more transparency from the CNV. This along with the extreme and unreasonable nearly doubled increase in approved FSR raises many questions over this specific application and questionable approvals process.

I understand that this nonsensical massive project was approved through a density transfer (FSR) from the Harry James area to 14th St E, resulting in an increase from max 6 (six) floors to now 21 (twenty one) floors. It is unbelievable and very disturbing that the CNV can wave their own self appointed magic wand, when it suits them, to interpret and move around density parameters in a haphazard fashion without consulting its own constituency that will be significantly affected by such a development. It would appear that the CNV elected officials and planning and approvals department have a very detached or potentially selective blindness with regard to the impacts this particular development will have on its wider neighbours and commercial outfits in the close vicinity.

I have the following non-exhaustive questions to be answered specifically:

- I would ask the CNV to detail, by application and project in the past 5-years, which other North Vancouver Developer has requested the CNV and been approved by the CNV to increase their OCP approved FSR from a 3+1-bonus to in excess of anything above 5 FSR to up to 10+ FSR, (just over 10.04 FSR as in the case of Three Shores for this specific development, per the architects Integra-ach)? And if the projects were not approved, why? If the project applications were eventually approved with certain adjustments, what was the final approved FSR?

- How is it that Millenium Tower on 13th (which is on a major corridor with 4 lanes of traffic) proposals for an approximate 5.46 FSR were rejected as too high? What is the logic the city is using to justify nearly double this amount for Three Shores development on 14th St East?

- In the past, developments have been blocked or rejected because of view corridor blockages, but it would appear that this Three Shores development which will be near identical height to Centreview Tower B on 125 14th St East and directly in front of it, and no concern has been given to the complete view blockage here? It would appear this has been completely discarded in the CNV approval of this new development.

- As is very well known to the CNV, parking is already horrific in North Vancouver, especially along Central Lonsdale between 14th and 18th Street with the ludicrous “covid café side walk constructions” (which are generally not used 99% of the time) taking up an entire lane of traffic and which have not been removed to only exacerbate the crazy traffic congestion – I request that a formal updated 2024 Traffic Study to be available and presented to the residents and owners of properties neighbouring the 14th St East be made available to the general public. If the CNV has not carried out a recent traffic study in the past 12-months, why has this not been done? I understand the CNV is relying on a Traffic Study carried out during 2020/2021 covid period which clearly is not a realistic or representative study and is completely outdated.

- Is the CNV planning to eliminate the street parking on 14th St East for up to 3 years of construction due to the construction requiring at least one lane for cranes, cement trucks, construction consumables etc? Where will general public pick up/drop-offs occur for both commercial outlets such as Home Hardware and 14th St residents, parcel delivery and moving trucks, etc.?

- Does the Mayor, any Councillor, or any CNV city staff members (or any of their family members, family trusts, personal company structure/s in or outside of Canada have a pecuniary or non-pecuniary interest, benefit, potential benefit or compensation whatsoever (such as consulting agreements/ commissions/ donations/ distributions/ property assignments/ property discounts now or in the future etc with Three Shores or any of its directors/shareholders/staff/employees, or any trust, company or related party structure/s under the wider Three Shores ultimate control or significant influence? If so, please disclose the nature and amount of those relationships for public transparency and public official accountability.
In addition to the above, there are many other points which are well known to the wider community such as the apparent total lack of specific and active engagement from the 14th St East RCMP and Lions Gate Hospital for the direct impact of this development other than giving them a general opportunity to raise any concerns through normal planning channels and CNV saying that they did not raise “any specific concerns” is not good enough.

I would expect a response in writing from CNV to the above key questions, to hopefully demonstrate that the CNV is connected with the concerns of your constituents by addressing the abovementioned issues.

Please acknowledge receipt of this email and also ensure a printed copy is taken note of and recorded in the upcoming CNV Council Consideration Meeting to be held on 8 April 2024.

Thank you.

Yours sincerely

Mr. Brett Hurst

Director/Owner/Resident

East 14th Street
Dear Officials of the City of North Vancouver,

Over a week ago, we sent the email found below expressing our serious concerns about the proposed construction of a 21-storey edifice by Three Shores along the narrow, highly densified and congested 14th St East, a project that arose from a logic-defying transfer of density with virtually no public consultation. To date, we have not received an acknowledgement, much less a response from you. This apparent inaction on your part as public officials is disheartening and, for us, points to the pervasive apathy of local governments on its constituencies. We hope you have not lost sight of the fact that you serve the people of the City of North Vancouver.

Truly yours,
Dennis & Estela Frias
East 14th St
North Vancouver, BC  V7L 0E6

Dear Officials of the City of North Vancouver,

We would like to convey a distressing concern to our city leaders -- the soon-to-be built 21-storey mixed-use high-rise by Three Shores along 14th St E. Please help us understand why City Planning approved a towering edifice in such a highly dense and congested area of Central Lonsdale and along a virtually one-way street that is a conduit to vital and essential resident services as Lions Gate Hospital and the RCMP. It is also deeply unsettling that this project received the green light without need of public consultation, as indicated in the Public Advisory in front of the subject property.

We take it that such a massive project was approved through a density transfer (FSR) from the Harry James area to 14th St E, resulting in an increase from max six floors to 21 floors. It is perplexing and disturbing to us that the city can juggle and toss around density parameters in a seemingly whimsical fashion without consulting its own constituency that will be directly and adversely affected by such a development. CNV leadership appear to have a detached or indifferent posture with regards to the potential deleterious impact it will have on its neighbours on the other side of 14th St.

We understand that fast-tracking such projects is meant to help alleviate (on paper) the city's housing crisis. However, given the unbridled rapid pace of construction here in the heart of Central Lonsdale, we are left to wonder if the city has lost sight of the need to balance housing development with preserving a decent welcoming quality of life for the people who effectively voted them to power.

Kindly demonstrate that you are still connected with the concerns of your disaffected constituents by addressing the aforementioned issues. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Dennis & Estela Frias
14th St E., North Vancouver, BC  V7L 0E6

On Sun, Mar 24, 2024 at 2:08 PM Dennis Frias wrote:
Dear Officials of the City of North Vancouver,

We would like to convey a distressing concern to our city leaders -- the soon-to-be built 21-storey mixed-use high-rise by Three Shores along 14th St E. Please help us understand why City Planning approved a towering edifice in such a highly dense and congested area of Central Lonsdale and along a virtually one-way street that is a conduit to vital and essential resident services as Lions Gate Hospital and the RCMP. It is also deeply unsettling that this project received the green light without need of public consultation, as indicated in the Public Advisory in front of the subject property.

We take it that such a massive project was approved through a density transfer (FSR from the Harry James area to 14th St E, resulting in an increase from max six floors to 21 floors. It is perplexing and disturbing to us that the city can juggle and toss around density parameters in a seemingly whimsical fashion without consulting its own constituency that will be directly and adversely affected by such a development. CNV leadership appear to have a detached or indifferent posture with regards to the potential deleterious impact it will have on its neighbours on the other side of 14th St.

We understand that fast-tracking such projects is meant to help alleviate (on paper the city’s housing crisis. However, given the unbridled rapid pace of construction here in the heart of Central Lonsdale, we are left to wonder if the city has lost sight of the need to balance housing development with preserving a decent welcoming quality of life for the people who effectively voted them to power.

Kindly demonstrate that you are still connected with the concerns of your disaffected constituents by addressing the aforementioned issues. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Dennis & Estela Frias
14th St E., North Vancouver, BC V7L 0E6
Dear Council,

I wanted to take my time to express my support for the project developed on 120 E 14th Street.

The developer has reached out to the local community and has done everything they can to include EVERYONE in this project. I was delighted when they reached out to me and wanted to tell my story and other local stories of small businesses that they want to empower and support.

Thank you,

Michael Menten
North Vancouver
Thank you for the call this afternoon Leanne. Sorry I only had limited time to speak.

To ensure I do not misrepresent to the group what you told me, can you please sum up your actions to date, and plans going forward, since these bad optics came to your attention?

There are many unresolved questions about this proposed development. I appreciate you letting me know that the "land use" email responses will be managed by the Planning department. Can you please provide a timeline for when those responses will occur?

In the meantime, there are still these key questions about the bad optics that remain unanswered. Can you please provide the city's response to these questions:

1. Will the city have a public hearing, with ample notification, so your constituents can be heard before a final decision is made for this proposed development?

2. What relationship(s) at CNV is/are Three Shores “leveraging”?

3. Who are the “connections” at CNV Three Shores is talking about?

4. Are questions 2 and 3 appropriate under any circumstance for a developer dealing with CNV (who is supposed to have the public trust)? Yes or No?

5. Does anybody on CNV payroll have a pecuniary or non-pecuniary interest with Three Shores? Yes or No?

Thank you for following up, and in advance for providing the much-needed transparency to uphold the public trust.

Regards,
Richard Short

---

On Apr 3, 2024, at 10:04 PM, Richard Short <> wrote:

City of North Vancouver leadership,
Is there a reason we have not received a reply from one of you to the below email sent on March 26th? Your constituents are asking reasonable questions and require transparency from our elected officials and civil servants to uphold the public trust.

Since we sent the email last week, Three Shores removed the webpage that boasted of “leveraging” and “connections”. Who at the city informed them to take it down? Now more than ever we want answers to the questions posed below.

Thank you in advance for addressing the bad optics with this development.

Regards,
Richard Short

On Mar 26, 2024, at 9:13 PM, Richard Short <> wrote:

City of North Vancouver Mayor, CAO, Council, and Planning Department,

People are talking. It is disconcerting. However, there is no need to waste time asking you to address the rumours and innuendo attached to the development proposed by Three Shores at 120-128 East 14th St.

Detailed below are the basic facts that on their own have caused deep concern with the city’s residents. To quell the appearance of a conflict of interest, we strongly urge you to answer five simple questions (that city staff has refused to address to date) following these facts:

- Last election the mayor broke a campaign spending record that was heavily funded by developers: [https://www.nsnnews.com/local-news/north-van-mayor-breaks-campaign-spending-record-6525673](https://www.nsnnews.com/local-news/north-van-mayor-breaks-campaign-spending-record-6525673)

- Barry Savage and Mehdi Shokri, the two principles of Three Shores contributed $1,239 each to the mayor’s campaign. The donations were one day apart, for $11 less than the maximum amount allowed, and in the final week of fundraising.
Three Shores on its webpage related to this proposed development has a heading of: **“Leveraging our relationships”**, along with the following verbiage: “While originally zoned and planned for a 6 story residential building, our expertise and **connections to the city planning staff** allowed us to gain approval for a 21 story high-rise, more than doubling the existing FSR.”

Since the 2022 election, the project has gained steam, the city has been reluctant to answer most questions, has provided an outdated traffic study to support the initiative, has not solicited the feedback from key stakeholders, there will not be a public hearing for an important bylaw change to support the next step towards project finalization, and on the city’s website it now states that on April 8th the project will have “Council Consideration”.

Questions:

1. Will the city have a public hearing, with ample notification, so your constituents can be heard before a final decision is made for this proposed development?

2. What relationship(s) at CNV is/are Three Shores “leveraging”?

3. Who are the “connections” at CNV Three Shores is talking about?

4. Are questions 2 and 3 appropriate under any circumstance for a developer dealing with CNV, who is supposed to have the public trust? Yes or No?

5. Does anybody on CNV payroll have a pecuniary or non-pecuniary interest with Three Shores? Yes or No?

We look forward to reviewing a full response from the Mayor or CAO’s office prior to April 3, 2024.

Regards.
Richard Short
14th St E
North Vancouver, BC
Good evening,

I am writing in full support of the rezoning of 120 East 14th Street to enable the development of this much needed mixed use project with over 160 new homes.

This OCP compliant project will be a welcome addition to the vibrant Central Lonsdale neighbourhood.

Regards,

Chris Wilkinson
East 15th Street
North Vancouver
To whom it may concern,

I’ve been a North Vancouver resident for my entire life and a business owner since 2007. I’d like to put my support behind the project proposed at 120 East 14th, as I believe we need more housing on the North Shore for middle and low income people.

It has become a problem for businesses on the North Shore trying to hire, as the cost of living has caused businesses to have to pay employees roughly double the provincial average so they can live here. For me personally, I also won’t hire off of the North Shore because traffic has become so bad that sometimes they arrive to work late.

Thanks for your consideration,

Tod Pelly, DC
North Vancouver
Dear Mayor & City Councillors,

My name is Morgan Iannone, and I am a resident and property owner in the City of North Vancouver, specifically the Grand Boulevard area, east of the proposed development. I am writing to express my strong support for the application for a mixed-use high-rise project at 120 East 14th Street. As someone deeply invested in the future of the community and involved in the development world, I believe this project is a significant step toward addressing the urgent need for all types of housing in North Vancouver while also contributing to the economic and social vitality of the area.

North Vancouver is a wonderful place to live, offering a unique blend of natural beauty and urban convenience. However, as our community continues to grow, the demand for housing has never been more apparent. The proposed project represents a timely opportunity to meet this demand, providing a range of housing options that can accommodate individuals and families at various stages of life.

I am excited about the potential of this project to contribute positively to the Lonsdale area and North Vancouver’s future. I urge you and your fellow officials to support the development at 120th East 14th Street. I believe it will make a meaningful impact on our community’s housing needs while fostering a vibrant, sustainable, and inclusive city for generations to come.

Thank you for considering my perspective, I appreciate the hard work and dedication you bring to serving our community and look forward to the positive impact this project can bring.

Sincerely,

Morgan Iannone
West Cordova St.
Vancouver, BC  V6C 1C7
From: Dr. Steven Weller
Sent: April-05-24 10:11 AM
To: Planning <Planning@cnv.org>
Cc: Mayor Linda Buchanan <Mayor@cnv.org>; Holly Back (Councillor) <HBack@cnv.org>; Don Bell (Councillor) <dbell@cnv.org>; Angela Girard (Councillor) <agirard@cnv.org>; Jessica McIlroy (Councillor) <jmcilroy@cnv.org>; Shervin Shahriari (Councillor) <ssshahriari@cnv.org>; Tony Valente (Councillor) <tvalente@cnv.org>
Subject: Zoning Bylaw Amendment

Dear Mr. Menzel,

With regards to the proposed Zoning Bylaw Amendment for 120-128 East 14th Street, I have a concern as one of the owners in the strata building located at the adjacent property, at 132 East 14th Street. I have been an owner there since 1988.

As a group of owners we were surprised that the City would proceed with a development that would orphan a single lot with an aging building, between a previous development to the east of our building and the current proposed development. This severely limits the development potential of our property due to setbacks, lot size and adjacent buildings.

We are also concerned for the stability of the property given that the development directly abuts our property. There have been several catastrophic failures recently in Vancouver from development of properties near existing structures.

I feel that a reconsideration of the development to include our property would eliminate our concerns, as well as maximizing the potential for this prime piece of real estate in North Vancouver.

I appreciate your consideration of this situation.

Sincerely,

Dr. Steven J. Weller
East 14th Street
North Vancouver, BC V7L 2N3
To Whom It Concerns:

I am writing to council with regards to the upcoming meeting on April 8th and in particular, the redevelopment project on East 14th Street proposed by Three Shores.

I am a local business owner and resident of North Vancouver. My office is in close proximity to this project and is located at East 13th and Lonsdale. Having followed Three Shores’ past projects on the northshore and this particular redevelopment project, I would like to voice my support for this rezoning application.

Three Shores has given strong consideration and care for the local community in planning their projects, and continues to bring positive direct social and economic benefit to Central Lonsdale. There is unquestionable demand for quality housing in the neighborhood along with a shortage of well-situated at-grade retail/commercial space. I am personally very excited to see this project come to life and hope that Council will vote in favour of this rezoning application.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions.

Regards,

Josh Alter
East 13th Street
North Vancouver
Good evening,

I would like to express my sincere support for the development project at 120 East 14th Avenue.

I believe this building will bring an extremely positive impact to Central Lonsdale and the surrounding community.

As a local resident & business owner in the area I have gotten to know this project and the team involved and feel very excited at the prospect of this building joining the local community. I feel it will greatly benefit the North Shore overall.

Any questions please let me know.

Warm regards,

Claire Alter
North Vancouver
April 5, 2024

Dear Mr. Menzel,

With regards to the proposed Zoning Bylaw Amendment for 120-128 East 14th Street, I have a concern as one of the owners in the strata building located at the adjacent property, at East 14th Street. I have been an owner there since 1972.

As a group of owners we were surprised that the City would proceed with a development that would orphan a single lot with an aging building, between a previous development to the east of our building and the current proposed development. This severely limits the development potential of our property due to setbacks, lot size and adjacent buildings.

We are also concerned for the stability of the property given that the development directly abuts our property. There have been several catastrophic failures recently in Vancouver from development of properties near existing structures.

I feel that a reconsideration of the development to include our property would eliminate our concerns, as well as maximizing the potential for this prime piece of real estate in North Vancouver.

I appreciate your consideration of this situation.

Sincerely,

Doug Black

East 14th Street
North Vancouver
Hi there,

Just wanted to share my support for the housing project on 14th st E, near the RCMP. Having more housing on the North Shore is important to increase supply, and therefore options to rent.

While having a construction site close to my apartment will be unpleasant, it's more important to look at the bigger picture: more housing options for North Van residents.

Hope this project goes forward one day : )

Sam Souk
North Vancouver, BC
Dear City of North Vancouver Councillors and team,

I hope this email finds you well. I am writing to express my enthusiastic support for the proposed development at 120 East 14th, in our wonderful community of North Vancouver.

Having lived here for many years, having carefully reviewed the plans and considering the potential benefits it brings, I believe that this development is exactly what is needed here on the North Shore, and especially in the Central Lonsdale corridor. Also, as the owner of a rapidly-growing business that I have chosen to have here in North Vancouver, having great options for housing nearby are a key attraction and retention tool for our business!!

Here are some key reasons why I endorse this project:

1. **Enhanced Community Amenities:** The addition of much-needed housing and space for businesses will enrich the quality of life for residents and create a more vibrant community hub.

2. **Economic Growth:** The development will stimulate economic activity by attracting new businesses and residents, leading to job creation and increased revenue for local businesses in the Neighbourhood and in North Vancouver. As noted above, it also supports businesses like mine with being able to attract and retain key employees where they can live and work in the same community.

3. **Smart Urban Planning:** The project adheres to sustainable urban planning principles, incorporating energy-efficient design, green infrastructure, and it is in a highly-walkable area, which are crucial for our environment and future generations (I am always looking at options to secure housing for our kids for the future, so they can live in North Vancouver when they are older- and this project provides great options for that!).

4. **Improved Housing Options:** With a diverse mix of unit options in the tower (this one in particular has an optimal mix of units, including more affordable ones), the project will address the growing demand for housing in our area and provide opportunities for people of all backgrounds to live in our community. It is also a very nice looking building, but not "over the top".

5. **Elevated Aesthetic Appeal:** The architectural design of the development enhances the aesthetic appeal of the neighborhood, contributing to its overall attractiveness and desirability.

I firmly believe that the development at 120 East 14th will be a valuable addition to our community, fostering growth and prosperity while respecting the character and integrity of our neighborhood.

Please feel free to reach out if you have any questions or need further information. Thank you for considering my viewpoint.

Warm Regards,

Jason Boudreau
West Esplanade
North Vancouver, BC V7M 0E9
Dear Corporate Officer,

I am writing to express my concerns regarding the rezoning proposal for the construction of a 21-storey, residential and commercial mixed-use building at 120-128 E 14th Street.

While I understand the potential benefits of development, I am apprehensive about the implications of such a project, particularly in relation to traffic congestion. Introducing a significant addition to our neighbourhood, such as a 21-storey building, without addressing the existing congestion issues, could exacerbate an already problematic situation. The influx of residents and visitors to the proposed mixed-use building would undoubtedly contribute to further gridlock on our streets. Furthermore, our neighbourhood lacks sufficient space for the increased throughput of traffic that would accompany the completion of such a large-scale development. The existing infrastructure simply cannot accommodate the anticipated volume without causing significant disruptions to the daily lives of residents and businesses alike.

I am thankful for the opportunity to express my concerns regarding the proposed rezoning. Your consideration of the issues raised by residents is greatly appreciated.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mohammad Amin Hassan Pour/Parvin Hassan Pour
29th Street E,
North Vancouver, BC, V7N 1E3
Dear Mr. Menzel,

I'm writing because I'm worried about the plan to change the zoning rules for 120-128 East 14th Street. I am a dentist and have a dentistry in the building next door at East 14th Street.

I'm surprised that the City wants to build on just one lot between two other developments. This makes it hard for us to develop our property because of rules about how close buildings can be.

I'm also concerned about the safety of our building if there's construction right next to it. Some buildings in Vancouver have had big problems because of nearby construction. Considering our building is old.

I think it would be great to include our property in the plan. That way, we wouldn't have these worries, and the area could be used more effectively for our community. Thanks for listening.

Sincerely,

Dr. Peyman Safari-Pour
East 14th Street
North Vancouver, BC
I am writing to express my strong support for the development of 120 East 14th street, North Vancouver proposed by Three Shores Management and the construction of a significant number of homes thereon. I have been a resident of North Vancouver for 11 years and am pleased to see the revitalization of Lonsdale and more density being built there. Many of my friends and family have had to leave North Vancouver and the lower mainland due to increasing property values and a lack of newer rental supply.

I am also concerned about the opportunity for my children to find options for housing in the near future and hope that the City continues to support construction of new housing.

I hope that council will approve this development and continue their trend of densifying the Lonsdale neighbourhood.

Regards,

Max Carroll
Loach Place
North Vancouver, BC
Dear City Council Members,

I am writing to express my thoughts and concerns regarding the proposed zoning bylaw amendment (8960, 2024) at 120 14 St E, to allow for the construction of a 21-story apartment building in our neighbourhood. As a resident who cares deeply about the future development of our city, I believe it is crucial to carefully consider the potential impacts of such a significant project.

