THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF NORTH VANCOUVER

INTEGRATED TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

City Hall, Conference Room A
141 West 14th Street, North Vancouver

Wednesday, December 7, 2016 at 6:00 pm

M I N U T E S

Present: Alex Boston (Chair)
Andrew Robinson
Ann McAlister
Cam McLeod
Carol Reimer
Christie Sacré
Martin Davies
Pam Horton
Trevor Bowden

Staff: Courtney Miller, Planner 1
Daniel Watson, Transportation Planner
Hibby Jensen, Committee Clerk

Apologies: Gary Goller
Kathleen Callow
Marcus Siu
Pam Bookham (Councillor)
Brian Polydore

Quorum 9

1.0 CALL TO ORDER, OPENING COMMENTS, ADOPTION OF MINUTES

The meeting was called to order by the Chair at 6 pm with a quorum present.

It was put to members that the Agenda be amended to begin with Agenda item 3 and September 7, 2016 minutes be adopted later after break and the November 2, 2016 minutes be approved in January 2017.

2.0 BUSINESS ARISING FROM PREVIOUS MINUTES

It was moved that the minutes of September 7, 2016 be adopted as revised by Committee.
It was regularly moved, seconded and carried unanimously:

THAT the minutes of the regular Integrated Transportation Committee meeting held on Wednesday, September 7, 2016 be adopted.

3.0 DEBRIEF ON ITC FIELD TRIP – NOVEMBER 19, 2016

Courtney Millar provided ITC members with a brief overview of the City’s past and present parking study and bylaws as an update to the ITC Field Trip observations of November 19, 2016.

Presentation discussed the background of the parking study of 2003 in comparison to new commercial and civil provisions. Discussion also included bicycle parking as a new requirement by developers.

Questions and comments included but were not limited following:

- Q: what is the measurement plan that shows that this is realistic to have developers trade off parking spots for bike spots? Where is the proof? A: The best example (before energy provision came in) was the lower Lonsdale zone, which were allowing over “bonusing” for extra parking. There was so much parking that there is no competition for it. City did not tell developers what to produce but provided them flexibility. Their expertise is better than the peers of the City.
- Q: How do we confirm that the registered vehicle stats for the buildings are accurate to measure if this plan is working?
- Key learning was the utilization of parking by developers. A much larger share a much larger share of units are occupied by renters off shore who have a higher ownership of cars. With the low availability of where to rent, people are more concerned about where to rent, vs where to put a vehicle.
- Q: Is giving developers a trade off from bike parking to car parking, allowing the developers to create an external parking issue for the City? A: No. Developers are more concerned of having parking to lessen Developer competition.
- The City also allows Car Share which lowers the high cost of ownership and we have relatively close.
- Q: How does the City give incentives for Car Share? A: City wide there is a trade-off of 4-1 car share to vehicle. This is signalling to the market than actually compelling an immediate functional change. In the past we were doing car share on a case by case variance and would ask them to provide new developments with a Car Share option. If a car share was provided to new owners by developer it would be at 1 membership per unit.

There is a competitive process on which Car Share companies, can have which location. Car share parking is mainly on Lonsdale and Esplanade, and they can also park anywhere in a resident exempt zone. The purpose of this sort of
regulation assists when developers have a small site and it helps create the potential to have this type of car experience in the market.

The conversation shifted to a discussion of observations from the parking lot field trip tour. Some insights, interests and suggestions included:

- It would be good to have a best practices guide for Strata Council's, as strata seem to manage thing in sub-surface areas.
- One building (#175) had lots of bikes but still had many cars.
- Vista has a parking problem with residents using visitor parking.
- It was noted the difference of double security gates in parking area in different complexes based on the year development was built.
- Unoccupied stalls must take into account different times of the day and usage.
- Commercial working with residential, can balance the needs of the community by sharing the use of the parking stalls, such as the City and Vista. Suggestion would be allow residents to stay till 8:00am to make parking space share negotiations more reasonable.
- Q: Must all buildings allow rentals? A: No this is a decision made by each individual strata council.
- Q: Was there anything feedback about laneways to discuss from fieldtrip? A: There is a new lane standard being conceived by City. This movement is happening in the Moodyville Park area where there is opportunity to create a roll over curb and more set-back from the building to lane. This is being brought about because some of the homes will enter/exit onto the lane.

Staff can present 3rd street cross section to ITC, but not sure if Staff can bring Moodyville to ITC yet.

4.0 Climate Change, Mitigation and Transportation

Alex Boston provided a review of a Transportation and Climate Action Briefing and Best Practices. Presentation reviewed situational analysis, transportation, land use and GHG's, transportation climate solutions and sustainable infrastructure and land use.

5:0 Committee Membership Terms and Composition

Follow up discussion was held on the terms of reference and the need for specific representatives from groups such as HUB or ACDI. IT was discussed that the Committee as a whole would need to put this forward to Council and there would have to be a resolution.
- P. Horton has enquired with some ACDI members if there was an interest to join ITC. ACDI meetings are held and the end of month and ITC is held at beginning of month. ACDI members kindly requested not to be written into ITC's terms of reference.
- C. Sacre confirmed that most projects that are brought to ITC are also brought to the attention of ACDI.
• The education and perspective of specific representation is valued but should not serve special interest groups.
• C. Mcleod and C. Sacre are the only two members of ITC by appointment.

Staff, Daniel Watson presented and reviewed the committee’s composition. Staff can make suggestions if there is a loss of important member representation or gaps from key focus groups but consideration is limited. Mayor and Council will make final Committee membership decisions. The opportunity of all other committee members and public to sit in on meetings of interest is always optional. Some of the projects reviewed by ITC are also going in front of other committees such as ACDI.

Questions and comments from the Committee included but were not limited to the following:

• P. Horton would like to mention that she does not represent ACDI and is glad she is here as an individual, rather than representing a particular group.
• C. Mcleod shared his role shares highlights and minutes with N.V. Chamber of Commerce; however he makes comments that are relevant and does not feel that he represents special interests.
• Q: Is there historical representation of the role of the Committee? A: Staff confirmed that there was an annual report from the committee and committee clerk.

As ITC current members, it was discussed and agreed that the committee is interested in new members who are as an individual able to provide committee with diverse perspectives.

P. Horton left the meeting at 7:12 pm

Staff would appreciate the direction of committee to provide a report to Council. It is considered important by the committee to present an annual report next year with consideration of “gap” filling of membership.

The Committee recessed at 7:20 and reconvened at 7:30pm with the same members present.

6.0 CURRENT CITY PROJECTS – STAFF UPDATE

• Alcuin College will have their hearing with Council on Monday December 12th.
• 3rd Street and Chesterfield development will have their hearing with Council on Monday December 12th. Vote was postponed as there were not enough members there. C. Keating and C. Buchanan were not able to attend.

7.0 TRANSPORTATION EVENTS (MEMBER UPDATE)
- Green Necklace 1st Open House was held on November 30th. It was very busy with 6 staff attending to answer resident's questions and concerns. Many opinions on the paving of Grand Boulevard area and also the "bench" area north of 19th. This project will come to ITC in the New Year.

8.0 ANY OTHER BUSINESS & CORRESPONDENCE
None...

9.0 ADJOURNMENT
There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 8:20 p.m.

Andrew Robinson, Chair

Hibby Jensen, Committee Clerk