While I recognize the need for increased housing options and urban density, I am apprehensive about the proposal’s reliance on zoning density transfers from a nearby neighborhood. While density transfers can be a valuable tool for maximizing land use efficiency, it is essential to ensure that they are implemented in a manner that promotes equitable development and preserves the character of our community.

Here are a few key points I urge the City to consider:

**Traffic Impact on Single Lane Roads:**

One significant concern associated with the proposed 21-story apartment building is the potential impact on traffic flow, particularly on single lane roads within the vicinity. With increased residential density comes an inevitable rise in vehicular traffic, which could strain existing road infrastructure and lead to congestion, delays, and safety hazards for pedestrians and cyclists. It is imperative that thorough traffic studies and assessments are conducted to evaluate the potential impacts and identify necessary mitigating measures, such as road widening, signal adjustments, or alternative transportation options. Addressing these concerns proactively is essential to ensure the continued safety and efficiency of our road networks for all residents and commuters in the affected area.

**Affordable Housing in Suitable Locations:**

While increasing housing supply is essential, it is equally important to ensure that affordable housing is distributed equitably across our city. Central Lonsdale, while a vibrant and desirable neighborhood, is not the most affordable area in North Vancouver. As such, any zoning amendments should prioritize the inclusion of affordable housing units in areas that are more accessible to residents of varying income levels. By diversifying housing options across different neighbourhoods, we can create more inclusive communities and mitigate the risk of exacerbating socioeconomic disparities.

**Final thoughts:**

In consideration of the concerns raised regarding the proposed 21-story apartment building, I would like to suggest an alternative design concept for a building of approximately 15 stories. This height strikes a balance between increasing urban density and preserving the character of the neighborhood. Here are some key features of the proposed building:
The building will be designed to harmonize with the surrounding built environment, respecting the scale and proportion of existing structures in the area. By keeping the height to around 15 stories, we can minimize visual disruption and maintain a more cohesive urban fabric.

Thank you for your time and allowing further discussion on this important matter.

Respectfully,

Ian Gabriel
East 14th Street
North Vancouver, BC
Hi,

I just would like to say, I am 100 percent supporting this project. As a business owner and doing business in North Shore for a long time, currently we are facing lots of shortage to find professional staff and it is all because of residency problems of young generation.

I hope the city of North Vancouver supports small businesses as well and approves the mentioned project.

All the best,

Fred Gharagozloo
West 14th Street
North Vancouver, BC, V7P 3P3
Good evening, Mayor Buchanan, respected councilors, and members of our community. Tonight, as a resident of north Vancouver, I want to express concerns about the new proposed development on 128-14th St E, urging us to carefully reconsider its planning.

14th St E is a one-way street with just one lane, which already struggles with congestion. This situation is critical for our local businesses, especially those depending on large deliveries. With construction taking up space, these businesses will face tough challenges in receiving their goods, affecting their service to the community. Without a solid plan to support these businesses, they stand to lose significantly in their daily sales. Let me be clear: we’re not opposing development, but we are not in favor of unsuitable development in our community with a very aggressive floor space ratio rate.

A specific point of concern is the Centerview building’s loading zone, located exactly where the construction is planned. This zone is essential for daily operations and movements in and out of the building. If construction activity blocks half the lane, and loading activities take up the rest, the result could be severe traffic jams, not just on 14th St but potentially a surplus of traffic that will negatively affect Lansdale Street.

The expected increase in congestion could notably slow down first responders and ambulances. Given the limited rerouting options on a one-way street, any delay could be critical, potentially putting lives at risk.

Given these points, the current development plan needs a second look. We must consider the specific challenges of 14th St E, such as its one-way status, severe shortage of parking, high FSR rate of this project, and the necessity of access to loading zones. I request the council and planning team to review the planning again, considering feedback from local businesses, Lions Gate Emergency Services, and proactive consultation with the RCMP, to craft a plan that not only facilitates growth but also ensures the well-being and functionality of our community. Thank you.

Mehdi Razaghi
East 14th Street
North Vancouver, BC
Dear Mr. Menzel,

I am writing to express my concerns regarding the development plans in the area surrounding my property located at E 14th St. As one of the owners of this building, I am deeply invested in ensuring that any development initiatives in the vicinity are executed in a manner that considers the best interests of all stakeholders, including property owners like myself.

With the proposed developments in the area, I fear that the interests of our property would be adversely affected. It is evident to me, as well as a matter of common sense, that consolidating these buildings with each other would yield the most favorable results. As it stands, our building would be left isolated amidst new developments, impacting not only the value of our units—which are significant investments—but also the aesthetic appeal of the street.

Therefore, I strongly believe that it would be beneficial for all parties involved to integrate our building into the new development plans. This would not only ensure a cohesive and harmonious streetscape but also safeguard the investments made by property owners like myself.

I kindly request that you consider my perspective and take necessary actions to address these concerns during the planning and implementation stages of the development project. Your attention to this matter is greatly appreciated.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Dr. Bahar Gamini
East 14th Street
North Vancouver, BC
Dear CNV,

We hope this email finds you well.

We, Masoud Kashani and Zahra Baharipour, are the owners of unit at 14th Street East. We are deeply upset about the rezoning of 120-128 14th Street East. Our unit is only north-facing, and we have limited light. The street is not quite wide, and having a 21-story tower in front of our north-facing unit would further limit light.

More importantly, we strongly believe that having a 21-story tower on such a narrow street adversely impacts the traffic on this street in particular and the neighborhood in general, which in turn may cause delays in the prompt service of Police vehicles and ambulances.

We understand that the residents of north-facing units are a small group of our community, and you may not consider it. Having said that, the proposed rezoning would affect the life of a wider group of North Vancouver residents who need urgent support and assistance from the Police and Hospital.

Thank you very much in advance for your understanding and consideration.

Best regards,

Masoud Kashani and Zahra Baharipour
East 14th Street
North Vancouver, BC
Hey,

I would like to share my support for the 120 east 14th project. As a member of this community, I think this project is a great initiative.

Thank you,

Peter Beetlestone
Tatlow Avenue
North Vancouver, BC
Dear Resident,

Thanks for taking the time to provide your input on the proposed development at 120-128 E. 14th Street. This project has not been approved and the proposed rezoning is being brought forward to Council for formal consideration. The date of this meeting will be provided to the public through the City’s standard notification process for rezoning applications, which includes the delivery of notices to nearby properties, site signage as well as newspaper postings.

As per the requirements of the *Local Government Act*, there will not be a Public Hearing for this application as the proposed rezoning is to permit a development that is residential and that residential component is at least half of the gross floor area for the development. The proposal is also consistent with the OCP, as explained in the FAQ page noted below.

Staff have prepared a Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ) page for this project for the land use matters that have been raised most frequently. This FAQ page can also be accessed via the Active Applications website for the project, which can be accessed via the following link: [https://www.cnv.org/Business-Development/Building/Land-Use-Approvals/Active-Applications/120-East-14th-Street](https://www.cnv.org/Business-Development/Building/Land-Use-Approvals/Active-Applications/120-East-14th-Street). The FAQs can be found under the “Related Documents” heading on the webpage.

Thank you,

_____________________________
*Rupinder Basi* | Manager, Planning (Development Planning)
Planning & Development
gplanning@cnv.org

*City of North Vancouver*
141 W 14th Street, North Vancouver, BC | V7M 1H9
cnv.org
How does this application comply with the development review process?

This application is being processed in accordance with the *Local Government Act* and the City’s standard process for rezoning applications. To date this has included a comprehensive interdepartmental review of the project, developer-led consultation and presentation to City advisory committees (Advisory Design Panel & Integrated Transportation Committee). The next step in the process is formal consideration of the application by City Council.

As part of this consideration, a staff report will be provided which will provide a staff recommendation based on

- how the proposal aligns with City policies and objectives
- an overview of the development proposal and key land use considerations
- a summary of public and committee engagement

Council will consider the information provided by staff, as well as the public correspondence when making their decision on this proposal. To date, no decisions have been made on this application.

Why is there no public hearing?

Pursuant to recent Provincial amendments to the *Local Government Act*, which came into force on November 30, 2023, the City must not hold a public hearing on a proposed rezoning bylaw if:

- an OCP is in place for the subject site
- the bylaw is consistent with the OCP
- the purpose of the bylaw is to permit a development that is residential and that residential component is at least half of the gross floor area for the development.

Since all of these factors apply to this development, no public hearing will be held, consistent with the requirements of the *Local Government Act*.

What will be the impacts on local traffic and parking?

As part of our review of more complex planning applications, the City requires the applicant to submit a transportation study to show how the proposed development project will affect the transportation network. The applicant in this case prepared a *Transportation Study in October 2022*, which demonstrates that the existing road network can accommodate additional vehicle trips once this project was built. This study has been reviewed by the City’s Transportation Planning team.

With regards to engagement with the RCMP, all nearby property owners are provided with an opportunity to submit feedback on planning applications in the City. The City did not receive any feedback from the RCMP on this specific project. It is worth noting that the RCMP has its primary access
point from St. Georges Avenue, and emergency vehicles typically use higher order roads in the case of emergencies (i.e. 13th Street & 15th Street).

The application generally meets the parking and loading requirements of the Zoning Bylaw apart from some minor variances to resident, commercial and visitor parking.

**Please explain the OCP land use designation and density transfer.**

In accordance with the OCP, City Council may authorize a density transfer between properties, which permits additional density above the maximum specified in the OCP being achieved on a site, where the building height limits are not exceeded. The height identified in the OCP for the site is 68 metres or approximately 22 storeys. The OCP Schedule A Land Use Map can be accessed at the following link: https://gisext2.cnv.org/PDFMaps/Schedule%20A%20Land%20Use_11x17.pdf

This process does not require an OCP amendment, as such transfers do not involve an increase in the total development potential in the City, but rather the relocation of density allowance from one parcel to another.

The density transfer is facilitated through a rezoning application as currently proposed for Council consideration. As outlined above, recent changes to the *Local Government Act* prohibit the City from holding a Public Hearing for this project. The provisions of the *Local Government Act* take precedence over the current regulations in the OCP, which previously required a Public Hearing for Density Transfers.

The proposed transferred density is from the CD-165 zone from the lands being developed for the City’s new Harry Jerome Community Recreation Centre. The increased density will allow for the delivery of new employment generating uses and residential units, which would contribute to economic growth along the Lonsdale Corridor and provide new housing stock in the City.

The proposed density transfer includes a cash contribution of $195 per square foot of buildable area above 4.0 FSR (amounting to an estimated $18,282,030), which will be allocated toward the Civic Amenity Reserve Fund, and would be directed to projects to support the delivery of new amenities and programmes to serve our growing community, for example the Harry Jerome Community Recreation Centre, new parklands, etc. The application is also providing a community benefit contribution of $3,138,200 as per the City’s Density Bonus and Community Benefits Policy.

**How will the building height affect view corridors?**

The tower positioning and footprint have been designed to minimize potential impacts on view corridors to the fullest extent possible. This is addressed through floorplates that have a narrow width of 19.8 metres (65 feet) to the northern and southern elevations, which is less than the 30.48 metres (100 feet) prescribed for the C-1B zone.

It is important to consider that any decrease in building height would result in a wider floorplate, causing more adverse impacts on view corridors. The slender tower format is deemed appropriate as it will lessen impacts on view corridors for surrounding residents.
While there is likely to be some impact on existing views, the OCP does not guarantee that views will be preserved. This is especially true in the Regional City Centre that is envisaged to accommodate regional-scale employment, services, business and commercial activities, along with high density housing.

**What infrastructure is being planned to accommodate more residents?**

The proposed development will be required to provide off-site streetscape improvements, consistent with the City’s Subdivision and Development Control Bylaw. This includes the reconstruction of the frontage works along East 14th Street with new sidewalks, street lighting, street furnishings, and a seating area. Existing street trees will be retained and additional street trees will be provided. The development will also repave East 14th Street and the adjoining laneways to the northern and western boundaries.

In addition to the bylaw required infrastructure upgrades, the applicant will be required to complete the design and construction of a full traffic signal at the intersection of East 14th Street and St. Georges Avenue, and has agreed to make a financial contribution of $59,621.00 towards future upgrades to the sanitary sewer main on East 14th Street.

These requirements will be secured through legal agreements with the City to ensure that they are completed should this project move forward.

**How will the construction impacts to the community be managed?**

Should this application move forward, the City’s construction administration process includes several measures aimed at mitigating impacts to the neighbouring community. For example, Building Permit applications for large-scale projects like this one are required to include both a Construction Management Plan and Traffic Management Plan, detailing the strategy for the delivery of materials to the site, staging areas, and contractor parking. Further, all projects are required to comply with the Noise Control Bylaw, regulating both the hours of construction and noise levels. Finally, the City’s Construction Site Ambassador Program provides dedicated staff to routinely observe site activity, liaise with construction managers, and address potential issues on a proactive basis.
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Subject: Bad Optics: Developer boasts online it has leverage and connections with CNV

CAO McCarthy, Mayor Buchanan, and City Council members,

In addition to dozens of unanswered questions posed by residents cc’d on this email, there are some vital questions outstanding about the way the city operates with developers. Our group is growing, as is our deep concern. The optics are bad with the illogical and unprecedented 10.04 FSR proposed development at 120-128 14th St E. Why is the City of North Vancouver unwilling to address this? Do you not want to adhere to the Council Commitments posted on the city’s website to be “accessible, transparent, accountable”?

In the emails below, you and your leadership team were asked direct questions on March 26th, April 3rd, and April 4th. At the April 8th Public Input Period these questions were asked an additional time. It is interesting that the Minutes from the Council Meeting misrepresented my two-minute address to Council as “statements attributed to the property owner on their website”. The gist was why would any developer feel emboldened enough to market a project using language such as “leveraging relationships” and “connections” with the city? It was pointed out that you have been asked on multiple occasions if anybody on city payroll has a pecuniary or non-pecuniary interest with the developer, Three Shores. We were asking then, and now once again; is there a reason the city does not want to answer this simple question?

It was noteworthy that Council Member Shahriari recused himself from a portion of the Public Input Period due to a conflict of interest with the proposed development. Can you please let us know why he had not previously recused himself, including the closed session (why the opaqueness?) that approved the absurd density transfer from Harry Jerome to Three Shores’ site? Due to the long
outstanding pecuniary or non-pecuniary interest question, should other city representatives have been recusing themselves as well?

Here are links to articles about “sunshine laws” and a past motion at CNV that we would like you as our representatives to read/reread:


Going forward is the City of North Vancouver willing to follow its own statement for transparency and accountability when it comes to this, or any other, proposed development?

@lmccarthy@cnv.org as CAO can we entrust you on behalf of Mayor and Council to deliver the answers to any sentence ending with a question mark (highlighted in yellow for easy reference) in this email string by EOD April 18th?

Please note, if we do not receive a response, we will assume the city has no desire in being transparent with its constituents and this email string will be forwarded to the BC Ombudsperson and media outlets.

Thank you in advance for your prompt attention to this very important matter.

Regards,

Richard Short
14th St E
North Vancouver, BC

From: Richard Short
Subject: Re: Bad Optics: Developer boasts online it has leverage and connections with CNV
Date: April 4, 2024 at 9:25:47 PM PDT
To: Linda Buchanan (Mayor), Councillor Holly Back, Councillor Don Bell, Councillor Angela Girard, Councillor Jessica McIlroy, Councillor Shervin Shahriari, Councillor Tony Valente, cao@cnv.org, sgalloway@cnv.org, planning@cnv.org, input@cnv.org, gateway, pdejong@cnv.org, gschalk@cnv.org, Karyn Magnusson, lsawrenko@cnv.org
Cc: Gianrita Celotti, Tri Chiem, Eva Fleming, Alastair Meikem - Concerned CentreView Owner, Garry Nishimura, Mehdi Razaghi, Patti Tracey, Roberta Walker, Brad and Connie, Brett Hurst, Anne-Marie Lavallee, Estel Frias, Ischne, Terry Marshall - Concerned CentreView Owner

Thank you for the call this afternoon Leanne. Sorry I only had limited time to speak.

To ensure I do not misrepresent to the group what you told me, can you please sum up your actions to date, and plans going forward, since these bad optics came to your attention?

There are many unresolved questions about this proposed development. I appreciate you letting me know that the "land use" email responses will be managed by the Planning department. Can you please provide a timeline for when those responses will occur?
In the meantime, there are still these key questions about the bad optics that remain unanswered. Can you please provide the city's response to these questions:

1. Will the city have a public hearing, with ample notification, so your constituents can be heard before a final decision is made for this proposed development?

2. What relationship(s) at CNV is/are Three Shores “leveraging”?

3. Who are the “connections” at CNV Three Shores is talking about?

4. Are questions 2 and 3 appropriate under any circumstance for a developer dealing with CNV (who is supposed to have the public trust)? Yes or No?

5. Does anybody on CNV payroll have a pecuniary or non-pecuniary interest with Three Shores? Yes or No?

Thank you for following up, and in advance for providing the much-needed transparency to uphold the public trust.

Regards,

Richard Short
14th St E
North Vancouver, BC

On April 3, 2024, Richard Short wrote:

City of North Vancouver leadership,

Is there a reason we have not received a reply from one of you to the below email sent on March 26th? Your constituents are asking reasonable questions and require transparency from our elected officials and civil servants to uphold the public trust.

Since we sent the email last week, Three Shores removed the webpage that boasted of “leveraging” and “connections”. Who at the city informed them to take it down? Now more than ever we want answers to the questions posed below.

Thank you in advance for addressing the bad optics with this development.
Leveraging our relationships.

While originally zoned and planned for a 6 story residential building, our expertise and connections to the city planning staff allowed us to gain approval for a 21 story high-rise, more than doubling the existing FSR. This site went through a highly competitive bidding process, but with swift and efficient due diligence, the potential was clear. Taking on this due diligence allowed a deal.

Regards,

Richard Short
14th St E North
Vancouver, BC

On March 26, 2024, Richard Short wrote:

City of North Vancouver Mayor, CAO, Council, and Planning Department,

People are talking. It is disconcerting. However, there is no need to waste time asking you to address the rumours and innuendo attached to the development proposed by Three Shores at 120-128 East 14th St.

Detailed below are the basic facts that on their own have caused deep concern with the city’s residents. To quell the appearance of a conflict of interest, we strongly urge you to answer five simple questions (that city staff has refused to address to date) following these facts:

- Last election the mayor broke a campaign spending record that was heavily funded by developers: [https://www.nsnews.com/local-news/north-van-mayor-breaks-campaign-spending-record-6525673](https://www.nsnews.com/local-news/north-van-mayor-breaks-campaign-spending-record-6525673)

- Barry Savage and Mehdi Shokri, the two principles of Three Shores contributed $1,239 each to the mayor’s campaign. The donations were one day apart, for $11 less than the maximum amount allowed, and in the final week of fundraising.

- Three Shores on its webpage related to this proposed development has a heading of: “Leveraging our relationships”, along with the following verbiage: “While originally zoned and planned for a 6 story residential building, our expertise and connections to the city planning staff allowed us to gain approval for a 21 story high-rise, more than doubling the existing FSR.”

- Since the 2022 election, the project has gained steam, the city has been reluctant to answer most questions, has provided an outdated traffic study to support the initiative, has not solicited the feedback from key stakeholders, there will not be a public hearing for an important
bylaw change to support the next step towards project finalization, and on the city’s website it now states that on April 8th the project will have “Council Consideration”.

Questions:

1. Will the city have a public hearing, with ample notification, so your constituents can be heard before a final decision is made for this proposed development?

2. What relationship(s) at CNV is/are Three Shores “leveraging”?

3. Who are the “connections” at CNV Three Shores is talking about?

4. Are questions 2 and 3 appropriate under any circumstance for a developer dealing with CNV, who is supposed to have the public trust? Yes or No?

5. Does anybody on CNV payroll have a pecuniary or non-pecuniary interest with Three Shores? Yes or No?

We look forward to reviewing a full response from the Mayor or CAO’s office prior to April 3, 2024.

Regards.

Richard Short
East 14th Street
North Vancouver, BC
From: Gianrita Celotti
Sent: April-15-24 2:37 AM
To: Planning
Cc: CAO; Leanne McCarthy; Mayor Linda Buchanan; Linda Buchanan (Mayor); Angela Girard (Councillor); Jessica Mclroy (Councillor); Don Bell (Councillor); Shervin Shahriari (Councillor); Planning; Holly Back (Councillor); Tony Valente (Councillor); Sean Galloway; Submissions; Peter DeJong; Karyn Magnusson; Larry Sawrenko; Greg Schalk; gateway@cnv.org; Richard Short; Borja Alvarez Menendez - Concerned CentreView Owner; ianbedford; brett hurst; Lisa catti; Mauro Celotti; Bianca Charlwood; Tri Chiem; Claudine Courvoisier; Fonze; bradandconnie; Eva Fleming; denfri; Estela Frias; Dr.bgamini; Jami gardner; deb hendo; sasha hougen; Harry Jarvis; Sue Knapp; Anne-Marie Lavallee; Faye Lim; Terry Marshall - Concerned CentreView Owner; clauamartosmejia; promeca; ady mejia; Alastair & Meagan Meikem; Jade & Jake Moore; Jake pmooore; shayanm; donna nishimu; Garry Nishimura; Patti Tracey; Sandy; Roberta Walker; Marlene Rupert; mehdi razaghi; Dr.safaripour; Aryan & Shema Saifhashemi; samira; mesands; Ischn; Bianca & Ryan Charlwood - Concerned CentreView Owners; Catherine thomas; Meflem

Subject: 120 East 14th Street-Responses expected by April 19th

Good Morning Rupinder

Thank you for your email

With this being such an important issue I find this impersonal, cut and paste email addressed to “Dear Resident” disrespectful and insulting.

It avoids, directly answering the questions that have repeatedly been posed to your department and others.

We are seeking specific answers to the questions of which I remind you below.

1. Please provide a response to how the Transfer of Density could be unanimously approved by council, in what has recently come to light a “closed” session, with no public consultation?

The concept was described at the session in 2022 but no explanation was given as to why the city, against its own guidelines for density transfers, allowed this density to be moved from one region of the city to another not close to it and which already has high density.

2. 14th street east is essentially a single lane street, a conduit to vital and essential resident services as Lions Gate Hospital and the RCMP, a route used by ambulances to avoid traffic on 13th or if St Georges is blocked and is already challenged by the multitude of delivery truck and lack of space.
Since the RCMP was not directly consulted, the City also disregards the amount that the RCMP relies on this block for transportation of prisoners, access to the hospital, staff parking and access to their facilities.

**What is the plan to consult the RCMP?**

3. It is my understanding that when Centreview, which has a FSR of only 4.56, was being built the city disallowed the entry for the parking garage off 14th -

**Why would they allow this new complex to have their entrance on the lane parallel to 14th and 15th with the access from 14th?**

4. **TRAFFIC STUDY:**
The traffic study that is being used was done in the midst of COVID when there was limited traffic in circulation. Additionally, it is using software that models situations completely ignoring real-life activity. It does not take into account behaviour in the laneways which includes large parked commercial trucks reducing flow, 2-way traffic completely blocked by waste disposal trucks loading from adjacent buildings, large trucks unable to turn northbound while travelling westbound in the laneway without backing up twice, etc. I challenge you to monitor activity here for a day to see the congestion already present at current density levels.

**Will a 2024 study be requested before this project can go ahead? What is the plan here?**

We look forward to receiving your responses by EOD Friday 19th April

A large number of constituents are waiting.

Kind Regards

Gianrita Celotti
14th street East
North Vancouver, BC

On Apr 11, 2024, at 8:08 PM, Planning <Planning@cnv.org> wrote:

Dear Resident,

Thanks for taking the time to provide your input on the proposed development at 120-128 E. 14th Street. This project has not been approved and the proposed rezoning is being brought forward to Council for formal consideration. The date of this meeting will be provided to the public through the City’s standard notification process for rezoning applications, which includes the delivery of notices to nearby properties, site signage as well as newspaper postings.

As per the requirements of the *Local Government Act*, there will not be a Public Hearing for this application as the proposed rezoning is to permit a development that is residential and that residential component is at least half of the gross floor area for the development. The proposal is also consistent with the OCP, as explained in the FAQ page noted below.

Staff have prepared a Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ) page for this project for the land use matters that have been raised most frequently. This FAQ page can also be accessed via the Active Applications website for the project, which can be accessed via the following link: [https://www.cnv.org/Business-Development/Building/Land-Use-Approvals/Active-](https://www.cnv.org/Business-Development/Building/Land-Use-Approvals/Active-)
Applications/120-East-14th-Street. The FAQs can be found under the “Related Documents” heading on the webpage.

Thank you,

Rupinder Basi
Manager, Planning (Development Planning)
To: Mayor of City of North Vancouver & Councillors of the City of North Vancouver (CNV) / Planning and Approvals Officials

Dear Sirs / Madams

I am following up on my below email dated 2 April 2024 which has not yet been addressed at all – please prioritise these valid questions and concerns in red below raised by many residents in the City of North Vancouver.

Regards

Brett Hurst
14th St East, North Vancouver, BC

From: Brett HURST - ACIA
Sent: Friday, April 5, 2024 1:06 PM
To: Mayor Linda Buchanan
Cc: gateway@cnv.org; GSchalk@cnv.org; kmagnusson@cnv.org; acifarelli@cnv.org; Isawrenko@cnv.org; pdejong@cnv.org; HBack@cnv.org; dbell@cnv.org; aqirard@cnv.org; jmclilroy@cnv.org; sshahrian@cnv.org; tvalente@cnv.org; Brett Hurst
Subject: RE: 120-128 14th East, Lonsdale - Three Shores 21-story development approval objection, query and concerns
Hello Linda

Thank you for your initial standard answer to the wider group of constituents. I do hope and expect that we would receive soon actual detailed answers to the various questions and concerns raised, as in the background, it would be fair to assume a lot of internal CNV discussions and reporting or at least senior decisions would have been taken or documented to get this 120-128 14th St E to this advanced stage of density transfer, bylaw changes, architectural designs and plans etc etc etc which must have a wholistic plan already detailed and laid out, as no developer or interested party would go to such lengths unless they had various undertakings or assurances to achieve their wider agenda and plan.

Therefore, it would be vital to ensure this is addressed properly before it could be “deemed too late” to alter. Again, trusting in the integrity and transparency of the whole CNV leadership and colleagues to do what is best for the City of North Vancouver and the North Shore along with its residents.

Yours sincerely

Brett Hurst
14th St East, North Vancouver, BC

From: Kendra McEachern On Behalf Of Mayor Linda Buchanan
Sent: Friday, April 5, 2024 12:48 PM
To: Brett HURST - ACIA
Cc: Mayor Linda Buchanan
Subject: RE: 120-128 14th East, Lonsdale - Three Shores 21-story development approval objection, query and concerns

Please see the message below from Mayor Buchanan.

Dear Brett:

Thank you very much for sharing your comments with me regarding the proposed redevelopment of 120 East 14th. Please know this matter has yet to be presented to council so no staff reports have been received. Your feedback is important to me and will be taken into consideration once this matter comes before council.

Thank you again for reaching out.

Best Regards,

Linda

Linda Buchanan | Mayor

City of North Vancouver
141 West 14th Street
North Vancouver, BC
V7M 1H9
From: Brett HURST - ACIA
Sent: April-02-24 2:10 PM
To: gateway@cnv.org; Greg Schalk; Karyn Magnusson; Amelia Cifarelli; Larry Sawrenko; Peter DeJong; Mayor Linda Buchanan; Holly Back (Councillor); Don Bell (Councillor); Angela Girard (Councillor); Jessica McIroy (Councillor); Shervin Shahriari (Councillor); Tony Valente (Councillor)
Cc: Brett Hurst
Subject: 120-128 14th East, Lonsdale - Three Shores 21-story development approval objection, query and concerns

To:
Planning and Approvals Officials & Councillors of the City of North Vancouver (CNV)
Mayor of City of North Vancouver
Bylaws, Police Support Services CNV
Infrastructure Planning, Public Works CNV
Corporate Officer/City Clerk CNV
Chief Financial Officer CNV
Acting Corporate Officer CNV

Dear Sirs / Madams

I would like to document and voice my extreme concern to our elected city officials, councillors and leaders regarding the proposed construction of a 21-storey mixed-use high-rise by Three Shores along 120-128 14th St East one-way road.

One understands that North Vancouver (and wider Canada) is in a clear population and property trap with a shortage of ‘affordable’ housing and hence I am not concerned with the construction of the originally approved existing official community plan attached (OCP) 6-story tower, as fair and reasonable and common sense. But the issue is, the rapid pace of construction in the heart of Central Lonsdale, it begs the question if the City of North Vancouver (CNV) has lost sight of the need to balance housing development with preserving a decent quality of life for the people of North Vancouver.

As you will know, 14th St East is a one-way single lane highly congested road and a significantly vital road leading to essential services such as the Lions Gate Hospital and the RCMP.

Please help me and other concerned residents and owners in the North Vancouver Central Lonsdale area understand how and why CNV Planning approved such a large 21-storey tower which is way in excess of the City’s Land Use Map already approved OCP Density (FSR) of 3 + maximum bonus of 1 FSR (totalling 4 FSR) coming in at around 10.04 FSR for Three Shores 120-128 14th St East development? It is beyond comprehension and common sense. The comment on Three Shores own website (attached, but has since been conveniently removed by Three Shores recently in the last 1-week) where they boast of their “expertise and connections” to the City Planning staff and their “swift and efficient” due diligence during a “highly competitive bidding process” allowing them to gain approval from a 6-story to 21-story high-rise at a nearly doubled FSR – this in itself raises many questions.
What is even more concerning and deeply worrying is the project received the approval without the need for any public consultation or hearing, as indicated in the Public Advisory in front of the subject property. As per the Amendment of S464, a public hearing is not mandated if a proposed zoning bylaw is consistent with an existing official community plan (OCP) and permits residential development, or if the bylaw pertains to small-scale multi-family housing development. Given the scale and impact of the development at 120-128 14th Street East, it is my understanding that this project does not fall within these two categories, and therefore necessitates a comprehensive public input and scrutiny and far more transparency from the CNV. This along with the extreme and unreasonable nearly doubled increase in approved FSR raises many questions over this specific application and questionable approvals process.

I understand that this nonsensical massive project was approved through a density transfer (FSR) from the Harry James area to 14th St E, resulting in an increase from max 6 (six) floors to now 21 (twenty one) floors. It is unbelievable and very disturbing that the CNV can wave their own self appointed magic wand, when it suits them, to interpret and move around density parameters in a haphazard fashion without consulting its own constituency that will be significantly affected by such a development. It would appear that the CNV elected officials and planning and approvals department have a very detached or potentially selective blindness with regard to the impacts this particular development will have on its wider neighbours and commercial outfits in the close vicinity.

I have the following non-exhaustive questions to be answered specifically:

- I would ask the CNV to detail, by application and project in the past 5-years, which other North Vancouver Developer has requested the CNV and been approved by the CNV to increase their OCP approved FSR from a 3+1-bonus to in excess of anything above 5 FSR to up to 10+ FSR, (just over 10.04 FSR as in the case of Three Shores for this specific development, per the architects Integra-ach)? And if the projects were not approved, why? If the project applications were eventually approved with certain adjustments, what was the final approved FSR?

- How is it that Millenium Tower on 13th (which is on a major corridor with 4 lanes of traffic) proposals for an approximate 5.46 FSR were rejected as too high? What is the logic the city is using to justify nearly double this amount for Three Shores development on 14th St East?

- In the past, developments have been blocked or rejected because of view corridor blockages, but it would appear that this Three Shores development which will be near identical height to Centreview Tower B on 125 14th St East and directly in front of it, and no concern has been given to the complete view blockage here? It would appear this has been completely discarded in the CNV approval of this new development.

- As is very well known to the CNV, parking is already horrific in North Vancouver, especially along Central Lonsdale between 14th and 18th Street with the ludicrous “covid café side walk constructions” (which are generally not used 99% of the time) taking up an entire lane of traffic and which have not been removed to only exacerbate the crazy traffic congestion – I request that a formal updated 2024 Traffic Study to be available and presented to the residents and owners of properties neighbouring the 14th St East be made available to the general public. If the CNV has not carried out a recent traffic study in the past 12-months, why has this not been done? I understand the CNV is relying on a Traffic Study carried out during 2020/2021 covid period which clearly is not a realistic or representative study and is completely outdated.

- Is the CNV planning to eliminate the street parking on 14th St East for up to 3 years of construction due to the construction requiring at least one lane for cranes, cement trucks,
construction consumables etc? Where will general public pick up/drop-offs occur for both commercial outlets such as Home Hardware and 14th St residents, parcel delivery and moving trucks, etc.?

- Does the Mayor, any Councillor, or any CNV city staff members (or any of their family members, family trusts, personal company structure/s in or outside of Canada have a pecuniary or non-pecuniary interest, benefit, potential benefit or compensation whatsoever (such as consulting agreements/ commissions/ donations/ distributions/ property assignments/ property discounts now or in the future etc with Three Shores or any of its directors/shareholders/staff/employees, or any trust, company or related party structure/s under the wider Three Shores ultimate control or significant influence? If so, please disclose the nature and amount of those relationships for public transparency and public official accountability.

In addition to the above, there are many other points which are well known to the wider community such as the apparent total lack of specific and active engagement from the 14th St East RCMP and Lions Gate Hospital for the direct impact of this development other than giving them a general opportunity to raise any concerns through normal planning channels and CNV saying that they did not raise “any specific concerns” is not good enough.

I would expect a response in writing from CNV to the above key questions, to hopefully demonstrate that the CNV is connected with the concerns of your constituents by addressing the abovementioned issues.

Please acknowledge receipt of this email and also ensure a printed copy is taken note of and recorded in the upcoming CNV Council Consideration Meeting to be held on 8 April 2024.

Thank you.

Yours sincerely

Mr. Brett Hurst
14th St East, North Vancouver, BC
From: Planning <Planning@cnv.org>
Sent: April-19-24 4:30 PM
To: Mehdi Raz
Subject: 120 East 14th Street Rezoning Application - Frequently Asked Questions

Dear Mehdi Razaghi,

Thank you for your correspondence. It has been forwarded to the Corporate Officer and will be added to the package of agenda materials regarding this matter for Council consideration.

Pertaining to your questions below, these have been addressed in the FAQ that has been created for this development. The FAQ responds to items such as parking, traffic, emergency services and other related topics. As noted in the FAQ, the project was also referred to various stakeholders through the rezoning process, including the Integrated Transportation Committee and Advisory Design Panel. Further information will be outlined in the staff report that will be provided to Council when they consider this application.

Attached is a copy of the FAQ for your reference.

Thank you,

Rupinder Basi
Manager, Planning (Development Planning)

From: Mehdi Raz
Sent: April-17-24 8:57 PM
To: Planning <Planning@cnv.org>; Mayor Linda Buchanan <Mayor@cnv.org>; Holly Back (Councillor) <HBack@cnv.org>; Don Bell (Councillor) <dbell@cnv.org>; Angela Girard (Councillor) <agirard@cnv.org>; Jessica McIlroy (Councillor) <jmcilroy@cnv.org>; Shervin Shahriari (Councillor) <sshahriari@cnv.org>; Tony Valente (Councillor) <tvalente@cnv.org>; CAO <CAO@cnv.org>; Sean Galloway <sgalloway@cnv.org>; Submissions <input@cnv.org>; gateway@cnv.org; Greg Schalk <GSchalk@cnv.org>; Karyn Magnusson <kmagnusson@cnv.org>; Amelia Cifarelli <acifarelli@cnv.org>; Larry Sawrenko <lsawrenko@cnv.org>
Cc: Borja Alvarez Menendez - Concerned CentreView Owner; Ian Bedford; Brett Hurst; Lisa Capitanio; Gianrita Celotti; MGC; Bianca Charlow; Tri Chiem; Claudine Courvoisier; Fonz; Brad Connie Doerksen; Eva Fleming; Den Fri; Estela Frias; Dr B Gами; Jaimi Gardner; Deb Hendold; Sasha Hougen; Harry Jarvis; Sue Knapp; Anne-Marie Lavallee; Faye Lim - Concerned CentreView Owner; Terry Marshall - Concerned CentreView Owner; Clau M.; Promeca; Ady Mejia; Alastair Meikem - Concerned CentreView Owner; Jade & Jake Moore; Shayan; Donna; Garry Nishimura; Patti Tracey; Sandy; Roberta Walker; Rupert; Dr Safaripour; Aryan & Shema Saifhashemi; Samira; Mesands; Ischnie; Bianca & Ryan
Hi Rupinder Basi,

I am writing to follow up once more, as I have not yet received a response to the specific queries and concerns I raised in my previous emails. The general reply I received earlier did not address any of these points.

Understanding the pressures and responsibilities associated with your managerial role, which is compensated and supported by taxpayers & resident funds, I believe it is reasonable to expect timely and effective communication with city residents like myself. I am hopeful that this instance is not reflective of a broader shift in the City engagement practices.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I am eager to receive a comprehensive response soon

Mehdi Razaghi
East 14th Street
North Vancouver, BC

---

On Thu, Apr 11, 2024 at 9:33 PM Mehdi Raz wrote:

Hi Rupinder Basi,

I am following up on my previous communications from March regarding the proposed development at 120-128 E. 14th Street. While I appreciate the general update provided, I must express my concern that the response received does not address the specific issues raised. It has been 19 days since my initial outreach on March 24th and 26th, and several critical concerns remain unaddressed in both your responses and the provided FAQ page.

**Emergency Services Access:** The expected increase in traffic may hinder the timely arrival of emergency responders and ambulances. This is particularly troubling given that the street is one-way with few alternative routes. Can you outline the specific measures being taken to maintain clear emergency access? Additionally, I have not found any records showing that the planning department or city authorities have sought or received input from the RCMP on 14th St or from Lions Gate Emergency Services on this issue.

**Outdated Traffic Study:** Given the potential increase in traffic from ongoing developments, the community would benefit from understanding when the last traffic study was conducted and how it reflects current and anticipated conditions.

**Impact on Childcare Safety:** The proposed development may severely disrupt access to childcare facilities located in proximity to the site. Could you please detail the measures that are planned to mitigate these impacts and ensure continued accessibility to these essential services?

**Impact on Local Businesses and Congestion:** 14th St E is a narrow, one-way street already facing congestion issues. The construction could severely disrupt local businesses reliant on large deliveries, potentially diminishing their service quality and daily sales. Most of the affected entities are small business owners who already face significant challenges. This situation could make it very
difficult for them to survive. What measures are proposed to mitigate these challenges during the construction phase?

**Centerview Building’s Loading Zone:** The loading zone crucial for daily operations at the Centerview building is directly affected by the planned construction. If construction and loading activities coincide, this could lead to severe congestion, not only on 14th St but potentially spilling over to adjacent areas like Lansdale Street. How is the planning team addressing this significant risk?

**Need for Comprehensive Review:** Given the high floor space ratio (FSR) rate and the unique challenges of the proposed site, it is crucial that the plan be revisited. I urge the council and planning department to engage more deeply with stakeholders, including local businesses, Lions Gate Emergency Services, and the RCMP, to develop a plan that supports growth while ensuring community functionality and safety.

I look forward to a prompt and detailed response, addressing each of these points specifically.

Thanks

Mehdi Razaghi
East 14th Street
North Vancouver, BC

On Thu, Apr 11, 2024 at 11:08 AM Planning <Planning@cnv.org> wrote:

Dear Resident,

Thanks for taking the time to provide your input on the proposed development at 120-128 E. 14th Street. This project has not been approved and the proposed rezoning is being brought forward to Council for formal consideration. The date of this meeting will be provided to the public through the City’s standard notification process for rezoning applications, which includes the delivery of notices to nearby properties, site signage as well as newspaper postings.

As per the requirements of the *Local Government Act*, there will not be a Public Hearing for this application as the proposed rezoning is to permit a development that is residential and that residential component is at least half of the gross floor area for the development. The proposal is also consistent with the OCP, as explained in the FAQ page noted below.

Staff have prepared a Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ) page for this project for the land use matters that have been raised most frequently. This FAQ page can also be accessed via the Active Applications website for the project, which can be accessed via the following link: [https://www.cnv.org/Business-Development/Building/Land-Use-Approvals/Active-Applications/120-East-14th-Street](https://www.cnv.org/Business-Development/Building/Land-Use-Approvals/Active-Applications/120-East-14th-Street). The FAQs can be found under the “Related Documents” heading on the webpage.

Thank you,

Rupinder Basi
Manager, Planning (Development Planning)
Good afternoon,

In regards to the 120 - 128 East 14th Street 21 Story building proposed.

14th Street is a one way street running halfway from that corner to the LGH and is already congested.
14th Street empties out onto St George’s Ave which has a busy RCMP Detachment and Ambulance route to the Emergency department situated at the outlet of 14th Street.
The Fire Department uses St George’s a considerable amount, further creating congestion.
There are 2 spots on St George’s where cars are not permitted to idle (Ambulance and Police entrances. The Hospital itself sees a lot of pedestrian traffic and many of those are injured, disabled, and elderly.

The alleyway running from the 120 - 128 E 14th is already congested. The two Royals Towers and the two high rises facing the Royals across the alley ALL discharge their parking lot vehicles into that alley.
Further to that, garbage trucks, recycling trucks, utility trucks, and restaurant delivery vans all use this alley and create bottlenecks in such that only one vehicle at a time can pass through.
There is also a 20 stall pay parking lot next to The Royals which likewise only exits to that alley.

Some development is good yes, but the burden caused by this increase in vehicle traffic would be hazardous and could cause an increase in accidents; vehicular, cyclists, and pedestrians, who all cut through this alley, often without notice.

There should be a limit to how high the building should be (3-4 stories max), and the number of new units in the area.

Gord Stevens,
St George’s Ave
North Vancouver
Hi Councilor Holly Back,

I hope this message finds you well. While I support the council’s initiatives to increase housing availability in our city, I have serious reservations about the proposed density of the proposed development at 120-128 E. 14th Street, especially given its location on a narrow, one-way, one-lane street. As a former business owner yourself, you have firsthand experience with the challenges that small businesses face during extensive construction projects. The scale of this development is not only unprecedented but also likely unsustainable, posing significant risks and disruptions to the community and local businesses alike. The following points summarize the core of my concerns:

- **Emergency Response**: Potential delays for RCMP and emergency services could arise from increased traffic, directly impacting community safety.
- **Unsustainable Density with high FSR rate**: The proposed density could set a troubling precedent for future developments, potentially compromising the quality of life and character of our neighbourhood.
- **Safety Concerns**: The lengthy construction period of approximately three years raises significant safety issues for all community members, particularly vulnerable groups such as children and elders.
- **Impact on Childcare Safety**: The proposed development may severely disrupt access to childcare facilities located in proximity to the site.
- **Traffic and Parking**: Increased congestion and exacerbated parking shortages are expected, which could hinder daily activities and affect the quality of life for residents.
- **Impact on Local Businesses and Congestion**: 14th St E is already facing congestion issues. The construction could severely disrupt local businesses reliant on large deliveries, potentially diminishing their service quality and daily sales. Most of the affected entities are small business owners who already face significant challenges.
I urge you to consider the long-term impacts of this development. It is crucial to find a balance that fosters growth without sacrificing the well-being of current residents and the viability of local businesses. Could we explore a compromise by reducing the scope of the project? Such an adjustment would demonstrate a commitment to sustainable development and responsible governance.
I trust that you will stand on the right side of history by making a decision that protects and preserves the quality of life in our community. Thank you for your attention to this matter, and for all the work you do for our city.

Mehdi Razaghi
East 14th Street
North Vancouver, BC
Dear Mayor and Council,

I am writing to express my strong support for the proposed development project at 120 East 14th Street. As a resident of North Vancouver, I believe this project represents a significant opportunity for community growth and revitalization.

The development’s focus on sustainability and its commitment to enhancing the local area align with the values and vision of our community. The inclusion of residential units and commercial space addresses the diverse needs of our residents and contributes to the vibrancy of our neighborhood.

The project’s location is ideal for fostering a walkable community, reducing reliance on vehicles, and promoting public transit use. This not only supports our city’s environmental goals but also encourages a healthier lifestyle for all.

I appreciate the developer’s efforts to engage with the community and their willingness to incorporate feedback into the project’s design. It is evident that this development is being approached with careful consideration for its long-term impact on North Vancouver.

I urge the City of North Vancouver to approve this project and look forward to the positive changes it will bring to our community.

Sincerely,

C.T. Savage
West 14th Street
North Vancouver, B.C.
Dear City Council Members,

I am a resident of 14th St. E, and I am writing to express my serious concerns regarding the proposed rezoning described in Zoning Amendment Bylaw No. 8960, 2024. The proposed change to rezone 120-128 East 14th Street from a Central Lonsdale Mixed Use Zone (C-1B) to a Comprehensive Development Zone (CD-760) to allow a 21-storey mixed-use building raises several critical issues:

1. Infrastructure Support: The current infrastructure surrounding 120-128 East 14th Street is not equipped to support the significant increase in density that a 21-storey building with 164 strata units and additional commercial space would bring. One of my primary concerns is the inadequacy of parking. The proposed development does not adequately address the needs for increased parking spaces, which could lead to increased street parking congestion and traffic.

2. Emergency Vehicle Access*: The increase in traffic and potential congestion may severely limit access for emergency vehicles. This could compromise the safety and well-being of residents and businesses in the area, particularly in urgent situations.

3. Impact on Community Character and Services: The scale of the proposed development is out of character with the existing buildings in the area. Furthermore, the additional population may strain local services, such as schools, parks, and public transport, which are already nearing capacity.

I urge the City Council to consider these issues carefully before proceeding with the proposed rezoning. The impact on local infrastructure, emergency services, and community character must be thoroughly assessed to ensure that development benefits all residents without sacrificing our quality of life.

Please ensure this message is presented to the council members ahead of the meeting on May 6, 2024. Thank you for your attention to these matters. I look forward to your response and to seeing how these concerns are addressed in the council's decision-making process.

Sincerely,

Amir Hajbaba & Soussan Hoseini
East 14th Street
North Vancouver, BC
From: Jaimi Gardner
Sent: April-27-24 4:24 PM
To: Mehdi Raz
Cc: Holly Back (Councillor); Borja Alvarez Menendez - Concerned CentreView Owner; Ian Bedford; Brett Hurst; Lisa Capitanio; Gianrita Celotti; Mgc; Bianca Charlwood; Tri Chiem; Claudine Courvoisier; Fonz; Brad Connie Doerksen; Eva Fleming; denfri; Estela Frias; Dr. Bgamini; Deb Hendol; Sasha Hougen; Harry Jarvis; Sue Knapp; Anne-Marie Lavallee; Faye Lim - Concerned CentreView Owner; Terry Marshall - Concerned CentreView Owner; Clau M; promeca; Ady Mejia; Alastair Meikem - Concerned CentreView Owner; Jade & Jake Moore; Shayan M; Donna Nishimura; Garry Nishimura; Patti Tracey; Sandy Aussie; Roberta Walker; merupert; Dr. Safaripour; Aryan & Shema Saifhashemi; Samira S; mesands; Ischne; Bianca & Ryan Charlwood - Concerned CentreView Owners; Catherine Thomas; Meflem; elzom; Submissions

Subject: Re: Critical Concerns Over Proposed High-Density Project on 120-128 E. 14th Street

Dear Councilor Holly Back,

My name is Jaimi Gardner and I agree with everything that my neighbour Mehdi Raz wrote in his email. I am also against the proposed bylaw to rezone 120-128 East 14th Street from a Central Lonsdale Mixed Use B zone to a comprehensive development 760 zone.

In addition to Medhi’s points, I am also concerned about the impact of building high-density buildings on our current public transportation infrastructure. What is the plan to meet the needs of the current population, let alone the proposed amount of residents that will soon make North Vancouver their home? Will the city increase the number of buses, create bus lanes, consider LRT, or increase the frequency of the seabus? I sometimes have to wait for multiple buses after work to come home, as the buses are already at full capacity. At night the buses are infrequent and at times do not turn up. Furthermore, the seabus only runs every half hour after 8 pm.

Additionally, I am concerned that 132 14th St. has not been included in the construction at the moment. Will it be developed at a later date, thereby extending the construction period on this block?

Jaimi Gardner
East 14th Street
North Vancouver, BC

On Fri, Apr 26, 2024 at 9:34 PM Mehdi Raz wrote:

Hi Councilor Holly Back,

I hope this message finds you well. While I support the council’s initiatives to increase housing availability in our city, I have serious reservations about the proposed density of the proposed development at 120-128 E. 14th Street., especially given its location on a narrow, one-way, one-lane
street. As a former business owner yourself, you have firsthand experience with the challenges that small businesses face during extensive construction projects. The scale of this development is not only unprecedented but also likely unsustainable, posing significant risks and disruptions to the community and local businesses alike. The following points summarize the core of my concerns:

- **Emergency Response:** Potential delays for RCMP and emergency services could arise from increased traffic, directly impacting community safety.
- **Unsustainable Density with high FSR rate:** The proposed density could set a troubling precedent for future developments, potentially compromising the quality of life and character of our neighbourhood.
- **Safety Concerns:** The lengthy construction period of approximately three years raises significant safety issues for all community members, particularly vulnerable groups such as children and elders.
- **Impact on Childcare Safety:** The proposed development may severely disrupt access to childcare facilities located in proximity to the site.
- **Traffic and Parking:** Increased congestion and exacerbated parking shortages are expected, which could hinder daily activities and affect the quality of life for residents.
- **Impact on Local Businesses and Congestion:** 14th St E is already facing congestion issues. The construction could severely disrupt local businesses reliant on large deliveries, potentially diminishing their service quality and daily sales. Most of the affected entities are small business owners who already face significant challenges.

I urge you to consider the long-term impacts of this development. It is crucial to find a balance that fosters growth without sacrificing the well-being of current residents and the viability of local businesses. Could we explore a compromise by reducing the scope of the project? Such an adjustment would demonstrate a commitment to sustainable development and responsible governance.

I trust that you will stand on the right side of history by making a decision that protects and preserves the quality of life in our community. Thank you for your attention to this matter, and for all the work you do for our city.

Mehdi Razaghi
East 14th Street
North Vancouver, BC
From: Jade Moore
Sent: April-27-24 5:35 PM
To: Jaimi Gardner
Cc: Ian Bedford; Alastair Meikem - Concerned CentreView Owner; Anne-Marie Lavallee;
Aryan & Shema Saif hashemi; Bianca & Ryan Charlwood - Concerned CentreView
Owners; Bianca Charlwood; Borja Alvarez Menendez - Concerned CentreView Owner;
Brad Connie Doerksen; Catherine Thomas; Clau M; Claudine Courvoisier; Dr. Bgamini; Dr.
Safaripour; Estela Frias; Eva Fleming; Faye Lim - Concerned CentreView Owner; Fonze;
Garry Nishimura; Gianrita Celotti; Harry Jarvis; Jake Moore; Lisa Capitanio; Meflem;
Mehdi Raz; Mgc; Patti Tracey; Roberta Walker; Sandy Aussie; Sue Knapp; Terry Marshall -
Concerned CentreView Owner; Tri Chiem; Ady Mejia; Brett Hurst; denfri; Donna
Nishimura; elzom; Holly Back (Councillor); Deb Hendol; Submissions; Ischne; merupert;
mesands; promeca; Samira S; Sasha.Hougen; Shayan M

Subject: Re: Critical Concerns Over Proposed High-Density Project on 120-128 E. 14th Street

Dear Councilor Holly Back,

My name is Jade Moore and I am a resident at 14th street E, North Vancouver. I am writing to
express my serious concerns with the proposed development at 120-128 E 14th street. While I
appreciate the cities mandate to create housing to meet rising population and demand, 14th street
does not have the necessary infrastructure required to support either the construction of such a large
development, nor the number of people who would fill it.

Two of the most prevalent issues in North Vancouver are housing and traffic. We cannot forsake one
for the other, there must be a balance and compromise. 14th street is wedged between the extremely
busy Lonsdale and he extremely important Lionsgate Hospital, fire department, and police
department.

Anyone who lives in this area knows the drastic effect that the nearby millennium construction on
13th street has had on businesses, traffic patterns, pedestrian access, and emergency service
dispatch. 13th street has 4 lanes, and it is very often reduced to 1 lane due to the construction. 14th
street simply cannot function in the same capacity. As I’m sure you know, the street is a 2 lane one
way and is rarely left with an available parking spot. Deliveries for the grocery stores and other
businesses on this street require large trucks which block the street on a regular cadence.

I urge you to reconsider the scale of the proposed development, and find a compromise that would
provide a solution to the issues as opposed to pushing ahead with a development which will have
long term negative impact on this community. If you have not already, I would recommend you come
to the area. I’m sure the myriad of issues we are all doing our best to draw attention to would be
immediately clear.

We have yet to receive a response from the city or developer that identifies any solutions to the
problems many of my concerned neighbours have mentioned. The alleys behind the proposed
development are constantly blocked with commercial and garbage trucks. We could not possibly have the space for 20+ stories and another parking garage.

There is no point in building housing if it cannot function as a home.

Thank you,

Jade Moore
East 14\textsuperscript{th} Street
North Vancouver, BC

On Sat, Apr 27, 2024 at 4:23 PM Jaimi Gardner wrote:

Dear Councilor Holly Back,

My name is Jaimi Gardner and I agree with everything that my neighbour Mehdi Raz wrote in his email. I am also against the proposed bylaw to rezone 120-128 \textit{East 14th Street} from a Central Lonsdale Mixed Use B zone to a comprehensive development 760 zone.

In addition to Medhi’s points, I am also concerned about the impact of building high-density buildings on our current public transportation infrastructure. What is the plan to meet the needs of the current population, let alone the proposed amount of residents that will soon make North Vancouver their home? Will the city increase the number of buses, create bus lanes, consider LRT, or increase the frequency of the seabus? I sometimes have to wait for multiple buses after work to come home, as the buses are already at full capacity. At night the buses are infrequent and at times do not turn up. Furthermore, the seabus only runs every half hour after 8 pm.

Additionally, I am concerned that 132 14th St. has not been included in the construction at the moment. Will it be developed at a later date, thereby extending the construction period on this block?

Jaimi Gardner
East 14\textsuperscript{th} Street
North Vancouver, BC

On Fri, Apr 26, 2024 at 9:34 PM Mehdi Raz wrote:

Hi Councilor Holly Back,

I hope this message finds you well. While I support the council’s initiatives to increase housing availability in our city, I have serious reservations about the proposed density of the proposed development at \textit{120-128 E. 14th Street}, especially given its location on a narrow, one-way, one-lane street. As a former business owner yourself, you have firsthand experience with the challenges that small businesses face during extensive construction projects. The scale of this development is not only unprecedented but also likely unsustainable, posing significant risks and disruptions to the community and local businesses alike. The following points summarize the core of my concerns:

- **Emergency Response**: Potential delays for RCMP and emergency services could arise from increased traffic, directly impacting community safety.
• **Unsustainable Density with high FSR rate**: The proposed density could set a troubling precedent for future developments, potentially compromising the quality of life and character of our neighbourhood.

• **Safety Concerns**: The lengthy construction period of approximately three years raises significant safety issues for all community members, particularly vulnerable groups such as children and elders.

• **Impact on Childcare Safety**: The proposed development may severely disrupt access to childcare facilities located in proximity to the site.

• **Traffic and Parking**: Increased congestion and exacerbated parking shortages are expected, which could hinder daily activities and affect the quality of life for residents.

• **Impact on Local Businesses and Congestion**: 14th St E is already facing congestion issues. The construction could severely disrupt local businesses reliant on large deliveries, potentially diminishing their service quality and daily sales. Most of the affected entities are small business owners who already face significant challenges.

I urge you to consider the long-term impacts of this development. It is crucial to find a balance that fosters growth without sacrificing the well-being of current residents and the viability of local businesses. Could we explore a compromise by reducing the scope of the project? Such an adjustment would demonstrate a commitment to sustainable development and responsible governance.

I trust that you will stand on the right side of history by making a decision that protects and preserves the quality of life in our community. Thank you for your attention to this matter, and for all the work you do for our city.

Mehdi Razaghi
East 14th Street
North Vancouver, BC
Dear Mayor and Council,

I love my neighbourhood and appreciate that development is the key to revitalization and diversity in a community. However, I am exceptionally concerned about the excessive Three Shores Development plan designated for 120-128 14th St. East.

The traffic study done in 2022 is not at all indicative of current vehicle and pedestrian traffic in the area. There are daily conflicts and traffic jams between waste & recycling trucks, refrigerator food delivery trucks, goods & service deliveries (Amazon), couriers (UPS, Canada Post), movers - all of this on top of the regular commuters already living in the neighbouring buildings and simply trying to get where they need to go. This is compounded by cars using the laneway to bypass the traffic restrictions (no left turn at 15th) on Lonsdale. ALL of the above vehicles are limited to travel/park/unload along a narrow north/south lane way between 14th and 15th which is not sufficient to handle the current load. It’s already BAD with no solution identified by the CNV planning department and an outdated traffic study.

Adding development of the planned scope and size simply doesn’t make sense and is contrary to the current OCP. A seeming compromise and reasonable development proposal would be to have the developer incorporate the neighbouring building (132 E 14th St.) and keep the FSR per the current OCP (3 FSR maximum).

The current OCP states: Density Transfers are appropriate in the following two scenarios: 1. Density Transfer donor site is adjacent or in close proximity (i.e., same block) as the receiving site and transfer will result in a preferable built form; 2. Significant civic or public benefit will accrue from the Density Transfer (e.g., secured protection of a valued heritage site). Clearly, this planned 21-storey development does not adhere to the first point and so I would question what exactly is the "significant civic or public benefit" - specifically as it relates to the residents on East 14th Street? More money for the city does not equate to benefit for those directly (and negatively) impacted by the years-long construction noise, dirt, traffic etc.

Just because you CAN (develop a ridiculously tall tower), doesn’t mean you should.

Thank you for considering the concerns of a local resident. I sincerely hope that you will REJECT the rezoning of 120-128 East 14th St.

Sincerely,

Alexandra Reid
East 14th Street
North Vancouver, BC
Hi, Mayor Buchanan and Councillors,

I am compelled to formally express my significant concerns regarding the proposed rezoning for the property located at 120-128 East 14th Street. The density proposed exceeds by 2.5 times the maximum stipulated under the Official Community Plan (OCP), and such an increase raises substantial legal and community concerns. While I support any reasonable and well-planned housing development, My concern centers on developments that negatively impact and do not promote sustainable growth within our community.

These are my core concerns:

- The absence of a satisfactory response or an updated traffic study from the Planning Department that addresses the post-COVID-19 activity levels and the impact of the recent hospital expansion.
- Increased traffic flow poses heightened safety risks to the community, particularly affecting children and the elderly.
- Significant increase in traffic congestion on a densely populated, single-block, one-way street that currently accommodates four high-rise towers, multiple commercial enterprises, a childcare facility, an RCMP detachment, and a major hospital.
- The exacerbation of existing logistical challenges related to parking, as well as the pick-up and drop-off activities, which will severely impact residents, visitors, and local businesses.
Potential adverse effects on the response times of RCMP and emergency services, without due consultation with the stakeholders potentially affected by increased density.

Continual noise disturbances, with no mitigation measures in place, especially concerning since there are pending redevelopment plans for 132 E 14th.

A lack of provided impact analysis concerning the rezoning's effect on the heavily utilized, often congested laneways that are crucial to the fabric of the proposed rezoning plan.

The Planning Department has failed to cite any precedent where such a high-density development has been successfully implemented on a similarly configured street.

Prior decisions by the city to reject a parking entrance on East 14th for CentreView were prudent; it is inconsistent to now propose that a laneway, primarily serviced by the same street, accommodate increased density.

The lack of proximate green spaces has led to inappropriate utilization of our street; this situation will likely deteriorate further with an increase in population density.

I trust that the Council will give these issues the thorough consideration they warrant. I respectfully urge adherence to the 3 FSR limit as outlined in the OCP and encourage the evaluation of development proposals that respect the unique characteristics of our street. It is my sincere hope, and indeed my humble expectation, that the Council will decide against the proposed rezoning in favor of a solution that benefits the entire community.

Thank you for your consideration of these critical issues.

Armineh Saeedi
East 14th Street
North Vancouver, BC
Dear Mayor and Councillors,

I reside at [address] on 14th Street. As a senior who has retired after a half-century of diligent work, I chose North Vancouver for its serene views and tranquil atmosphere. The joy of strolling to the waterfront and ascending the hill, away from the bustling city’s clamor, was a cherished part of my routine. Regrettably, the construction on 13th, subjected me to relentless noise and traffic disruptions for the past three years. Now, with the Millennium project nearing completion, the prospect of a new 21-story building at 120 East 14th Street is quite disheartening.

I understand the housing crisis, but this project is not an affordable solution for the average person. And, the concentration of high-rises in Central Lonsdale’s compact area seems excessive. 14th Street is ill-equipped for significant construction activity.

It feels unjust to us retirees who sought a peaceful retirement and invested in what we hoped would be our final home, only to face years of noise and congestion. Continuing construction in the same vicinity for another three years would further disrupt the lives of current residents.

Moreover, with potential future developments at 132 East 14th, I am apprehensive about enduring even more construction. I moved from New York City seeking North Vancouver’s peace in my twilight years, but it seems my retirement will be marred by the constant inconvenience and din of construction.

Thank you for your attention.

Lois Schneeberg
East 14th Street
North Vancouver, BC
Dear Mayor and council,

I am living on 14th street East North Vancouver and there is a very huge construction development project that is going to be built in front of our eyes. There are lots of disadvantages regarding this project and I am opposing to that with the following reason:

1. We already have 4 very large high rise buildings in this area and this development makes it so populated and make it impossible for our community to live easily and traffic and density will get worse and disgusting
2. With regular struggles to manage parking, pick ups, drop-offs, and deliveries, the increased density will result in further parking shortages for residents, visitors, and businesses. ( see picture attached the current situation)
3. The planning department has not provided satisfactory answers to questions, nor provided an updated traffic study to reflect post-covid activity, or the increased traffic that will come from the nearly completed hospital expansion
4. I have not been provided with an analysis of the impact on the frequently used and many times congested, laneways running parallel and perpendicular to this block that will be a key aspect of this proposed rezoning.
5. When asked, the planning department has not provided a single example of any other street configured/populated like ours that has proven successful let alone one with more density proposed. Other disadvantages:
   - The increased traffic will add to our safety concerns for all community members with a focus on pets, children, and our seniors.
   - Impact on RCMP and emergency response times. RCMP and lions Gate hospital staff were never surveyed to understand their concerns with more density and congestion.
   - Prolonged noise disturbance affecting all residents especially since there is still not a solution in place for 132 E 14th which will also be a redevelopment target.
   - Previously the city disallowed a parking entrance for CentreView from East 14th St. That was the correct decision. However, a laneway primarily fed by the same street is being proposed as a solution for this proposed increased density.
   - There is no nearby green space which has resulted in nearby residents using our street as a bathroom for their dogs. The street is constantly littered with cigarette butts. Increased density will only make these problems worse.

Thank you for reading my concerns. the land use for this site should not exceed 3 FSR as originally noted in the OCP. Only new development proposals that are reasonable and responsible for this street should be considered.

I strongly urge you to reject this rezoning proposal.

Sincerely,
Raha Mostafavi
East 14th Street, North Vancouver, BC
Good evening Mayor Buchanan and Councilors,

After attending two council meetings in April along with my community of Centreview owners of towers A & B, and returning home disappointed and disheartened by hearing rhetoric and blame passing to another level of government, I feel compelled to write this email to share my concerns regarding the proposed rezoning for the property located at 120-128 East 14th Street.

I am an owner living on E. 13th street. I have lived in my apartment since July 2023 and have been living through the Millennium construction directly across the street from me that is ongoing. In my nine months living here, there have been many traffic delays when the two-way, four lane street goes down to one lane of traffic. As well, it is often congested trying to turn east or west out of the parking garage...and that is with four lanes of traffic going in either direction unlike 14th street that is a one-way street. I find it incredulous and incomprehensible that a 21-storey mixed-use condo development is proposed for E. 14th street on a dedicated one-way street that continuously has cars travelling down it and drivers choosing to park, plus large delivery trucks going down the alleyway making deliveries to Whole Foods. Of all the streets for this development to take place, Three Shores chooses to go from a seven-storey development to 21 storeys on a one-way street across from the RCMP headquarters and Lions Gate Hospital at the end of the block? Any suggestion of promoting 'community' with this new development is preposterous given the mayhem that will ensue with the congestion created by the hundreds of new vehicles attached to the new residents, plus visitor vehicles and double the delivery trucks...and this is after years of construction insanity.

The density proposed exceeds by 2.5 times the maximum stipulated under the Official Community Plan (OCP), and such an increase raises substantial legal and community concerns. While I support any reasonable and well-planned housing development, my concern centers on developments that negatively impact and do not promote sustainable growth within our community. This type of growth will create chaos and not an enjoyable, liveable city living experience.

These are my core concerns:

- The absence of a satisfactory response or an updated traffic study from the Planning Department that addresses the post-COVID-19 activity levels and the impact of the recent hospital expansion.
- Increased traffic flow poses heightened safety risks to the community, particularly affecting children and the elderly.
- Significant increase in traffic congestion on a densely populated, single-block, one-way street that currently accommodates four high-rise towers, multiple commercial enterprises, a childcare facility, an RCMP detachment, and a major hospital.
- The exacerbation of existing logistical challenges related to parking, as well as the pick-up and drop-off activities, which will severely impact residents, visitors, and local businesses.
Potential adverse effects on the response times of RCMP and emergency services, without due consultation with the stakeholders potentially affected by increased density.

Continual noise disturbances, with no mitigation measures in place, especially concerning since there are pending redevelopment plans for 132 E 14th.

The Planning Department has failed to cite any precedent where such a high-density development has been successfully implemented on a similarly configured street.

Prior decisions by the city to reject a parking entrance on East 14th for CentreView were prudent; it is inconsistent to now propose that a laneway, primarily serviced by the same street, accommodate increased density.

The lack of proximate green spaces has led to inappropriate utilization of our street; this situation will likely deteriorate further with an increase in population density.

I hope that the Council will give these issues the thorough consideration they warrant. I respectfully urge adherence to the 3 FSR limit as outlined in the OCP and encourage the evaluation of development proposals that respect the unique characteristics of our street. It is my sincere hope that the Council will decide against the proposed rezoning in favor of a solution that benefits the entire community. All of us living in Central Lonsdale deserve to live in a sustainable, safe and walkable community not steeped in noise, traffic and vehicles constantly coming and going. This proposal is alarming to my sense of home and I am worried about the concrete jungle that the central Lonsdale corridor is becoming.

Thank you for your consideration of these critical issues.

Lisa Capitanio  
East 13th Street  
North Vancouver, BC
RE: 120 - 128 East 14th Street

To Whom it may Concern,

I have written in the past when this proposed zoning bylaw was first submitted. I live in the neighbourhood and have expressed my concern about traffic issues this 22 story complex will create. **Traffic and safety concerns are a MAJOR PRIORITY and CONCERN!** This has not been addressed to satisfaction!

Daily, the back alleys between 14th and 15th (both east/west bound and north/south bound) street are congested and many times impassible. Moving trucks, garbage and recycling trucks, linen supply trucks, food service vehicles, delivery vans, maintenance vehicles, police vehicles are constantly using the alley ways let alone the commercial tenants and residents that live in the area and vehicles using the large parking lot accessible from the alley. Pedestrians constantly walk in the alley to and from the hospital!

Getting from the back alley onto St. George’s is already challenging. The city had to put up a no/parking parking sign on St. George’s in front of the Royals condo complex because of the lack of visibility onto the street. And yet, people still park there obstructing visibility. More traffic from your proposed complex will just make traffic flow here more dangerous and challenging.

Have you actually spent a day or two sitting and assessing the congested traffic flow? Our driveway backs onto the alley and many times we have trouble getting out of our driveway into the alley due to parked trucks blocking our access. The alley is often down to one lane making it difficult to see around trucks, people speeding and cars trying to park in several of the back parking stalls. Adding another high rise to 128 East 14th will only make the situation worse. **Safety** is a huge issue. **And, this is without a steady stream of construction vehicles during the building of yet another tower!**

14th street is already a busy thoroughfare with delivery trucks, couriers, postal delivery trucks, parking spots, moving trucks (Onni condo building), commercial businesses, residential condominium entrances, Stella Jo Dean park plaza. This is a designated green street. Building another huge complex will add nothing to the green space. Another major concern is that this is where the RCMP building is situated right in front of the hospital. Emergency vehicles use the alley way and 14th Street East all the time. So, how will building another 22 storey multi unit complex help an already busy neighbourhood? Safety on our street and back alley are our major concern for both pedestrians and drivers in our neighbourhood!

Jennifer MacMillan
East 14th Street
North Vancouver, BC
Hello, the proposed amendment to allow this development to go from the current maximum 4.0 FSR to 9.98 FSR should be rejected. This type of rezoning is abusive to the neighborhood and will not be tolerated by the electorate. Developers should not be able to pay to circumvent the existing zoning via density transfers to such an absurd degree.

This development is not a "preferable form" of development that should be granted this density transfer. The meagre 10% of 3 bedroom units being proposed will not be affordable to local families and it's laughable to posit that this is the type of housing stock voters and families want. The ground floor retail space and vibrancy argument for this project also doesn't hold up to scrutiny. If the council was concerned about "vibrancy and economic development of Central Lonsdale" they wouldn't be ignoring all of the currency exchanges starting to hollow out the retail landscape of the area.

No mandate from residents exists for this type of rezoning, regardless of any good intentions the council may have.

Thanks,

Kevin Pollard
West 1st Street
North Vancouver, BC
Dear Mayor and Council

I am writing to express my deep concern about the proposed rezoning at 120-128 East 14th street. The density proposed is 2.5 X greater than what has been part of the Official Community plan (OCP). This will have a major impact on the neighbourhood.

The city has not provided a solution to effectively manage the day-to-day activity on this street with its current density level, therefore I am very worried about the following:

- Increased congestion on a small, one-block one way, street that already has four towers, multiple businesses, and child care centre, RCMP detachment, and a major hospital at the end of the street.
- With regular struggles to manage parking, pick ups, drop-offs, and deliveries, the increased density will result in further parking shortages for residents, visitors, and businesses. (see picture attached the current situation).
- The planning department has not provided satisfactory answers to questions, nor provided an updated traffic study to reflect post-covid activity, or the increased traffic that will come from the nearly completed hospital expansion.
- I have not been provided with an analysis of the impact on the frequently used, and many times congested, laneways running parallel and perpendicular to this block that will be a key aspect of this proposed rezoning.
- When asked, the planning department has not provided a single example of any other street configured/populated like ours that has proven successful let alone one with more density proposed.
- The increased traffic will add to our safety concerns for all community members with a focus on pets, children, and our seniors.
- Impact on RCMP and emergency response times. RCMP and lions Gate hospital staff were never surveyed to understand their concerns with more density and congestion.
- Prolonged noise disturbance affecting all residents especially since there is still not a solution in place for 132 E 14th which will also be a redevelopment target.
- Previously the city disallowed a parking entrance for CentreView from East 14th St. That was the correct decision. However, a laneway primarily fed by the same street is being proposed as a solution for this proposed increased density.
- There is no nearby green space which has resulted in nearby residents using our street as a bathroom for their dogs. The street is constantly littered with cigarette butts. Increased density will only make these problems worse.

Thank you for reading my concerns. The land use for this site should not exceed 3 FSR as originally noted in the OCP. Only new development proposals that are reasonable and responsible for this street should be considered.

I strongly urge you to reject this rezoning proposal.

Sincerely,

Amir Kaveie

East 14th Street, North Vancouver, BC
From: Richard Short  
Sent: April-27-24 5:53 PM  
To: Matthew Menzel; Rupinder Basi; Planning; Leanne McCarthy  
Cc: Borja Alvarez Menendez - Concerned CentreView Owner; Ian Bedford; Brett Hurst; Lisa Cappi; Gianrita Celotti; MGC; Bianca Charlwood; Tri Chiem; Claudine Courvoisier; Fonze; Brad and Connie; Eva Fleming; denfri; Estel Frias; Dr. Bgamini; Jaimi Gardner; Deb Hendol; Sasah Hougou; H Jarvis; Sue Knapp; Anne-Marie Lavallee; Faye Lim - Concerned CentreView Owner; Terry Marshall - Concerned CentreView Owner; Clau Martos; promeca; Ady Mejia; Alastair Meikem - Concerned CentreView Owner; Jade & Jake Moore; Shayan M; Donna Nishimura; Garry Nishimura; Patti Tracey; Sandy Reid; Roberta Walker; merupert; Mehdi Raz; Dr. Safaripour; Aryan & Shema Saifhashemi; Samira S; mesands; Ischna; Bianca & Ryan Charlwood - Concerned CentreView Owners; Catherine Thomas; meflem; elzom; Saadat Shamsi

Subject: Re: Outstanding since August 2023 re: 120 East 14th Street

+ CAO McCarthy for visibility on this string.

Following up on the below Matthew and Rupinder. Please advise.

I have included a small sampling of pictures that reflect the day to day reality on this unique, one-block, one-way street, plus the laneway that will be a key aspect of your 10 FSR proposed development (that would be overkill at the 3 +1 FSR in the OCP).

Lastly, it would be great if you could also explain what your plan is to rectify these current issues prior to ANY new development occurring in this neighbourhood. May I suggest you watch the interview Mayor Buchanan had with Richard Zussman on Global News. Much of what she said defending (rightfully) the city against the province’s “naughty list” considering the lack of infrastructure and inability to absorb more reflected the situation we are at with what you have planned. Is there a reason we should feel differently when confronted with something that clearly defies reality?
On Apr 19, 2024, at 9:40 PM, Richard Short wrote:

Matthew,

It has been more than a month since I asked you some questions in the below email. Is there a reason you did not reply with answers?

To keep things easy for all of us to follow, here they are again:

- Can you please provide a study for late 2023 or 2024 to reflect more realistic post-Covid travel volumes?
- Where is the analysis of the impact on the frequently used, and many times congested, laneways that run parallel and perpendicular to this block?
- Can you please point out where there is a study accounting for the additional traffic the major hospital expansion will bring?
- Did CNV reach out directly on any occasion to the RCMP to solicit feedback?

In light of the unusual verbiage that was recently removed from the developer’s website, we now have three more questions for you:

- Did anybody outside of your department have an involvement with this proposed development?
- If so, who and for what reasons?
- Do you personally have a pecuniary or non-pecuniary interest with Three Shores ownership, staff, or employees/trades?

Please note if we do not receive a reply by EOD Monday April 22nd, we will have to assume you are unwilling to adhere to the city’s commitment to be "accessible, transparent, accountable" and will act accordingly.

Regards,

Richard Short

On Mar 11, 2024, at 5:24 AM, Richard Short wrote:

Thank you for your reply Matthew.

There are still some unanswered questions. To ensure there are no gaps I will consolidate all of the previously asked questions, along with the answers we have received, into a document that will make things much easier for all parties to track.

In the meantime, please see our comments and follow up questions in bold font below.

Regards,

Richard Short

On Mar 7, 2024, at 4:14 PM, Matthew Menzel wrote:

Hi Richard,

Thank for your further enquiry regarding the application at 120-128 East 14th Street. I am writing to provide you with some more information in response to your unanswered questions. It is standard practice for the development planner assigned to the file to be the first point of contact for enquiries concerning development planning applications.

You asked about a CNV comparable for the 100-block of East 14th. A direct comparison does not exist, which is why the City does not rely on precedent or comparison as the basis for its planning analysis. Each development proposal is assessed on its own merit, as each site and development proposal has its own set of unique circumstances that need to be considered.

**Thank you for acknowledging a direct comparison does not exist in the City of North Vancouver. Can you point us to any comparable blocks in the Lower Mainland? If not, can CNV recognize why there is a deep concern with residents/businesses that our block is going to be a density and City Planning “guinea pig”?**

As part of our review of more complex planning applications, the City requires that the applicant submits a Transportation Study to support the proposed development. I have attached a copy of the Transportation Study prepared in support of this application as per your request, which has demonstrated that the existing road network can accommodate additional vehicle trips as a consequence of the proposal. This study has informed our assessment of the proposed
development. Furthermore, to reiterate the response provided previously, the City will continue to work to fine-tune the on-street requirements to ensure the multi-modal transportation needs are met.

This Transportation Study is from 2021.

Can you please provide a study for late 2023 or 2024 to reflect more realistic post-Covid travel volumes?

Where is the analysis of the impact on the frequently used, and many times congested, laneways that run parallel and perpendicular to this block?

Lastly, can you please point out where there is a study accounting for the additional traffic the major hospital expansion will bring?

With regards to the engagement with the RCMP, all nearby property owners are provided with an opportunity to submit feedback on planning applications in the City. The City did not receive any feedback from the RCMP on this specific project. It is worth noting that the RCMP has its primary access point from St. Georges Avenue, and emergency vehicles will logically use higher order roads in the case of emergencies (i.e. 13th Street & 15th Street).

Recognizing the importance of the RCMP, did CNV reach out directly on any occasion to the RCMP to solicit feedback?

The City’s perspective on what is “logical” when it comes to the Detachment's road usage is interesting in light of how much the RCMP rely upon this block (and the adjacent laneways) to return to the office, find parking, bring back prisoners, or go to the hospital with patient apprehensions. None of this takes into account the limited parking space and vulnerability the RCMP already have at their location. Increased density and traffic will only add to safety concerns for such valued members of our community.

I will forward this email to the appropriate RCMP personnel so they are aware of CNV’s position.

I also thought it would be prudent to reattach the City’s previous responses to your enquiries for your reference, which have also addressed a number your queries.

As stated above since there are still a number of unanswered questions, I will consolidate all of the questions that have previously been asked, along with the answers we have received, into a form that will make things much easier for all parties to track.

In moving forward, please be advised that there will be further opportunities for public input once the project is referred to Mayor and Council for consideration. During the public input period, you will be able to submit written comments regarding the development, which will be sent directly to Mayor and Council for consideration. We would encourage you to submit your comments on this application as we move into the Council consideration process. It is anticipated the application will be occur in the next month or so.

Thank you and actually that does lead to a very important question that is still outstanding: Does the Mayor, Council, or any city staff members have a pecuniary or non-pecuniary interest with Three Shores or its staff/employees?
Please note, that City staff and the applicants consultant team will be available to answer any questions that arise from the written submission received at the Council meeting., that City staff and the applicants consultant team will be available to answer any questions that arise from the written submission received at the Council meeting.

Please let me know if you have any further questions.

Regards,

Matthew Menzel | Planner 3
Planning & Development

City of North Vancouver
141 W 14th Street, North Vancouver, BC | V7M 1H9

---

From: Richard Short
Sent: March-06-24 6:51 AM
To: gateway@cnv.org
Cc: Alastair Meikem - Concerned CentreView Owner; Matthew Menzel; Garry Nishimura; Gianrita Celotti; Roberta Walker; Brad and Connie; Estela Frias; Tri Chiem; Brett Hurst; Patti Tracey; Eva Fleming; Shayan M; Jennifer Draper
Subject: Outstanding since August 2023 re: 120 East 14th Street

Hi Gateway team,

Can you please help us get a response?

Regards,

Richard Short

---

On Mar 3, 2024, at 5:05 PM, Richard Short wrote:

Just following up Jennifer. Please advise.

+ gateway@cnv.org if Jennifer has been/is OOO can you please help us source the answers to these questions that have been outstanding since last summer?

Regards,

Richard Short

---

On Feb 23, 2024, at 4:25 PM, Richard Short wrote:

Hi Jennifer,

Thank you for the reply. Unfortunately it does not address many of the questions that have been sitting with the City since last August. To ensure nothing is getting lost in this email string I will put those questions into a Word doc for you and the team to answer.
Also to keep things in order, I have looped in the others who were on the email to the Gateway team earlier today (that I assume prompted your response below).

Have a great weekend.

Regards,

Richard Short

---

On Feb 23, 2024, at 3:06 PM, Jennifer Draper wrote:

Hi Richard,

Your messages have been forwarded along to me for response. I oversee the transportation planning team here at the City and our mandate is to set the long-range strategies and policies for mobility across all modes and to ensure that developments meet the aspirations and targets set by our policies. I wish to start out by acknowledging the long wait you’ve had in receiving this response and I thank you for your patience.

Development review is a multi-disciplinary collaboration amongst many City teams and as such it’s important to maintain a clear channel of communications for the lead planner on the file as they also have the benefit of having all the information on hand. We work together closely and can be more responsive when this clear channel is maintained.

In addition to what was provided in the August response from our team, I’d like to share with you an update on one of the most impactful ‘levers’ we have within transportation planning which is curbside management for all modes. You may have seen a recent engagement on this from the City as we’re preparing updated policy and implementation strategies for better managing our curbs and ensuring access is maintained for the most important activities such as access to businesses, deliveries and passenger loading. Staff will be at Council in the Spring with our draft policies and again in the Fall seeking approval on the plan and for implementation to start shortly after. All this to say that a lot of the challenges we face today are going to have stronger tools and levers in place to ensure our curbs meet the needs of a vibrant urban setting.

The application is expected to be at Council in April, subject to council agendas being finalized. Please continue to stay tuned to the website as we approach the spring and dates are solidified.

Thank you once again for your patience,

Jennifer Draper | Deputy Director
Planning & Development

City of North Vancouver
141 W 14th Street, North Vancouver, BC | V7M 1H9

---

From: Richard Short
Sent: February-15-24 7:49 PM
To: Matthew Menzel
Cc: Garry Nishimura; Gianrita Celotti
Subject: Re: PLN2022-00003 - 120 East 14th Street
Hi Matthew,

Did you follow up on the below email from last August? If so can you please send us the reply.

Regards,

Richard Short

On Aug 10, 2023, at 4:00 PM, Matthew Menzel wrote:

Good afternoon Richard,

Thank you for your further enquiry regarding the project at 120 East 14th Street.

A number of my colleagues are currently on vacation, and I will require their input in compiling a considered response to your email. I will endeavour to get the back to you in the next week or so.

Thank you for your patience, and please let me know if you have any further questions in the meantime.

Regards,

Matthew Menzel | Planner 2
Planning & Development
T 604 982 8337 | C 778 866 3103

City of North Vancouver
141 W 14th Street, North Vancouver, BC | V7M 1H9

Hi Matthew,

Thank you for your reply. Please see my comments and questions in bold font in your email below below. I have looped in a couple of my neighbours who are also very interested in this initiative by the City.

Lastly I have attached a couple of pictures with the same time stamp on Wednesday the 2nd that shows the existing infrastructure cannot absorb the regular activity attached to real life on the tiny one block, one-way that is 14th St E. When the city signed off on Tower B for CentreView, assurances to the other buildings on the street were made that have proven to be false. This is why there is a healthy dose of skepticism that things will suddenly be fine with this extremely aggressive proposed development.

Regards,
Richard Short

On Aug 4, 2023, at 4:59 PM, Matthew Menzel wrote:

Good afternoon Richard,

Thank you for your inquiry on transportation impacts related to the proposed development at 120-128 East 14th Street. I am responding on behalf of the Transportation Planning division, who have assisted with compiling the below response.

**Thank you for your reply on behalf of the Transportation Planning division. Is it a standard practice that other divisions use you as their conduit?**

The development aligns with the City’s Mobility Strategy, particularly within Strategy 8. Specifically:

1. The development is in a location well-served by frequent transit; and
2. The development is in close proximity to a range of services and amenities that can be reached by sustainable mobility choices.

**The above is understandable from an on-paper, strategy perspective, but still not address reality or the density question that was posed. Does the city have an example of a similarly populated, one block, one-way street, that ends with two major institutions where there has not been major issues?**

Furthermore, the development’s transportation study indicates that the existing network can accommodate additional vehicle trips. The developer is committed to providing a range of transportation demand management measures, including additional bike storage for residents and visitors, and stalls equipped for electric bike charging stations to encourage use of sustainable mobility choices. All intersections reviewed as part of the transportation study are expected to operate with an acceptable level of service, and the signal at East 14th Street and St Georges Avenue will be upgraded at the applicants expense for improved safety.

**Again this may look good on paper but reality will be a much different thing. Are you able to point us to the transportation study and let us know what comps were used as proof this proposal is viable?**

As mentioned the other day, there will be further opportunities for public input once the project is referred to Mayor and Council for consideration. Specifically, there will be a public notice sent out to the community, which includes: a sign established on the site; a mail-out to nearby residents; and two advertisements in two separate publications of a local newspaper. During the public input period, you will be able to submit comments regarding the development, which will be sent directly to Mayor and Council for consideration. You will also have an opportunity to speak to the proposed development at a future Council meeting, if desired.

**This is great. Thank you for reiterating there will be visibility to the public on this initiative. Considering the developer’s substantial donations to the Mayor and the conflict of interest that could arise from that, total transparency will be extremely important.**

At this point in time, I do not have a clear understanding of when this project will be presented to Mayor and Council, however I would encourage you to keep an eye on the project website for further updates.
We will do that. Thank you.

Please feel free to reach out to me should you have any further questions in relation this matter.

Thank you for your ongoing responsiveness. Have a great long weekend.

Matthew Menzel | Planner 2
Planning & Development
T 604 982 8337 | C 778 866 3103

City of North Vancouver
141 W 14th Street, North Vancouver, BC | V7M 1H9

From: Richard Short
Sent: August-02-23 9:38 AM
To: Transportation; DevelopmentEngineering
Subject: Fwd: 120 14th St E

Thank you. To be clear I am not against development on that site. My concern is how an already overstressed, one block, one-way street, that feeds the RCMP detachment and hospital, can absorb the major expansion of homes that has been determined. Is there any other street configured/populated like this in North Vancouver (or the Lower Mainland) that has proven successful with the level of congestion that will occur?

I look toward to hearing back from Development Services.

Regards,

Richard Short

On Aug 2, 2023, at 8:53 AM, Transportation wrote:

Hi Richard,

Thank you very much for contacting the City of North Vancouver. Please note that your email has been forwarded to our Development Services for their review and response.

If you would like to follow up with them, please contact the team at developmentengineering@cnv.org.

Thanks,

Traffic Engineering Section | City of North Vancouver
Engineering, Parks & Environment
E: transportation@cnv.org

141 W 14th Street, North Vancouver, BC | V7M 1H9

From: Richard Short
Sent: August-02-23 7:13 AM
To: Transportation  
Subject: Fwd: 120 14th St E

Hi there,

Per the below email string, I spoke with Matthew Menzel. He informed me the Transportation department had signed off on the additional congestion on 14th St E that will come from the proposed development. Can you please let me know who oversaw that decision?

Regards,

Richard Short

Begin forwarded message:

From: Richard Short  
Date: July 28, 2023 at 7:26:45 AM PDT  
To: Planning@cnv.org  
Subject: Re: 120 14th St E

My apology. I just noticed Sara had sent me a reply with a couple links for feedback. However it did not specifically address the large development that will be a disaster on 14th. Can Matthew Menzel, the planning lead, please let me know when he can speak?

Regards,

Richard Short

On Jul 28, 2023, at 7:21 AM, Richard Short wrote:

Hi again,

I am following up on the below. Can somebody please get back to me?

Regards,

Richard Short

On Jul 20, 2023, at 9:24 AM, Richard Short wrote:

Hi there,

After 5 months living in and loving North Vancouver (I purchased the SW facing view penthouse at CentreView) I was shocked to find out the city was considering another tower development along 14th St. There are major problems already due to the complex I live in stressing the street for parking and deliveries which has already led to safety concerns. Taking into account there is no nearby green space which has resulted in nearby residents using our street as bathroom for their dogs and the fact 14th is an artery for the RCMP and Hospital can somebody please explain how this application has been not already been squashed?

Regards,

Richard Short  
East 14th Street  
North Vancouver, BC
Dear Mayor and Council,

I wish to express my opposition to the proposed design of the development at 120 East 14th Street.

The 100 block of East 14th Street is a unique single-lane, one-way street featuring 4 residential towers, various businesses, a daycare, and terminating at the RCMP detachment and Lions Gate Hospital. The alleys between 14th and 15th Streets, designated as the entrance for the new tower, already serve 5 high-rises and numerous businesses, including those on Lonsdale.

The Integrated Transportation Committee expressed their concern about the increased congestion in the laneway and Central Lonsdale in general. While the Planning Department report states that the committee’s other concerns were addressed by the developer, it gives no indication that the congestion concerns were remedied.

The current road network is already visibly struggling with vehicle traffic. This congestion is evident at present density levels, with frequent traffic backups and scarce parking. Commercial and home delivery vehicles frequently resort to illegal parking to conduct their services.

The new development's provision of fewer parking spaces than the zoning bylaws require for residents, visitors, and commercial units is likely to aggravate the existing problem.

The consultant at the developer's information session claimed that the lanes could accommodate two vehicles, which he suggested was evidence of adequate traffic flow. However, this model overlooks the actual dynamics and behavior in the laneways, such as the obstruction caused by large parked commercial trucks, the blockage of two-way traffic by waste disposal trucks servicing adjacent buildings, and the difficulty large trucks face when attempting to turn northbound from a westbound direction in the laneway, often requiring them to reverse twice. I have provided several photographs as evidence of the true traffic conditions in the lane and can provide documentation of similar congestion every day.
Garbage disposal trucks blocking "2-way" traffic during pickup

Multiple commercial vehicles (no drivers in vehicles) completely blocking laneway
The developer boasted on their website that they were able to more than double the FSR for the site. Indeed, such a claim is noteworthy as it is excessively high. For comparison, Centreview across the street has an FSR of 4.9. Millennium, on the 4-lane 13th Street, underwent several redesigns to reduce its FSR to 4.95. And Elle, situated on 15th, another major road, was limited to an FSR of 7.05. It seems illogical, then, to position a building with an FSR of 9.98, which is 41% more than Elle’s, on a single-lane street already experiencing congestion issues.

(As a side note, why was the value of the density transfer to this development only $195 per square foot while it was $250 per square foot for the Elle project? Is the City getting fair value for this transfer?)

East 14th Street was rejected as the entrance for the parking lot for Centreview. Surely the arguments that led to that decision are even more relevant now.

The development will also, in effect, "orphan" the aging building at 132 East 14th. When that site gets redeveloped, area residents will have to endure another round of construction. Why wasn't consideration given to having the three buildings all included in the current development plans? This would have made it possible to reposition the building and have a more manageable FSR spread across the 3 lots.

While I acknowledge the city’s aim to enhance housing availability and I support sensible development, we must build responsibly to preserve a livable city. I must record my concern that the proposed density is too substantial for this location. It will diminish the quality of life for existing residents, negatively affect visitors and local businesses, and should not be approved in its current form.

Thank you for your consideration.

Garry Nishimura
East 14th Street
North Vancouver, BC
Mayor Buchanan and Council;

My name is Faye Lim and I live at E. 14th St.

I am writing to you to express my deep concerns regarding the proposed high density of the new development at 120-128 E. 14th St.

I am not objecting to new development but am very opposed to the scale of density that is being proposed.

Our one block one way street cannot manage an increased population of this magnitude. Already with no new development the increased traffic and parking is barely manageable and we do not have the infrastructure to support a 21 storey tower.

The original approval of a 6 storey building is feasible. This street is not the area for such a high density project.

I strongly urge you not to support the proposed higher density plan and consider the long term effect on our neighbourhood if this proposal is granted.

Please reject the rezoning of this project.

Thank you.

Faye Lim
East 14th Street
North Vancouver, BC
Dear Mayor Buchanan and NV city counsellors,

As a resident of the city of Vancouver, I am concerned by how the city has been excessively growing without regard to its constituents’ quality of life. I support the city’s desire to address the housing crisis in the province and approving the initial 6-storey building proposed by Three Shores. However, the proposed 21-storey building along a very narrow street that is an artery to the city’s important service providers like Lions Gate Hospital, RCMP and childcare, will only exacerbate the problem that the residents have already been experiencing. I am sure most of you have observed and experienced the traffic congestion as well as the lack of parking on 14th street. I also believe that the proposed 21-storey building will not solve the housing crisis because only people with millions of dollars can afford to purchase a unit. The rich foreign investors, which are mostly from overseas, will again benefit from this development.

I am hoping the mayor, counsellors and its civil servants will hear their constituents who put them in power.

Sincerely,

Estela Frias  
East 14th Street  
North Vancouver, BC
Dear Mayor & Council Members,

I have decided to send my written input, given public hearings are no longer allowed. I am a resident living at East 14th Street & I have also grown up living in North Vancouver for my entire life and have seen the ever changing city throughout the years.

Across the way is a proposed development site at 120-128 East 14th street per the Official Community Plan supporting a certain degree of height and density.

On the development site, 4.0 FSR means approximately 132 new residents and their vehicles entering the neighborhood. There are alternatives that fall within the FSR guidelines of the OCP.

For this site to support Three Shores' proposed 21-story tower (with double the main floor aka 22 floors) meaning more residents and vehicles, it will also then require an increased density/FSR approx. 9.98 FSR. This is 2.5x greater than the plan states. This is already on a one way street that ends with our RCMP & Lions Gate Hospital entrances, exits, and loading bays.

The week of April 22nd I received a notice of proposed rezoning Amendment Bylaw in the mail. It is clear that a Zoning Amendment must occur to support the 21-story, 164 strata units development.

Three Shores' proposed development requires this bylaw change, without this revised zoning, the developer will need to rethink and come up with a more appropriate vision for this site.

I ask that this proposal can be scaled-down and consider the well-being and interests of our community.

Thank you for your consideration.

Mia Kaye
East 14th Street
North Vancouver, BC
Hi,

I’m the resident of centerview, we are truly worry about the new project near our community. We won’t allow the new noise and affect our environment nearby.

Please reconsider your decision and listen our voice, please.

Thank you

Kevin Liu
East 14th Street
North Vancouver
To whom it may concern,

I am an owner of a unit in the Centerview Condos on 13th Street and Lonsdale.

The area around 13th, 14th, 15th and other streets have gotten so busy, so populated, densified, noisy etc.

I bought knowing that there was a fire department, hospital and police station around, but all this new construction is taking away the beautiful views and changing our landscape to an unfavorable one.

There is minimal parking as is.

I know this is all about profit and money and how much developers can make, but we’re taking the beauty out of the North Shore by polluting it with high rises everywhere.

I've lived on the North Shore almost my entire life. I support change, but the North Shore is looking very different and not necessarily for the better.

Please reconsider the zoning of such a tall building.

Thank-you for your time and consideration

Monika Seno
East 13th Street
North Vancouver, BC
I am very concerned about the proposal to build another big tower in this very busy sector. I live in the area and find that it is becoming very congested with so many high rises. Emergency response, Fire Department, RCMP and Lions Gate Hospital as well as local traffic use East 14th and the current number of residents and businesses create a major impact on the ability to get around. I think it would be best to disallow this size of building to support the density currently in the area.

I hope you reconsider this matter. Thank you.

Janice Davies
East 13th Street
North Vancouver, BC
From: Paula Phillips  
Sent: April-29-24 1:15 PM  
To: Peter DeJong  
Subject: Concern 120-128 East 14th Street

Good Day

The only concern I have is the difficulty for pickups and emergency services.

Taxis cannot stop on 13th Building A so when 14th has construction, how will services pick us up, it’s bad already.

I do believe taxis should be able to pick up folk from Building A, especially when this New Building gets started.

I have no objections otherwise. We need housing.

It’s progress.

Thank You kindly

Paula Phillips  
East 13th Street  
North Vancouver, BC
Dear Mayor and Esteemed City Council Members,

I hope this email finds you well. I am writing to express my deep concern regarding the proposed Zoning Amendment Bylaw for the property located at 120-128 East 14th Street in North Vancouver. As a resident of this vibrant community, I believe it is crucial to consider the long-term impact of any development on our neighborhood.

The proposed 21-storey tower, if approved, would significantly alter the character of our area. While I understand the need for growth and development, it is essential to strike a balance that respects the existing community fabric and addresses the concerns raised by residents.

Here are my specific concerns:

1. **Density**: The proposed density exceeds what was outlined in the Official Community Plan (OCP). Such a substantial increase in density could strain our already crowded neighborhood. We must prioritize the well-being of our residents and ensure that any development aligns with the community’s needs.

2. **Traffic Congestion**: Our streets are already experiencing heavy traffic due to increased population. Adding more density without adequate transportation infrastructure could exacerbate congestion, impacting both residents and commuters. We need thoughtful planning to mitigate traffic challenges.

3. **Emergency Services**: The rapid population growth resulting from this development may strain emergency services such as ambulances and firefighting capabilities. We must consider the safety and well-being of our community members.

Given these concerns, I kindly request that you reconsider the proposed density outlined in the Zoning Amendment Bylaw. Let us prioritize sustainable growth that enhances our community rather than overwhelms it. I urge you to engage in a transparent dialogue with residents and provide an opportunity for public input before making a final decision.

As a concerned citizen, I appreciate your dedication to serving our community. I trust that you will carefully weigh the impact of this development on our neighborhood and make decisions that reflect the best interests of North Vancouver residents.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I look forward to hearing from you soon.

Sincerely,

Farshid Tafazoli
East 14th Street
North Vancouver, BC
From: Deniz Saadat  
Sent: April-29-24 3:26 PM  
To: Submissions  
Subject: 120-128 East 14th St

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am Deniz Saadat Gharin, the owner of a property located at East 14th Street, and I am writing to express my strong disagreement regarding the proposed rezoning of the building situated at 120-128 East 14th St.

As you may know, the street is already narrow and busy, with a hospital and police station located nearby. Additionally, the area has limited parking spaces, and the existing businesses already struggle to accommodate their visitors. Adding a 21-story building to this neighborhood would only exacerbate the problem, and it would make it extremely difficult for residents and visitors alike to find a parking space.

Therefore, I strongly oppose the rezoning of this building and urge the city and bylaw officials to consider the community’s peace and comfort when making their decision. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Deniz Saadat Gharin  
East 14th Street  
North Vancouver, BC
I am emailing in opposition to your vote on May 6th to proceed with a rezoning of a property on 14th St. E. that will negatively impact our neighbourhood, and harm many of our neighbours.

The residents of North Vancouver are not going to put up with this type of behaviour. I expect you to vote against this clearly outrageous proposal. It is beyond comprehension that you would proceed without a public hearing - I know the NDP brought in legislation not requiring public hearings but as residents, we demand that you, as our local, elected officials, do the right thing.
Per Image 2, a Zoning Amendment must occur to support the proposed 21-story, 164 strata unit, development. Three Shores’ proposed development requires this bylaw change. Without this revised zoning, the developer will have to back to the drawing board and come up with something more appropriate for the site. This is most likely our last chance stop this absurd proposal from irreparably damaging our neighbourhood.

Maya Lange
North Vancouver, BC
On Monday May 6th the mayor and city council will vote, WITHOUT a public hearing being held, on rezoning a property on 14th St. E. that will negatively impact our neighbourhood, and harm many of our neighbors.

The residents of North Vancouver are not going to put up with this type of behaviour and expect the council to vote against this rezoning abuse.

There should be no closed-door voting/meetings about things like this or other plans that can impact our neighborhood.

We should be able to have a public vote that is easily accessible.

Harley Francik
North Vancouver, BC
Living in Centreview on East 14th Street it is more than troubling to find that a 21 storey building might go up across the street from us. Traffic in our block is already problematic with the businesses there and the RCMP. Large trucks go in and out all day long.

We are very much opposed to this new development and hope you will consider our thoughts in the matter.

Respectfully submitted

Walter and Kirsten Thoma
East 14th Street
North Vancouver, BC
Good Morning Mayor Buchanan and Councillors,

After a weekend of experiencing (once again) the challenges of the congestion on 14th street, almost being run over by an impatient/frustrated driver, and hearing anxieties, not only from residents of the immediate neighbourhood but those from other areas of the North Shore, I feel compelled, more than ever, to express my deep concerns regarding the rezoning of the property located at 120-128 East 14th Street and to encourage you to VOTE “NO” to the proposed zoning amendment.

My concerns are that the application is not in keeping with the OCP, inconsistent with surrounding developments, offers no community benefit and the infrastructure cannot sustain such an increase in density while respecting the safety and well being of the residents and constituents.

More specifically:

- **This Proposal requires an OCP Amendment**: The "application" is described as a Zoning Bylaw Amendment to create a CD Zone that would permit the proposed development. The current OCP designations for the site is Mixed Use 4B (High density) which would allow for a max FSR of 3.00 plus and additional bonus of 1.0 if the project presents a benefit to the community (which by now it should be apparent it does not). The application as it is at the moment proposes a 9.98 for the site. This is totally inconsistent with anything else in the area, 300% greater than the allowable FSR in the OCP and with no apparent community benefit. Allowing an FSR so high on this site upends all the hard work that was put into developing the OCP in the first place.
• **Traffic and Congestion**: I have the same concerns as those raised by Mayor Buchan in her interview, last week: "infrastructure, traffic congestion, road network, goods movement".
  
o 14th Street is a single lane, narrow, one way street that acts as a conduit to essential services such as the hospital and RCMP detachment.
  
o The City previously rejected the parking entrance for Centreview be located on 14th street -how could it make sense for the tiny street to sustain the increased traffic now when it wasn’t deemed able to do so pre-CentreView construction?
  
o The traffic study that that was provided by the Planning Department is outdated and the conclusions drawn by the consultant are unrealistic. It was done in the midst of COVID when there was limited traffic in circulation and prior to the increased traffic due to the hospital extension. Additionally, it is using software that models situations completely ignoring real-life activity. It does not take into account behaviour in the laneways which include large parked commercial trucks reducing flow, 2-way traffic completely blocked by waste disposal trucks loading from adjacent buildings, large trucks unable to turn northbound while travelling westbound in the laneway without backing up twice, etc. I challenge you to monitor activity here for a day to see the congestion already present at current density levels.

• **Parking Requirements**: The current Public Transport hours of operation, routes and infrastructure to not support car free living on the North Shore. In contrast to the 1.25 parking stall allocation at CentreView this development proposed less that one stall per vehicle.

• **Considerations for adjacent buildings**: With the current proposal, it would appear that 132 East 14th Street could not be used for any future development that would comply with the OCP- why would you facilitate such a detrimental impact on a neighbouring property?

I encourage you to consider the long-term impacts of this development, which is not reasonable nor responsible.

I strongly urge you to reject this rezoning, not support "bending the rules" of the OCP and support adherence to the 3 FSR limit (maybe +1.0).

I am hopeful that the Council will decide against the proposed rezoning in favor of a solution that benefits the entire community.

Thank you for your consideration of these pivotal issues

Kind Regards

Gianrita Celotti
East 14th Street
North Vancouver, BC
I am writing to express my concern for this proposed development at 120-128 East 14th Street.

Building a 22 storey building on an already busy small single lane street that ends at the north shores only hospital is at best short sighted and in reality a potential disaster.

Small businesses, current residents and the whole neighbourhood will be adversely affected by this.

This street is already overloaded with traffic, pedestrians and commercial activities.

RCMP will have even more trouble getting in and out of their building to do their jobs and keep us safe. Fire trucks will be hampered, and ambulances trying to get to the hospital to save lives will also have even more difficulty.

Any more congestion here around the hospital, fire department and police department is a bad and unsafe idea. We also feel this applies to any other future plans on corner of Lonsdale and 14th Street.

We are dense enough.

Kind regards

Brad and Connie Doerksen
East 14th Street
North Vancouver, BC
Hi

My name is Amir Sabour residence of 120-128 E 14th St N Van.

I'm afraid I have to disagree with this project because it will impact our neighborhood with many problems such as Traffic, Noise, and the area's density. The bigger problem we have is that we only have 2 bridges for transportation from Northshore to Vancouver and no one is talking about that.

The question is why?

Thanks

Amir Sabour
East 13th Street
North Vancouver, BC
Hello,

I am one of the resident in North Vancouver and live across what would be the new development. I would like to raise my concern and thoughts about what this new development would do to our already over populated and high traffic area. I understand that housing is needed for the city but we have not done enough to ensure adequate access to the area for the current residents. I would like to see what the city’s plans are for this project and how they plan to protect the North Vancouver residents.

The area is filled with small businesses that have been around for decades and will no doubt not be able to continue. As a British Columbian I have always been conscious in supporting our residents and help their businesses and it’s unfortunate to see the city come in and take that away.

Even if there is a fair payout for the owners, hundreds of workers will lose their jobs and ability to support themselves and families which will only add to our economic situation.

Please make sure that this is thoughtfully implemented and not just another development company that will benefit.

I look forward to seeing positive outcomes.

Regards,

Dalia A.
East 14th Street
North Vancouver, BC
I seem to be spending a lot of time reading about and trying to understand the various development proposals going on within our City. In viewing the proposed Zoning Amendment, Bylaw no. 8960, 2024 120-128 East 14th Street... I have to comment that I am strongly opposed to allowing this major change in the OCP to allow the height of this project. The City is already in chaos, and even though the Province is demanding density, this can still be achieved by keeping the project within the OCP. We do not want to become Metrotown with the shiny towers abound. Please deny this proposal and keep within the OCP.

Thank you

Brian Wawzonek
East 6th Street
North Vancouver, BC
Do Not permit the development of this hi rise.
We already have the Millenium Development that has put us through misery, and along with
them, it will further add to the upcoming traffic chaos that is getting worse and worse in Central
North Vancouver.

Mike Jenkins
C. Jedrzycki
T. Jenkins
B. Jenkins
East 13th Street
North Vancouver, BC
Dear City of North Vancouver decision-makers,

By this time, you must be aware of the displeasure of a growing number of CNV residents opposed to the ill-conceived Three Shores' 21-storey tower that will be crammed in a tiny block along the already congested, narrow, one-way 14th St E, which city council has barred the public from making any references to in its council meeting on April 22nd, 2024, under the flimsy guise of 'provincial legislation', choosing to be oblivious to public sentiment.

Count me as another CNV resident who is dismayed by this unbridled development that undoubtedly will cast residents' and visitors' daily lives to a congestion bedlam. My wife and I chose to settle in this city by the mountains eight years ago, not only because of its character of being a haven across from Vancouver downtown, but also because of its sensible community development plan then, being naïve to the devices of a later city council that would wantonly play around with resident density ratios, without much regard for the well-being of existing residents, who, to jog the council’s memory, are to whom it is accountable to (not to building developers). A more sensible, balanced, scaled-down project can likewise address housing issues.

Thank you.

A disenchanted resident,
Dennis Frias
East 14th Street
North Vancouver, BC
Dear Members of the City Council,

I am writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed rezoning of 120-128 East 14th Street. As a resident of the area, I am deeply troubled by the potential implications this development could have on our community, particularly regarding the already narrow one-way street, and the critical access routes to our local hospital and police station.

The street in question is not equipped to handle the increased traffic that would inevitably result from the new development. This is not merely an inconvenience but a serious safety concern. In the event of an emergency, every second counts, and the additional congestion could impede the timely response of emergency services to the hospital or police station. Moreover, the character of our neighborhood is at stake.

I understand the need for development and appreciate the efforts to revitalize our city. However, it is imperative that such developments are in harmony with the existing infrastructure and community needs. I urge the council to consider the long-term impacts of this rezoning proposal on the accessibility of essential services and the quality of life for the residents.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I trust that you will make a decision that prioritizes the well-being and safety of our community.

Sincerely,

Khosrow Khosravian
East 14th Street
North Vancouver, BC
From: Brett HURST - ACIA  
Sent: April-30-24 3:32 PM  
To: Submissions; Mayor Linda Buchanan; Peter DeJong; CAO; Holly Back (Councillor); Don Bell (Councillor); Angela Girard (Councillor); Jessica McIlroy (Councillor); Shervin Shahriari (Councillor); Tony Valente (Councillor); Sean Galloway; Planning; gateway@cnv.org; Greg Schalk; Karyn Magnusson; Larry Sawrenko; Jonathan Wilkinson; media@conservativebc.ca; Bowinn Ma; Pierre Poilievre  
Cc: Arminaz S; Borja Alvarez Menendez - Concerned CentreView Owner; Ian Bedford; Lisa Cappi; Mauro Celotti; Bianca Charlwood; Tri Chiem; Claudine Courvoisier; Fonze; Brad and Connie; Eva Fleming; denfri; Estela Frias; Dr. Bgamini; Jaimi Gardner; Deb Hendol; Sasha Hougen; Harry Jarvis; Sue Knapp; Anne-Marie Lavallee; Faye Lim; Terry Marshall - Concerned CentreView Owner; Clau Martos; promeca; Ady Mejia; Alastair & Meagan Meikem; Jade & Jake Moore; Shayan M; Donna Nishimura; Garry Nishimura; Patti Tracey; Sandy Reid; Roberta Walker; Marlene Ruppet; Mehdi Raz; Dr. Safaripour; Aryan & Shema Saifhashemi; Samira S; mesands; Ischne; Richard Short; Bianca & Ryan Charlwood - Concerned CentreView Owners; Catherine Thomas; Meflem; Eizom; Saadat Shamsi; finance@african-eagle.com  
Subject: Objection to proposed By-Law zoning change - 120-128 14th East, Lonsdale - request a “Vote Against”

To: Mayor of City of North Vancouver & Councillors of the City of North Vancouver (CNV)

Hello Mayor Buchanan / Councillor Back / Councillor Bell / Councillor Girard / Councillor McIlroy 
Councillor Shahriari / Councillor Valente

I would like to respectfully request you to seriously consider rejecting and voting against the proposed rezoning change at 120-128 East 14th Street which would result in a 2.5x greater FSR than what has been previously approved in the Official Community Plan (OCP).

It is times like this that are crucial for your leadership, stewardship, accountability and guidance to either truly shine for the whole community you were elected to serve and approve what is reasonable and fair for the whole community as opposed to specific targeted contractors and/or developers whose objective is certainly not community based nor in the interest of so called “affordable housing” no matter how they try and dress it up, but simply profit for its immediate shareholders and associates - a decision on this bylaw rezoning will have far reaching consequences for the whole of North Vancouver and frankly wider North Shore. Setting a precedent such as approving this proposed rezoning bylaw change resulting in an exorbitant FSR of up to 9.98 is just not right.

As I have said in previous communications, one understands that North Vancouver (and wide BC/Canada) is in a clear population and property trap with a shortage of “affordable housing” and hence I am not concerned with the construction of the originally approved existing official OCP 6-stor tower 3+1 FSR, as fair and reasonable and common sense. I ask the question as to why the CNV has
not offered other developers and sites along the whole of Lonsdale/St Georges/wider CNV etc to increase the new builds from a standard FSR to increase theirs to say up to 25-33% greater FSR as a general offer and not linked to the so called “density-transfer” loophole which is not transparent in the slightest – if affordable housing was really the issue, surely this would be a logical approach rather than isolated plots of land, all approved behind closed doors with no transparency and large sums of money being paid to the CNV? I am sure this would have tremendous support from the whole community if shared in a transparent manner.

Has the CNV also considered that the “orphaned old building” remaining between 130-134 E 14th Street could or should be taken into account at the same time as any Bylaw Rezoning change so that the whole section of 120-134 E 14th Street could be updated to allow say a 6-9 story building with an additional CNV sanctioned discretionary uplift on the current approved 3+1 FSR to say a maximum of 5 FSR (similar to the Omni/Millenium approvals) which would ensure the construction on this E14th Street is done once, and we are not having a repeat of this scenario in 5-10 years time when someone wants to “develop” the “orphaned building plot”? Also allowing an increase of 25%-33% to the FSR would in itself be a huge uplift to all developers and actually contribute more to the so called “affordable housing” shortage.

I understand that this proposed bylaw rezoning change and the 2.5x FSR upliftment is linked to a density transfer from the Harry Jerome area to 14th St E, resulting in a “undisclosed calculation” increase of the FSR, but it is unbelievable and very disturbing that the CNV cannot see that this 14th Street block cannot realistically cope with such a large development on such a small footprint on this small single lane one-way street that already has four large towers, multiple businesses, a vital child care centre, the RCMP detachment (who already have parking shortages and frequently use 15-minute drop off public parking by the hospital way in excess of the time limit [with no fines] to the detriment of actual sick patients/customers), and the major hospital at the end of the street which also has tremendous parking shortages for the aged and sick who actually need the ease of parking etc.

In addition to the above, there are many other points which are well known to the wider community which we believe the CNV is not taking into account such as no updated transport traffic study, the pressures on the infrastructure, obvious traffic congestion and poor road network to cope with increased population and construction and general goods movement, and the apparent total lack of specific and active engagement from the 14th St East RCMP and Lions Gate Hospital for the direct impact of this bylaw rezoning proposal and anticipated future development/s.

Please genuinely give this bylaw rezoning change tremendous consideration and vote against it for the good of the whole of the North Shore. It would be better to take more time and consider the options suggested above that to rush this through. Please!

Thank you.

Yours sincerely

Mr. Brett Hurst
East 14th Street
North Vancouver, BC
May 1, 2024

From
Sedik Ovanessain  E 14th St.
Vic Jang  E 14th St.

Our concern is the density.

The alley between 14th St and 15th Street is a huge problem ...Major congestion from delivery trucks every day. This alley is occupied by delivery trucks with no where to park.

Residence at 108 E 14th Street and customers who access the TD Parking from 14th and 15th St. have trouble getting through because these delivery trucks are blocking the alley. (Garbage trucks and local service trucks are blocked)

The City of North Vancouver should send someone out during the week to view this issue.

Also you are aware that East 14th Street is a ONE WAY Street. Every day some one is driving down the wrong way... an accident waiting to happen.
From: Mauro Celotti  
Sent: April-30-24 6:17 PM  
To: Mayor Linda Buchanan; Linda Buchanan (Mayor); Leanne McCarthy; CAO; Holly Back (Councillor); Don Bell (Councillor); Angela Girard (Councillor); Jessica McLroy (Councillor); Tony Valente (Councillor); Matthew Menzel; Rupinder Basi; Planning; Submissions; Peter DeJong; Sean Galloway; gateway@cnv.org; Greg Schalk; Karyn Magnusson; Larry Sawrenko  
Cc: arminaz s; Borja Alvarez Menendez - Concerned CentreView Owner; Ian Bedford; Brett Hurst; Lisa Cappi; Bianca Charlwood; Tri Chiem; Claudine Courvoisier; Fonze; Gianrita Celotti; Brad and Connie; Eva Fleming; denfri; Estela Frias; Dr. Bgaminsi Jaimi Gardner; Deb Hendol; Sasha Houg; Harry Jarvis; Sue Knapp; Anne-Marie Lavallee; Faye Lim; Terry Marshall - Concerned CentreView Owner; Cau Martos; promeca; Ady Mejia; Alastair & Meagan Meikem; Jade & Jake Moore; Shayan M; Donna Nishimura; Garry Nishimura; Patti Tracey; Sandy Reid; Roberta Walker; Marlene Rupert; Mehdi Raz; Dr. Safaripour; Aryan & Shema Saifhashemi; Samira S; mesands; Ischn; Richard Short; Bianca & Ryan Charlwood - Concerned CentreView Owners; Catherine Thomas; Meflem; elzom; Saadat Shamsi; Roxy Mcgregor  

Subject: Vote "NO" to the proposed zoning amendment bylaw No. 8960, 2024

Dear Mayor and Council,

I am writing to impress on you my deep concern about the proposed rezoning at 120-128 East 14th Street and to strongly encourage you to vote against the proposed Bylaw amendment.

The density proposed is 2.5x greater than what has been part of the Official Community Plan (OCP). This will have a major impact on the neighbourhood.

Additionally, to suggest this does not impact the overall OCP for the City is a cop out.

Being retired and getting older, North Vancouver appealed to me. I wanted to be close to amenities, stores and the hospital without being stuck in the concrete jungle of Downtown.

I was encouraged by the robust planning outlined in the OCP. Now I’m feeling betrayed and disillusioned.

It makes no sense to me how you could possibly entertain this. Help me understand how you can allow a transfer of density from an area, not remotely connected to the location in question, a transfer which makes a mockery of the OCP, should not be allowed to occur without an OCP amendment and which will destroy the very fabric of the neighbourhood it is being transferred to.

You might chose to hide behind the Provinces ludicrous suggestions to increase housing and set housing targets (which in itself is insane) without providing support or funding for the City to build the infrastructure to support it. Consider who your “customers are”, who the folks who have entrusted you
to make sound decision on their behalf are. If the Province is making bad, politically driven blanket decision without due diligence, should you stoop to those standards at the expense of the well being of your own constituents?

Increasing the density on this tiny one way one lane street will make it unsafe for its residents, little children being dropped off at daycare and have a negative impact on the efficient operation of the RCMP and ambulance trying to access the hospital.

To believe that the Traffic study, provided by the Planning Department, is an accurate representation of “real life” on this street and the lanes that surround it is delusional. It was conducted during COVID, prior to the opening of the Lions Gate Hospital expansion and does not account for this increased volume.

To tout this development as “affordable housing “ or suggest that it would in any way alleviate the housing crisis is a joke. A mortgage on a million dollar home will cost about $7k per month. Please identify, which residents, who are seeking affordable housing can afford this?

Why not consider supporting and encouraging the redevelopment of numerous old 2-4 storey building. By doubling the height of these and marginally reducing the unit sizes would more than double the current inventory.

Thank you for reading my concerns.

I suggest that land use for this site should not exceed 3 FSR as originally noted in the OCP.

I strongly urge you to reject this rezoning proposal. **Vote “NO”!**

Thank you

Mauro Celotti
Central Lonsdale Resident
North Vancouver, BC
Dear Mayor and Council

As a resident of East 14 street on central Lonsdale, I find it very troubling on the proposal rezoning of 120-128 East 14 street. This an already congested area as it is!

With this project on a one way street, how does the city and developer plan to take more space away from this very narrow street? Just where are all the residents going to go for not only living but parking?

I do not in my opinion believe this proposed plan has been thought through with great thought and diligence.

Thank you for reading my concerns.

Iain McGregor
East 14th Street
North Vancouver, BC
I would have preferred to state my concerns about this development proposal directly to Council but it appears that the Mayor and Council have opted for obviating their responsibility to the residents of the City by hiding behind Provincial legislation. Likely legal, but not ethical, nor principled.

I add my concerns regarding this proposal to the many you have already heard: however, I include some that may not have been addressed previously. I am a resident of East 14th and directly affected by this development proposal.

My concerns are as follows:

1) The planner’s claim that East 14th can accommodate the traffic generated by the development (192 vehicles, 258 bicycles) is laughable. Any casual observation would note that East 14th cannot handle existing traffic load. Playing around with the lights at St. Georges or Lonsdale as they intersect East 14th will not diminish the overload that presently exists. There are existing concerns regarding emergency access to the residents and businesses on East 14th as it is without adding another 192 vehicles.

2) The City seems to have opted for transferring density to obtain a $18 million buyout from the developer. The legality of this transfer is subject to analysis. That said, part of the discussion in the Council's deliberations need to include how this $18 million will be spent. The central Lonsdale corridor is bereft of green space with the small park between Centreview and the RCMP building being little more than a dog park and dog urinal (despite signage). Where is the green space for children to play, for seniors to enjoy nature, etc.? The current development proposal offers nothing in this regard and for this alone, the proposal should be rejected. Other than the $18 million to the City, the proposal offers nothing directly to City residents in terms of meaningful amenities such as play/green space. Bike charging stations cannot be considered a public amenity given how few residents use this as a transportation option.

3) Construction Phase - many issues here! Casual observations of the build outs of the Millennium project on 13th (which we have endured for years!) and Elle on 15th and the one at 17th and Lonsdale show that it is unlikely that the current proposal could be built without closures of East 14th. Closures are, in fact, more likely in that East 14th is a single lane, unlike East 13th, etc. Any closure that compromises 24/7 emergency access (police, fire, ambulance, etc.) to the residents and businesses of East 14th should be seen as unacceptable and sufficient reason to nullify the development proposal. I question the City's liability if it approves a development that causes compromised emergency service that results in or exacerbates personal injury or property loss.

4) We have a grandchild attending the Centreview daycare, which is directly opposite the proposed construction site. We are legitimately concerned regarding the noise, dust and fume pollution that our grandchild and her peers will be exposed to during the construction phase. Can these environmental assaults be truly mitigated? Likely not. Access to the daycare for pickup and dropoff will also be compromised. How is this concern being addressed? It is a fact that a preponderance of
construction workers smoke which becomes a further environmental risk (e.g. second hand smoke - see Health Canada) for children and residents.

5) You have probably heard about the economic impact on businesses on East 14th from these businesses. We share their concerns given our observations of the businesses in Vancouver that suffered, and continue to suffer, economically from build outs of the Canada Line and the Broadway corridor line. How does the developer address the inevitable economic losses of these businesses? Without a specific plan in this regard the proposal should be rejected.

6) Developers are quick to join the 'housing crisis' bandwagon that sees local councils throughout BC falling victim to its siren call. Logically, there is not a housing crisis, but there is an affordability crisis. Given international evidence it is not possible to build out of an affordability crisis. The most dense cities in the world are also the most expensive. Given this, is there a need for another 194 housing units in central Lonsdale? This question is pertinent given the sales history and lack of buy out of the Millenium, Elle and 17th Street developments.

We are hopeful that Council will listen and understand the legitimate concerns and issues regarding the East 14th development proposal and decide to reject the East 14th development proposal as it is currently proposed. That said, we also sadly expect that the current Council will be 'suckered' by the $18 million buyout and will turn a blind eye to the legitimate reasons to reject the proposal.

Regards

Jeff and Susan Ballou
East 14th Street
North Vancouver, BC
Notice of Proposed Zoning Amendment
Bylaw - No Public Hearing
Zoning Amendment Bylaw No. 8960, 2024
120-128 East 14th Street

Purpose: The purpose of the proposed Bylaw is to rezone the subject property from a Central Lonsdale Mixed Use B (C-1B) Zone to a Comprehensive Development 760 (CD-760) Zone to permit the development of a 21-storey, residential (164 strata units) and commercial (retail and office) mixed-use building.

Subject Lands: The lands that are the subject of the proposed Bylaw are shown on the inset map, with a civic address of 120-128 East 14th Street.
Legal Description: Lot 9, Block 50, DL 549, Plan 5938
Lot 10, Block 50, DL 549, Plan 5938

Bylaw Readings: Consideration of first, second and third readings of the proposed Bylaw will be at the Regular Council Meeting on May 6, 2024.

Access Documents: A copy of the proposed Bylaw is available for inspection online anytime at cnv.org/PublicNotices from April 24 to May 6, 2024.

Provide input: Written submissions only, including your name and address, may be addressed to the Corporate Officer and sent by email to input@cnv.org, or by mail or delivered to City Hall, no later than noon on Monday, May 6, 2024, to ensure availability to Council at the meeting. No Public Hearing will be held, as it is prohibited by section 464(3) of the Local Government Act. No public in-person or online submissions on this matter will be heard at the Council meeting.

Watch the Meeting: Online at cnv.org/LiveStreaming or in person at City Hall, 141 West 14th Street. Enter City Hall from 13th Street after 5:30pm.

Questions? Matthew Menzel, Planner, planning@cnv.org / 604-982-9675
THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF NORTH VANCOUVER

BYLAW NO. 8960

A Bylaw to amend “Zoning Bylaw, 1995, No. 6700”

The Council of The Corporation of the City of North Vancouver, in open meeting assembled, enacts as follows:

1. This Bylaw shall be known and cited for all purposes as “Zoning Bylaw, 1995, No. 6700, Amendment Bylaw, 2024, No. 8960” (Three Shores Management, 120-128 East 14th Street, CD-760 (the Density Receiver Site)) and Text Amendment to Centennial Theatre, 2300 Lonsdale Avenue, 116 East 23rd Street, and Norseman Park (HJNL, CD-165).

2. Division VI: Zoning Map of Document “A” of “Zoning Bylaw, 1995, No. 6700” is hereby amended by reclassifying the following lands currently having a civic address of 120-128 East 14th Street and legally described below as henceforth being transferred, added to and forming part of CD-760 (Comprehensive Development 760 Zone):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PID: 005-773-024</th>
<th>LOT 9 OF LOT A BLOCK 50 DISTRICT LOT 549 PLAN 5938</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PID: 011-039-523</td>
<td>LOT 10 OF LOT A BLOCK 50 DISTRICT LOT 549 PLAN 5938</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

from zone C-1B.

3. Part 11 of Division V: Comprehensive Development Regulations of Document “A” of “Zoning Bylaw, 1995, No. 6700” is hereby amended by:

A. Adding the following Comprehensive Development Zone to Section 1101 in numerical order:

   CD-760 Comprehensive Development 760 Zone (120-128 East 14th Street)

   In the CD-760 Zone, permitted Uses, regulations for permitted Uses, regulations for the size, shape and siting of Buildings and Structures and required Off-Street Parking shall be as in the C-1B Zone, except that:

   (1) The permitted Principal Use on the Lot shall be limited to:

   (a) Retail Service Group 1A Use;
   (b) Retail Service Group 1 Use, except these uses are permitted only at the second storey or higher;
   (c) Health care related professional office uses are permitted at the ground level;
   (d) Accessory Apartment Use subject to Section 607(1) of this Bylaw;
   (e) Accessory Home Occupation Use, subject to Section 507(6);
   (f) Accessory Home Office Use, subject to Section 507(6);
   (g) Child Care Use, subject to Section 607(9);
   (h) Accessory Off-Street Loading Use;
   (i) Accessory Off-Street Parking Use;
   (j) Off-Site Parking Use.
(2) Gross Floor Area

(a) The maximum Gross Floor Area is 2.6 FSR;

(b) Not withstanding (2)(a) the Gross Floor Area may be increased as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ADDITIONAL (BONUS) DENSITY</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>ADDITIONAL DENSITY (BONUS)</th>
<th>POLICY REFERENCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community Benefit Cash Contribution</td>
<td>Provision of a Community Benefit Cash Contribution of $3,138,200</td>
<td>Maximum 2,040.8 sq. m. (21,967 sq. ft.) 1.4 FSR</td>
<td>As per OCP Policy Section 2.2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL BONUS 1.4 FSR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DENSITY TRANSFER</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>TRANSFERRED GROSS FLOOR AREA</th>
<th>POLICY REFERENCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transferred from Harry Jerome Lands (at 2300 Lonsdale Avenue and 116 East 23rd Street, Comprehensive Development Zone 165)</td>
<td>Residual density that is not to be utilized on donor site</td>
<td>8,710 sq. m. (93,754 sq. ft.) / 5.98 FSR</td>
<td>As per OCP Policy Section 2.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL TRANSFER 5.98 FSR

Such that the total effective on-site Gross Floor Area is not to exceed 9.98 FSR.

(3) A minimum of 10% of units shall have 3 bedrooms;

(4) Lot Coverage

Shall not exceed a Lot Coverage of 100%, reduced to 47% above the second Storey.

(5) Building Height:

(a) The Principal Building shall not exceed a Building Height of 68 metres (223 feet) as measured from the average Building Grades;

(b) Elevator and mechanical penthouses may project beyond the defined height in (a) by a maximum of 6.1 metres (20 feet) including elevator shafts and mechanical rooms;
(6) Section 610(5) Siting shall be waived and replaced with the following siting requirements:

(a) The Principal Building shall be sited not less than:

(i) 0 meters from the lane (Flanking Lane) to the west, for all portions of Principal Buildings up to two storeys;

(ii) Minimum of 3.048 metres (10 feet) from the lane (Flanking Lane) to the west, for all portions of Principal Buildings exceeding two storeys;

(iii) 0 meters from the rear boundary setback, for all portions of Principal Buildings up to two storeys;

(iv) 3.65 metres (12 feet) from a Rear Lot Line to the wall, for all portions of Principal Buildings exceeding two storeys;

(v) 2.14 metres (7 feet) from a Rear Lot Line to an unenclosed balcony, for all portions of Principal Buildings exceeding two storeys;

(vi) Notwithstanding Subsections (6)(a)(i-v) all portions of Principal Building exceeding four storeys shall be sited at least 24.4 metres (80 feet) from all portions of other Principal Buildings exceeding four Storeys.

(7) Section 612(6) Building Width and Length shall be waived;

(8) Section 402(6) within Prohibited Uses of Land, Buildings, and Structures shall be waived;

(9) Off-Street Parking, Short-Term and Secure Bicycle Parking, and Accessory Off-Street Loading Spaces shall be provided in conformity with the requirements of Division IV, Parts 9, 10, and 10A, except that:

(a) A minimum of 153 residential vehicle Parking Spaces shall be provided;

(b) A minimum of 13 residential visitor Parking Spaces shall be provided;

(c) A minimum of 15 commercial Parking Spaces shall be provided;

(d) 3 vehicle Parking Spaces shall be identified as shared residential visitor and commercial parking spaces;

(e) 47 resident bicycle parking spaces can be provided at the second level beneath grade.
B. Amending Section 1101, CD-165, Schedule 141, ‘Record of Density Transfer’, by:

(1) Creating a new entry recording 8,710 sq. m. of Transferred Gross Floor Area to the 120-128 East 14th Street recipient site and subtracting 8,710 sq. m. from the Remaining Residual Density.

READ a first time on the <> day of <>, 2024.

READ a second time on the <> day of <>, 2024.

READ a third time on the <> day of <>, 2024.

ADOPTED on the <> day of <>, 2024.

MAYOR

CORPORATE OFFICER
To: Mayor Linda Buchanan and Members of Council

From: Julie Peters, Manager, Legislative and Election Services
Amanda Gibbs, Senior Manager, Engagement and Communications

Subject: ALTERNATIVE APPROVAL PROCESS REGARDING NORTH SHORE NEIGHBOURHOOD HOUSE AND CITY PARKS LOAN AUTHORIZATION BYLAW, 2024, NO. 9006 – PETITION RESULTS

Date: April 24, 2024 File No: 09-4250-20-0008/1

The following is a suggested recommendation only. Refer to Council Minutes for adopted resolution.

RECOMMENDATION

PURSUANT to the report of the Manager, Legislative and Election Services, and the Senior Manager, Engagement and Communications, dated April 24, 2024, entitled “Alternative Approval Process Regarding North Shore Neighbourhood House and City Parks Loan Authorization Bylaw, 2024, No. 9006 – Petition Results”:

THAT the Acting Corporate Officer’s Certification for the Alternative Approval Process Opportunity regarding “North Shore Neighbourhood House and City Parks Loan Authorization Bylaw, 2024, No. 9006” be received and filed with the Inspector of Municipalities;

AND THAT “North Shore Neighbourhood House and City Parks Loan Authorization Bylaw, 2024, No. 9006” be considered for final adoption.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Acting Corporate Officer’s Certification (CityDocs 2501169)
2. Frequently Asked Questions (Updated) (CityDocs 2499756)
3. “North Shore Neighbourhood House and City Parks Loan Authorization Bylaw, 2024, No. 9006” (CityDocs 2452739)

Document Number: 2501169
SUMMARY

In order to enable the advancement of the North Shore Neighbourhood House ("NSNH") Phase 2 Redevelopment, including a new NSNH facility and 180 non-profit rental units, as well as two City parks (Kings Mill Walk Park and 1600 Eastern Park) to meet key objectives in the Parks Master Plan, Council endorsed, at the Regular Council Meeting of January 22, 2024, the acceleration of these projects through a financial strategy of borrowing $55.7 million in low interest debt financing from the Municipal Finance Authority (MFA). The statutory requirements and legislative processes necessary to implement this strategy have been followed by staff including, at Council’s direction, the use of the Alternative Approval Process (AAP) to meet the requirement for elector approval under the Community Charter.

BACKGROUND

At the Regular Council meeting of February 12, 2024, Council gave third reading to "North Shore Neighbourhood House and City Parks Loan Authorization Bylaw, 2024, No. 9006" (the Loan Authorization Bylaw). Staff subsequently obtained statutory approval for the Loan Authorization Bylaw from the Inspector of Municipalities and returned to Council on March 11, 2024, for direction to undertake an Alternative Approval Process to obtain elector approval of the Loan Authorization Bylaw.

Below is the timeline for the Loan Authorization Bylaw process:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Date/Timeline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loan Authorization Bylaw received third reading</td>
<td>February 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bylaw sent to Inspector of Municipalities</td>
<td>February 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approval received from the Inspector of Municipalities</td>
<td>February 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAP Initiation Report presented to Council</td>
<td>March 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAP Begins – First Notification Published and Elector Response Forms</td>
<td>March 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAP Concludes</td>
<td>April 22, 5:00pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAP Results and Loan Authorization Bylaw presented to Council for</td>
<td>May 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>consideration of adoption</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Month Quashing Period</td>
<td>May 7 – June 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bylaw sent to Inspector of Municipalities for a Certificate of</td>
<td>June 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approval</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As set out in the report of the Corporate Officer dated February 28, 2024, an AAP is a petition-like process whereby eligible electors can express their opposition by completing an Elector Response Form; if less than 10% of eligible electors oppose the bylaw, then the local government can proceed to adoption; if more than 10% oppose it, then the local government must proceed to Assent Voting (a referendum) or consider other options (i.e. alternative financing or not proceeding at all).
An AAP is an option used by local governments, including by the City on three recent occasions in respect of parks projects and by larger municipalities for loan authorization bylaws for projects such as recreation and activity centres.

**DISCUSSION**

This report presents the results of the opportunity to petition against the Loan Authorization Bylaw. It also entails a description of the various methods used to advertise the opportunity to the public and the efficacy of those methods. Feedback themes that emerged from the public and how they were addressed are also covered in the body of this report.

**Communication of the AAP with Electors**

The City of North Vancouver implemented a range of online, print and in-person strategies to notify electors of the Alternative Approval Process. The following provides an overview of the activities and strategies, and offers a qualitative analysis of the comments received online and in-person by staff.

**Approach**

The City of North Vancouver developed a mixed-model approach using a combination of trusted print, digital and in-person activities to reach electors. We worked with the analytics available through social media posts, digital advertising, verified print newspaper circulation numbers and the CNV website to quantify participation, as well as making staff available through a variety of non-digital methods to answer questions including having staff available on-call for those who dropped by City Hall to ask questions or express concerns. The level of promotion of the AAP process measurably exceeded the minimum requirements outlined in the Provincial guidelines.

**Key tools included:**

- A web page explaining the process, the projects, and with frequently asked questions updated weekly to reflect ongoing questions posed by the public;
- A commitment to responding to elector questions via email within 4-5 business days;
- Two drop-in information sessions – one for 5 hours on a weekday and one for fours hours on a weekend – inviting the public to ask questions of senior staff from the DCAO’s office, finance, planning and parks among others;
- Media outreach to try to gain coverage of the AAP process with several outlets printing stories on process;
- Five paid print advertisements in the North Shore News to reach those who may not participate online. Two of these were legislatively required;
- Online organic and paid advertising on CNV’s media channels and on Glacier Media publications to City of North Vancouver residents.

**Metrics**

- Number of individual feedback occurrences received (by phone, email, letter): 20
- Number of website visits for the AAP page including the News Release: 1880
- Two information sessions – March 27th (7 participants) and April 13th (22 participants): 29 participants in total.
- 21 organic social media posts on CNV X, Facebook and Instagram channels with:
  - Impressions (the number of times content appears on screen): 20,926
  - Reach: (the number of individual users reached): 18,262
- Inclusion in City News, CNV’s organizational newsletter x 5 weeks – subscription is 1047;
- Five print ads in North Shore News. Verified circulation numbers: North Shore News prints and circulates 57,000 copies weekly;
- Media coverage in the North Shore News print and digital editions, including a page featuring letters to the editor, as well as coverage on the Daily Hive website;
- Print posters set up in Harry Jerome and John Braithwaite Community Centres;
- Digital advertising on the front page of the digital edition of the North Shore News targeted only to people inside the City of North Vancouver for 12 days with 40,000 impressions; and
- City Hall table with materials and forms and staff available to answer questions on request.

Qualitative Analysis
In a qualitative analysis of input received (by phone, in-person, email, letter) and a summary of concerns and questions posed by participants in two information sessions, staff found the following key themes and sub themes raised by participants via email, phone and in-person during public input sessions at Council and two information sessions. Themes were analyzed using an inductive approach without commentary on the sentiment expressed.

- Process Concerns with AAP
  - Key themes regarding the process include:
    - Barriers to seniors and others who do not receive or read the North Shore News and/or do not have access to online media;
    - The duration of the response period was too brief;
    - Its partial timing during provincial spring break period may have prevented families from participating;
    - Not allowing electronic submissions of signed Elector Response Forms and the lack of convenience of printing, mailing or delivering forms to City Hall;
    - Generally with the AAP format of asking for those who oppose the bylaw to respond or a “reverse referendum”;
    - The belief that the size of the bylaw ask should have mandated a full referendum; and
    - The desire to have a mail-out to every household in the City.

- Financial Sustainability
  - The next most significant theme touched on financial strategy and borrowing including:
• Financing for the park projects should have been approved through the regular capital planning process;
• General commentary about increasing debt levels for the City of North Vancouver and the approach to debt financing sought through the AAP process including:
  - Correspondence and in-person commentary indicating a growing burden on taxpayers due to municipal and regional debt:
    - There were specific comments about the forthcoming increasing tax burden on residents due to the North Shore Wastewater Treatment cost overruns;
    - Specific questions about the terms of the municipal borrowing authority and projected costs based on the estimated lending rates;
    - Questions about the City’s reserves and how they are being accessed;
    - Questions about existing debt financing for the Harry Jerome Community Recreation Centre and other capital projects;
    - Questions about value for money for municipal services; and
    - Getting back to basics on core delivery of roads, sewers and day to day operations versus delivering housing projects.

• Park Projects
  - The next highest ranking theme involved the inclusion of the park projects in the loan authorization bylaw approval request. The responses covered:
    - Confusion as to why two park projects were included with the North Shore Neighbourhood House funding request with less information about what was being proposed;
    - As above, questions about why the park projects were not included in the capital planning process; and
    - Questions about the costing of the park projects including how debt financing was needed to augment capital projects and one, Kings Mill Park, with developer contributions.

• Pace of Change and Service Delivery
  - General commentary with the pace of change included:
    - Comments suggesting that the City is moving too quickly to add density and is overextending itself with debt;
    - Positive comments about the services and support provided by the North Shore Neighbourhood House; and
    - Commentary on the amount of density being added to the housing portion of the North Shore Neighbourhood House.
Results of the AAP

Completed Elector Response Forms were accepted by the City Clerk’s Office until 5:00 pm on Monday, April 22, 2024 and were subsequently reviewed by the Acting Corporate Officer.

A determination of the total number of electors for the City to which this Alternative Approval Process opportunity applies is 42,325. The City received 573 valid Elector Response Forms and 9 invalid forms. Elector responses were deemed invalid due to duplicate responses (1), and elector ineligibility (eg: address not in the City of North Vancouver -- 8). Therefore, the percentage of eligible electors who validly submitted Elector Response Forms is 1.35%. The Acting Corporate Officer’s Certification (Attachment 1) confirms that the approval of the electors was obtained.

Accordingly, “North Shore Neighbourhood House and City Parks Loan Authorization Bylaw, 2024, No. 9006” is brought forward for consideration of final adoption.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The City has the financial capacity to service the recommended new debt. The Province typically permits municipalities in British Columbia to take on additional borrowing so long as annual debt servicing costs (principal and interest) do not exceed 25% of municipal revenue. Assuming all of the City’s planned credit facilities are fully drawn (i.e. the $109M facility for the Harry Jerome Community Recreation Centre and the $55.7M facility for the North Shore Neighborhood House and City Parks) are converted to long term debt, the City’s debt serving costs would be approximately 10% of revenues, well within prescribed limits.

The HJCRC financial strategy endorsed by Council on January 31, 2022 plans for the HJCRC loan to be paid after the completion of the project with the proceeds generated from the disposition of the Harry Jerome Neighborhood Lands, so is not anticipated to generate future ongoing debt service obligations for CNV. With that financial strategy, assuming the new debt facility for the North Shore Neighborhood House and City Parks is fully drawn and repaid over the long term, staff estimate that the City’s debt service costs as a percentage of municipal revenue will be only 3%, even further below prescribed limits.

INTER-DEPARTMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

This report was prepared in collaboration with other City departments, specifically the City Solicitor, Strategic Initiatives, Finance, and Engineering, Parks & Environment, with special thanks to Gateway staff for their assistance in providing service to the public through the implementation of this Alternative Approval Process.
STRATEGIC PLAN, OCP OR POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The securing of debt financing enables the advancement of the NSNH Phase 2 project that supports the "A City for People" priority included in Council's 2022-2026 Strategic Plan, including initiatives to "Advance to explore innovative housing policy that includes protection and expansion of rental housing stock" and "Continue to build partnerships with other levels of government and housing providers to deliver more housing diversity and affordability" and to generally improve community well-being.

The recommended debt financing will also enable the advancement of two parks that support Council's priorities for "A Vibrant City" and "A Resilient City", and specifically the initiatives to "Activate Public Spaces – parks, streets, plazas, outdoor areas – for residents to gather together, interact and engage in activities" and "Implement the Kings Mill Walk Park Master Plan".

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:

Julie Peters
Manager, Legislative and Election Services

Amanda Gibbs
Senior Manager, Engagement & Communications
THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF NORTH VANCOUVER

CORPORATE OFFICER’S CERTIFICATION

I, THE UNDERSIGNED Acting Corporate Officer, as the person assigned responsibility for corporate administration under section 148 of the Community Charter, determine and certify the results of the Alternative Approval Process that was conducted to obtain the approval of the electors regarding the following bylaw:

“North Shore Neighbourhood House and City Parks Loan Authorization Bylaw, 2024, No. 9006”

Determination of the number of eligible electors is 42,325

Number of elector response forms submitted by the deadline is 582

Number of elector response forms rejected is 9

Number of elector response forms accepted is 573

Percentage of eligible electors who validly submitted elector response forms is 1.35%

In accordance with Section 86 of the Community Charter, the approval of the electors was obtained.

DATED this 23rd day of April, 2024.

_____________________________
Peter DeJong
Acting Corporate Officer
Alternative Approval Process – Frequently Asked Questions

1. What is an Alternative Approval Process?

In order to secure long-term borrowing from the Municipal Financial Authority, the bylaw associated with the matter requires elector approval. Elector Approval can be obtained through either an Alternative Approval Process (AAP) or through Assent Voting. In accordance with Section 86 of the Community Charter, eligible electors are given the opportunity to voice their opposition to the bylaw. If less than 10% of eligible electors oppose the bylaw, then the bylaw can proceed. If 10% or more of eligible electors oppose the bylaw, the bylaw would either need to proceed to Assent Voting or be put on hold.

2. Who is eligible to participate in the Alternative Approval Process?

Individuals who currently reside in the City of North Vancouver (Resident Electors) and meet the criteria below as well as individuals who currently own property in the City of North Vancouver and have owned the property for at least 30 days (Non-Resident Electors) and meet the criteria below. Non-Resident Electors will need to obtain written consent for the majority of property owners on title, if there is more than one owner. A property owned partially or solely owned by a corporation is not entitled to participate.

Resident Electors must:

- Be 18 years of age or older; and
- Be a Canadian citizen; and
- Have been residing in British Columbia for the last 6 months; and
- Currently reside in the City of North Vancouver;
- Not be disqualified by the Local Government Act, or any other enactment, or otherwise disqualified by law from voting in local government elections; and
- Not have previously signed an Alternative Approval Process Response Form for this Bylaw.

Non-Resident Electors must:

- Be 18 years of age or older; and
- Be a Canadian citizen; and
- Have resided in British Columbia for at least 6 months; and
- Be a non-resident property elector who lives in another community and have been the registered owner of real property in the City of North Vancouver for at least 30 days; and
• Be the sole registered owner of the real property or hold it with joint tenants or tenants in common, all of whom are individuals who do not hold the property in trust for a corporation or another trust; and
• Be the only individual claiming non-resident elector status in respect to the real property; and
• If the registered owner of the real property with other individuals, then have the written consent of the majority of the other property owners on title to oppose this Bylaw; and
• Not be disqualified by the Local Government Act, or any other enactment, or otherwise disqualified by law from voting in local government elections; and
• Be entitled to sign this Alternative Approval Process Response Form and not have previously signed an Alternative Approval Process Response Form for the proposed Bylaw No. 9006.

3. Where can I obtain a copy of the Alternative Approval Process Form?

Copies of the Alternative Approval Process Form for Resident Electors and Non-Resident Electors are available at the reception counter at City Hall (141 West 14th Street, North Vancouver, BC, V7M 1H9) or on the City’s AAP website at cnv.org/aap.

Forms will be available from March 13, 2024 at 8:30 am to April 22, 2024 at 5:00 pm, except statutory holidays.

4. How do I show my support for the Bylaw?

If you are in favour of adoption of the bylaw, no action is required.

5. How do I show my opposition to this Bylaw?

If you are an eligible elector, you will need to complete the Alternative Approval Process Form for either Resident Electors or Non-Resident Electors and submit the completed form to the attention of the City Clerk’s Office by the deadline.

6. How do I submit my Alternative Approval Process Response Form?

Completed Alternative Approval Response Forms can be submitted in the following ways:

• In-Person to the City Hall Reception Desk, located at 141 West 14th Street, North Vancouver, BC, V7M 1H9 or in one of the City’s secure drop boxes.

• By Mail to the attention of the Corporate Officer, City Clerk’s Office, located at 141 West 14th Street, North Vancouver, BC, V7M 1H9

7. When is the deadline for Alternative Approval Process Response Forms?

Alternative Approval Process Response Forms must be received by the City Clerk’s Office by 5:00 pm on April 22, 2024. Postmarked submissions received after the deadline will not be accepted.
8. If I change my mind, can I withdraw my Alternative Approval Process Response Form after it has been submitted?

As long as the deadline has not passed, Alternative Approval Process Response Forms can be withdrawn by contacting the Corporate Officer in the City Clerk’s Office. Once the deadline has passed, Alternative Approval Process Response Forms cannot be withdrawn.

9. What will the $55.7m be used for, specifically?

The funding will support the design and construction of a new North Shore Neighbourhood House. The current estimate for this portion of the project, as part of a larger non-profit housing development, is $49.5M. There are two additional park projects proposed to be funded with a portion of the $55.7M in new debt. Those two projects are the Kings Mill Walk Park renewal project (debt financing of $4.3M) and 1600 Eastern Park (debt financing of $1.9M). These projects are further described in the Council report titled “North Shore Neighborhood House and City Parks Loan Authorization Bylaw” considered by Council at the January 22, 2024 meeting. Debt relating to all three of these projects makes up the new $55.7M loan amount.

10. Why are the two park projects included in this funding bylaw?

The majority of the proposed funding to be borrowed from the Municipal Finance Authority is to support the North Shore Neighborhood House project. The plan to use debt financing to support the development of the Kings Mill Walk and 1600 Eastern Park projects was also announced at the open Council meeting on January 22, 2024 when the plan to use debt financing to advance the North shore Neighborhood House project was first made public. The three projects were grouped into one bylaw to enable one AAP process; if processed as separate bylaws, this would have required three separate AAP processes. You can learn more about each park project in the links above.

11. What is the plan to repay the loan?

The loan will initially be a short term variable loan during construction, with monthly interest only payments at variable rates provided by the Municipal Finance Authority (MFA). Rates are posted on MFA’s web site (mfa.bc.ca) and, during the March 22-28 period, were at 5.56%. After construction is complete in approximately three years, CNV is assessing various repayment options. Staff advised Council at its January 22, 2024 meeting that, if Council elects to convert the debt to a long term loan after construction, it would be typical to amortize the debt drawn to fund the delivery of long life assets like the North Shore Neighborhood House and the two parks over the long term. The Municipal Finance Authority provides debt amortization terms for long life assets of up to 30 years. The Municipal Finance Authority has its long term financing rates posted on its website and, during the March 22-28 period, the interest rate on 30 year debt was shown at 4.66%. If Council elects to convert the debt to 30 year debt and does not repay it with other funds, staff have advised, given current interest rates, the additional principal and debt payments would approximate $3.5M per annum and could be covered all things equal with a one-time increase in property taxes of 5.4%.

12. Does the City of North Vancouver have debt financing on other projects?

City of North Vancouver has authorized debt totaling $109m from the Municipal Finance Authority in support of the new Harry Jerome Community Recreation Centre project. This project has been funded to date with existing reserves and no debt has been drawn from this
credit facility to date. The loan will be a short term variable loan during construction, with monthly interest only payments at variable rates provided by the Municipal Finance Authority (MFA). Rates are posted on MFA’s web site (mfa.bc.ca) and, during the March 22-28 period, were at 5.56%. As noted in the Harry Jerome Community Recreation Centre Financial Strategy, the City plans to repay this debt after construction with proceeds from the disposition of the lands where the current Harry Jerome Community Recreation Centre is located, known as the Harry Jerome Neighbourhood Lands.
THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF NORTH VANCOUVER

BYLAW NO. 9006

A Bylaw to authorize the borrowing of $55,700,000 for the purpose of the design and construction of a new North Shore Neighbourhood House and City Parks

WHEREAS it is deemed desirable to design and construct a new North Shore Neighbourhood House community facility, Kings Mill Walk Park and 1600 Eastern Park;

AND WHEREAS the estimated cost of designing and constructing the new North Shore Neighbourhood House community facility, and the two City-owned Parks: Kings Mill Walk Park and 1600 Eastern Park, including expenses incidental thereto, is the sum of $66,475,000, of which the sum of $55,700,000 is the amount of debt intended to be borrowed from the Municipal Financing Authority for these capital projects as authorized by this Bylaw;

NOW THEREFORE the Council of The Corporation of the City of North Vancouver, in open meeting assembled, enacts as follows:

1. This Bylaw shall be known and cited for all purposes as “North Shore Neighbourhood House and City Parks Loan Authorization Bylaw, 2024, No. 9006”.

2. The Council is hereby empowered and authorized to undertake and carry out, or cause to be carried out, the design and construction of a new North Shore Neighbourhood House community facility, and two City-owned Parks: Kings Mill Walk Park and 1600 Eastern Park, generally in accordance with general plans on file in the municipal office and to do all things necessary in connection therewith and without limiting the generality of the foregoing:

A. To borrow on the credit of the City a total amount not exceeding $55,700,000, with up to $49,500,000 being allocated to the North Shore Neighbourhood House, up to $4,300,000 being allocated to Kings Mill Walk Park and up to $1,900,000 being allocated to 1600 Eastern Park;

B. To acquire all such real property, easements, rights-of-way, licences, rights or authorities as may be requisite or desirable for or in connection with the construction of the North Shore Neighbourhood House, Kings Mill Walk Park and 1600 Eastern Park.
3. The maximum term for which debentures may be issued to secure the debt created by this Bylaw is 30 years.

READ a first time on the 22nd day of January, 2024.

READ a second time on the 22nd day of January, 2024.

READ a third time on the 22nd day of January, 2024.

RESCINDED third reading on the 12th day of February, 2024.

READ a third time, as amended, on the 12th day of February, 2024.

APPROVED by the Inspector of Municipalities on the 21st day of February, 2024.

APPROVAL OF THE ELECTORS CERTIFIED on the 23rd day of April, 2024.

ADOPTED on the <> day of <>, 2024.

MAYOR

CORPORATE OFFICER